

**Metro**Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation AuthorityOne Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952213.922.2000 Tel
metro.net**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE
OCTOBER 15, 2014****SUBJECT: ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM IMPACTS ON CALL FOR PROJECTS****ACTION: APPROVE RECOMMENDATIONS TO MAXIMIZE IMPACT OF ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM ON CALL FOR PROJECTS FUNDING PLAN****RECOMMENDATION**

- A. Adopt the Southern California Association of Government's (SCAG) recommended Active Transportation Program (ATP) for Los Angeles County as shown in Attachment A;
- B. Approve proposed changes to Metro's ATP policy for the second application cycle as shown in Attachment B; and,
- C. Receive and file the portion of this report that responds to the Board's April 24, 2014 request in Attachment C for an analysis of the financial impacts of the Statewide and SCAG ATP programming action on Metro's Call for Projects.

ISSUE

The SCAG Regional ATP project selection process requires that each county transportation commission adopt the ATP projects (found here in Attachment A) to be funded in its jurisdiction using the scores determined by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) in their statewide process. With the results of the State and SCAG process now in focus, the proposed Metro policy changes in Attachment B will renew a requirement that all ATP eligible Call for Projects grantees apply for at least one cycle of the ATP before receiving their original Metro Call for Projects grant. Finally, this report also responds to the Metro Board's request that we analyze the financial impact of the State and SCAG ATP processes on the Call for Projects.

DISCUSSION

On August 20, 2014 the CTC adopted ATP project scores that will provide \$113.0 million in ATP funds to projects in Los Angeles County. The statewide total available was \$368.1 million, meaning Los Angeles County secured an overall share of 30.7% of the State ATP program. Of this amount, \$70.8 million of Los Angeles County project

requests shown in Attachment D scored sufficiently high to be funded by the State and \$42.1 million high enough to be recommended to Metro for funding by SCAG (Attachment A).

Adoption of the SCAG Recommendation

The SCAG project selection process requires adoption by each county transportation commission prior to transmittal of the SCAG Regional ATP Competition results to the CTC for its final adoption on November 12, 2014. Recommendation A of this report is to adopt the SCAG Regional ATP Program as shown in Attachment A. Metro's approval is necessary to secure the \$42.1 million in project funding.

Recommended Changes to Metro's ATP Policy

Attachment B shows the changes proposed in Metro's ATP Policy. The policy continues for one additional ATP cycle the requirement for Call for Projects sponsors to seek funding in the ATP prior to being eligible to receive Call for Projects grants. There are a number of benefits to project sponsors who participate, such as being allowed to update project scope and cost estimates for the purposes of ATP applications and potential improved funding availability in future Call for Projects through reductions to the shortfall in the Metro Call for Projects funding plan. We are also proposing to extend the grant writing support we offered to Call for Projects grantees. Attachment E shows the list of projects identified for application in the second cycle of the State and SCAG ATP process.

Financial Impact on the Call for Projects of Metro's ATP Policy

Adding the results of the statewide ATP competition and the recommended SCAG regional ATP program, \$40.2 million worth of ATP funds now stand to be awarded to what will become former Call for Projects grants (Attachment F). Of these, \$22.9 million were secured through the SCAG Regional process that permitted Metro to award 10 extra points in any manner approved by the Metro Board of Directors. We find here that Metro policies could have improved the \$22.9 million Call figure to \$30.6 million in the SCAG Regional ATP formula, making an additional \$7.7 million available to address the Call for Projects shortfall by de-funding \$7.7 million in non-Call projects.

Two tables provided below show the impact of the assignment of ten points by the Metro policy action taken on April 24, 2014. The staff recommendation in April 2014 was to award the ten points permitted by SCAG only to the projects funded in prior Call for Projects processes in an effort to further reduce the shortfall. The Metro Board of Directors instead determined that the ten point bonus should be awarded to all projects (except those that are clearly not in alignment with regional or local plans). Since no projects were found to be out of alignment to the plans, all Los Angeles County projects received 10 points, including those that were not in the Call for Projects program.

Table 1: "Impact of Metro's Ten Point Policy Actions" shows the additional Call projects that would have been funded had the ten points only been awarded to Metro Call projects per the staff recommendation:

Table 1: Impact of Metro's Ten Point Policy Actions
(\$ in thousands)

Agency	Project Title	CFP Committed	ATP Awarded	Score
Los Angeles	MLK/Bill Robertson Lane Linkages	\$ 1,687	\$ 3,980	89.0
Los Angeles	Central Ave. Historic Corridor Streetscape	\$ 1,697	\$ 2,070	87.3
Los Angeles County	Willowbrook Area Bikeway Improvements	\$ 457	\$ 446	86.7
Long Beach	Market Street Pedestrian Enhancements	\$ 3,234	\$ 2,982	86.5
San Gabriel	Las Tunas Drive Active Transportation Corridor Improvements*	\$ 583	\$ 1,169	86.3
	Total	\$ 7,658	10,647	

* Subject to partial funding

Table 2: "Projects That Would No Longer Be Funded" shows the projects that are now to be funded based on the final Metro policy. The second table lists the projects that are funded in the SCAG competition, but would not have been, had the ten points only been awarded to Call Projects.

Table 2: Projects That Would No Longer Be Funded
(\$ in thousands)

Agency	Project Title	CFP Committed	ATP Awarded	Score
Norwalk	Foster Road Side Panel SRTS Impvt Project	N/A	\$ 2,208	82.7
Santa Clarita	Sierra Hwy Ped & Bike Bridge and St Impvts	N/A	\$ 1,402	82.3
Santa Monica	4th St Bike/Ped Upgrades	N/A	\$ 600	82.0
Baldwin Park	Maine Ave Corridor Complete Streets Impvt	N/A	\$ 2,201	81.0
Glendale	Citywide Safety Education Initiative	N/A	\$ 500	81.0
Huntington Park	State Street Complete Street	N/A	\$ 1,184	79.7
Los Angeles	Sixth Street Viaduct Replacement, Bike/Ped Facilities*	N/A	\$ 2,552	79.3
	Total	N/A	\$ 10,647	

* Subject to partial funding

The \$40.2 million in ATP awards to former Call for Projects grants will reduce the \$90 million Call for Projects shortfall created when federal Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) funds were subsumed into the statewide and regional ATP program. Approximately \$8.1 million in TEA funds were secured prior to the final expiration of the TEA program, bringing the remaining Call for Projects shortfall to \$41.7 million.

Projects Complying with Metro's ATP Policy Can Now Be Funded

Attachment G lists \$54 million worth of projects from prior Call for Projects processes that have now met Metro's ATP policy requirements in one of two ways. Projects on Attachment G have either applied for ATP funds and did not receive funding, or a prior exemption was granted to avoid project delay. Projects are granted an exemption if their sponsors have provided Metro staff with a copy of their federal authorization to proceed with construction. This authorization documents that the projects have progressed as indicated by the project sponsors in their original exemption requests. Subject to this condition, Metro's ATP policy now allows these projects to receive their original Call for Projects funding commitment, as shown in Attachment G.

Partial Award for the City of Bell

The City of Bell received a partial award in the amount of \$62,000 for its Florence Avenue Pedestrian Improvements project in the Statewide ATP competition, with total project costs of \$2.4 million. The \$62,000 equals the funding request for Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E). As the Bell project achieved a very high score (90.3), the City of Bell is likely to receive construction funds in the next cycle of the ATP in Spring 2015, and will reapply, again with Metro consultant assistance. Should the project not receive its construction funds in the next cycle of the ATP, Metro's policy is to provide back-up funding up to the original Call for Projects commitment.

DETERMINATION OF SAFETY IMPACT

Many of the projects described in the report include bicycle and pedestrian safety improvements. This report itself will have no direct impact on the safety of our customers and employees.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The funding of \$325,000 for grant assistance for the second cycle of the ATP process is already included in the FY 2015 budget in cost center 4420, Strategic Financial Planning and Programming, under project number 450006 and project name "Active Transportation Policy".

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The Board may consider only assigning the additional ten points permitted by SCAG to the projects selected through Metro's Call for Projects process. The Board previously considered such a Metro staff recommendation and decided to award the 10 points to all the Los Angeles County projects. Metro's Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) is the applicable "local" plan under SCAG's ATP Guidelines that require the ten points to be assigned to projects that are consistent with local and regional plans. As the Bicycle, Pedestrian and Enhancements modes of the Call for Projects serve to implement the active transportation goals of the LRTP, this change in the current Metro ATP transition policy would ensure that our point assignment has maximum effectiveness in giving priority to projects that meet our definition of alignment with plans and programs in Los Angeles County.

The Board may also consider making participation in the next cycle of the ATP process voluntary for all project sponsors. Staff does not recommend this option, as there is a remaining Call for Projects shortfall of \$41.7 million and the ATP is one of the only funding sources currently available to address the funding shortfall in the existing Call for Projects funding plan. The list of projects identified in Attachment E has been developed to include projects that we feel are eligible and will compete well in the next cycle of the ATP based upon our experience with the first cycle. As Attachment E only includes \$41.4 million of candidate projects, a voluntary policy is not likely to maximize funding opportunities to address the shortfall.

NEXT STEPS

- SCAG adopts Regional ATP program - October 3, 2014
- CTC adopts Regional ATP programs - November 12, 2014
- Metro notifies sponsors of fund availability for Attachment G projects - November 15
- ATP guidelines updated development - November 2014-January 2015 (estimated)
- ATP applications due for the next cycle - Spring 2015
- CTC adopts the next ATP program - December 31, 2015 or earlier

ATTACHMENTS

- A. SCAG Regional Awards for Los Angeles County Projects
- B. Proposed Metro ATP Policy Changes
- C. April 24, 2014 Board Motion on ATP Policy
- D. State Competitive Awards for Los Angeles County Projects
- E. Projects Proposed for the Next ATP Cycle Grant Assistance
- F. Impact of the First ATP Cycle on the Call for Projects
- G. Projects Complying with Metro's ATP Policy that Can Now be Funded

Prepared by: Patricia Chen, Program Manager, Strategic Financial Planning
& Programming, (213) 922-3041
Wil Ridder, Deputy Executive Officer, Strategic Financial Planning
& Programming, (213) 922-2887

Martha Welborne

Martha Welborne, FAIA
Chief Planning Officer

Arthur T. Leahy

Arthur T. Leahy
Chief Executive Officer

Active Transportation Program
SCAG Regional Awards for Los Angeles County Projects
(\$ in thousands)

#	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Awarded	Score*
1	Norwalk	Foster Road Side Panel SRTS Improvement Project	2,209	2,208	92.7
2	Los Angeles	Expo Line Ped Improv Crenshaw-City Lim	2,890	2,311	92.5
3	Santa Clarita	Sierra Hwy Ped & Bicycle Bridge and Street Improvements	3,229	1,402	92.3
4	Santa Monica	4th St Bike/Ped upgrades	750	600	92.0
5	Baldwin Park	Maine Ave Corridor Complete Streets Improvement	4,152	2,201	91.0
6	Glendale	Citywide Safety Education Initiative	500	500	91
7	Covina	Covina Bicycle Network	1,048	839	90.7
8	Los Angeles	LA River Bike Path, Headwaters, Owensmouth-Mason	6,136	5,432	90.0
9	Huntington Park	State Street Complete Street	1,184	1,184	89.7
10	Los Angeles	San Fernando Rd Bike Path, Ph 3	25,430	21,195	89.7
11	Los Angeles	Sixth St Viaduct Replacement, Bike/Ped Facilities**	434,263	2,552	89.3
		Subtotal Los Angeles County Regional Implementation Awards**	481,791	40,424	
12	San Gabriel Valley COG	SGV Regional Active Transportation Planning Initiative	643	643	73.3
13	Huntington Park	Randolph St Shared Use Bik/Trail Rails to Trails Project Study	400	400	70.7
14	MTA	Metro Blue Line First/Last Mile Plan	280	280	68.5
15	Lancaster	SRTS - Master Plan	366	322	68.0
16	Vernon	City of Vernon Bicycle Master Plan	60	53	64.0
		Subtotal Los Angeles County Regional Planning Awards	1,749	1,698	
		Total Los Angeles County Regional Awards	483,540	42,122	

* Scores for Implementation Awards reflect 10 points added for consistency with regional and local plans

** Partial award contingent on City of Los Angeles agreement to deliver project either by providing additional match funds or rescoping project in such a way that full project benefits are achieved.

**METRO ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM CYCLE 2 POLICY FOR
TRANSITIONING TO STATE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM**

1) Project Sponsors Required to Apply for Active Transportation Program (ATP) Funds

The ATP is an important resource to address the gap in the Call for Projects funding plan resulting from the passage of MAP-21 in federal law and the ATP in state law. All sponsors of eligible projects with a grant from the 2013 and prior Calls that has an unallocated or unobligated balance in the Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transportation Enhancement Activities modes are required to apply for ATP funds before being considered for Call for Projects funds.

- A. Metro will suspend execution of funding agreements with ATP eligible projects and require that such sponsors compete in both the statewide and Southern California Association of Governments ATP competitive processes.
- B. ATP funds secured by the successful competitors will be used to address the shortfall in the Metro Call for Projects funding plan. Metro's limited funds will be used for unsuccessful competitors.

2) Local Match for ATP Funds

Project sponsors must continue to provide a minimum 20% local match. Although the ATP Guidelines require a minimum 12% local match, Call for Projects related re-applications must specify the 8% or more overmatch for strategic reasons. Project sponsors may be able to recoup all or a portion of the overmatch if there are project savings. Project sponsors may use all or a portion of the overmatch, upon receiving a fully-executed Letter of No Prejudice from Metro, to advance the planning, design, or right-of-way activities for a project in order to ensure timely readiness for construction.

3) Grant Assistance to Project Sponsors

Local jurisdictions devote considerable time and financial resources toward developing their Call applications. To assist the local jurisdictions with the process of reapplying for previously awarded projects, Metro will provide grant-writing assistance for each of **approximately 26** affected projects listed on Attachment **F** as follows:

- A. A Metro-selected consultant will be available to draft the new ATP application. Metro staff would source the firm or firms from our Countywide Planning and Development Bench. The final application cost will be determined through the procurement process.
- B. Agencies must confirm in writing that they wish to take advantage of the assistance for the first cycle of the ATP. Project sponsors may elect to complete the ATP application on their own, but doing so does not waive the requirement that they apply prior to receiving any available alternate funds from Metro.

- C. Metro may **will** provide a second round of assistance for the second cycle of the ATP. ~~A new list of projects would will be developed, including projects with later ready-to-go/programming dates than the projects listed on Attachment B included in Metro's ATP Cycle 1 policy.~~ Metro may complete this work by exercising options on the ATP Grant Assistance contract.

4) **Updates to Scope of Work and Cost Estimate**

Sponsors applying to the ATP with Metro grant assistance may use updated scope of work or cost estimate information as long as the updated scope of work is consistent with or includes the original scope of work. However, should the projects not be awarded ATP funds, Metro is responsible only for the prior Call for Projects commitment amount.

5) **Exceptions to the Policy**

- A. Projects may be considered for exemption from the Policy if it is demonstrated that they would experience substantial delay. Requests for exemption must be submitted in writing and include documentation of delay.

6) **Assign up to ten points to projects that are to be considered by SCAG for funding in the SCAG ATP competition as follows:**

- A. Assign the additional ten points to all projects except any that are clearly not in alignment with regional or local plans.

RECOMMENDATION BY COUNCILMAN MIKE BONIN

Metro Board Meeting

April 24, 2014

Item 8: Active Transportation Program

1. Direct staff to assign ten points to all Los Angeles County candidate Active Transportation Projects (ATP) except any that are clearly not in alignment with regional or local plans; and
2. Report to the Board following the November 2014 CTC ATP programming action with information about the results of the statewide and SCAG processes and the financial impact to the Metro Call for Projects funding plan.

Active Transportation Program State Competitive Awards for Los Angeles County Projects

(\$ in thousands)

#	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Awarded	Score
1	Inglewood	Active Transportation Plan & SRTS Plan	486	486	95.0
2	Los Angeles Co	Florence-Firestone Community SRTS	1,092	960	94.5
3	Los Angeles	Hollywood HS & Selma Ave ES, SRTS	3,412	3,412	93.0
4	Los Angeles	Beverly Blvd Trans Enhancements	1,374	992	92.7
5	Los Angeles Co	Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project	1,861	1,305	92.7
6	Los Angeles Co	Vermont Av Bike Lane, Manchester-El Segundo	1,317	676	92.3
7	Glendale	Citywide Ped Plan	500	500	91.7
8	Los Angeles Co	East Los Angeles Community SRTS Program	925	810	91.7
9	Los Angeles	SRTS Menlo Ave ES/West Vernon ES	4,742	4,742	91.5
10	Los Angeles	SRTS Sheridan St ES/Breed St ES	5,092	5,092	91.0
11	Pomona	Priority Implementation for Downtown Bike and Ped Improvements	2,010	2,010	91.0
12	Los Angeles	Expo Line Bundy Sta First-Last Mile Improvements	3,450	3,053	90.5
13	Bell	Florence Ave Ped Improvements	2,405	62	90.3
14	Los Angeles	Eastside Active Transportation Linkages, Ph II	3,651	2,237	90.3
15	Los Angeles	Hollywood Western Ped Improvements	3,923	2,288	90.0
16	Los Angeles Co	Florence Metro Blue Line Stn Bikeway Access Improv.	1,624	1,188	89.7
17	Los Angeles Co	Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bike Project	2,575	1,546	89.7
18	Los Angeles Co	Unincorporated LA County Ped Plans and Programs	1,498	1,445	89.5
19	Duarte	Duarte Gold Line Station Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,646	1,305	89.0
20	Los Angeles Co	Willowbrook Area Ped Access Improvements to MLK MACC	5,555	3,865	89.0
21	Palmdale	Active Transportation Program Plan	595	595	89.0
22	Los Angeles	SRTS Delores Huerta ES/Quincy Jones ES	4,292	4,292	88.3
23	Los Angeles	SRTS Education and Enforcement Prog	2,829	2,829	88.0
24	Compton	Wilmington Ave Safe Streets Ped/Bicycle Improvements	996	996	87.7
25	LARRC	N. Atwater Non-Motorized Multimodal Bridge	9,038	3,660	87.7
26	Los Angeles	Yale St Ped Linkages - Phase 1	690	690	86.7
27	Lancaster	5th Street East Corridor Improvements	1,438	1,438	85.3
28	Carson	City of Carson Active Transportation Project	1,482	1,482	85.0
29	El Monte City School District	Durfee-Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking School Bus	692	604	85.0

Active Transportation Program State Competitive Awards for Los Angeles County Projects

(\$ in thousands)

#	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Awarded	Score
30	Los Angeles	Cesar E Chavez Connections	2,350	1,565	85.0
31	Cudahy	Cudahy Citywide SRTS Improvement (Ped Crosswalks)	1,271	1,271	84.7
32	Los Angeles	Little Tokyo Ped Safety	4,439	3,316	84.7
33	Palmdale	Ave R Complete Streets and Safe Routes	6,669	5,332	84.7
34	Bell Gardens	City Wide Safety Enhancement Project	997	802	83.0
35	Glendale	SRTS Improvements	1,642	1,642	83.0
36	Santa Monica	Santa Monica SRTS Program	450	450	69.0
37	Los Angeles	Top 50 SRTS Safety Assessments & Travel Plans	1,900	1,900	68.7
		Total Los Angeles County Awards	90,908	70,838	

Potential Call for Projects Candidates for Active Transportation Program Funds - FY 16 through
(\$ in thousands)

#	AGENCY	Project ID	PROJECT	BALANCE
1	ALHAMBRA	F7600	ALHAMBRA PED IMPROVEMENT/WALKING VIABILITY PROJECT ON VALLEY	665
2	ARCADIA	F7517	BICYCLE FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,156
3	BURBANK	F7506	CHANDLER BIKEWAY EXTENSION	2,639
4	CULVER CITY	F7507	BALLONA CREEK BIKE PATH CONNECTIVITY PROJECT AT HIGUERA BRIDGE	616
5	LA CITY	F3510	FIGUEROA CORRIDOR BIKE STATION & ENHANCEMENTS	1,006
6	LA CITY	F5624	WASHINGTON STATION PED TRANSIT ACCESS	1,492
7	LA CITY	F7539	BICYCLE FRIENDLY STREET TREATMENTS (3)	2,239
8	LA CITY	F7624	WALK PICO! A CATALYST FOR COMMUNITY VITALITY & CONNECTIVITY	1,841
9	LA CITY	F7622	LANI - WEST BOULEVARD COMMUNITY LINKAGES PROJECT	1,379
10	LA CITY	F7636	BROADWAY STREETScape IMPLEMENTATION (8TH-9TH)	2,385
11	LA COUNTY	8150	SAN JOSE CREEK BICYCLE TRAIL, PHASE 2	1,243
12	LA COUNTY	F7806	VERMONT AVENUE STREETScape IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	765
13	LA COUNTY	F7508	VINCENT COMMUNITY BIKEWAY ACCESS	3,426
14	LA COUNTY	F7512	WEST ATHENS AND WEST CARSON COMMUNITY BIKEWAYS	1,070
15	LA COUNTY	F7610	AVIATION/LAX GREEN LINE STATION PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS	1,587
16	LA COUNTY	F7609	HAWTHORNE/LENNOX GREEN LINE STATION PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS	1,787
17	LA VERNE	F7524	LA VERNE REGIONAL COMMUTER BICYCLE GAP CLOSURE PROEJCT	1,123
18	LONG BEACH	F3615	LONG BEACH BLVD. PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	1,722
19	LONG BEACH	F7522	DELTA AVENUE BICYCLE BOULEVARD IN THE CITY OF LONG BEACH	1,016
20	LYNWOOD	F7620	FERNWOOD AVENUE PEDESTRIAN TRANSIT ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY	2,595
21	PICO RIVERA	F7502	PICO RIVERA REGIONAL BIKEWAY PROJECT	2,309
22	REDONDO BEACH	F7521	BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION - PHASE II	1,562
23	SANTA MONICA	F7807	EXPO STATION 4TH STREET STREETScape IMPROVEMENTS TO DOWNTOWN	1,613
24	SANTA MONICA	F7514	EXPO BICYCLE PATH EXTENSION	1,927
25	SOUTH GATE	F7612	LONG BEACH BOULEVARD PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS	1,481
26	TORRANCE	F3624	DOWNTOWN TORRANCE PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	793
			TOTAL	41,437

Impact to the Call for Projects
(\$ in thousands)

#	CFP ID	Agency	Project Title	CFP	ATP	Score*
				Committed Amount	Award	
1	F1511	LOS ANGELES CO	EASTSIDE LIGHT RAIL BIKE INTERFACE PROJECT	941	1,305	92.7
2	F1611	LOS ANGELES	CESAR E CHAVEZ CONNECTIONS	1,692	1,565	85.0
3	F3628	LOS ANGELES CO	WILLOWBROOK AREA PED ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS TO MLK MACC	3,439	3,865	89.0
4	F3646	LOS ANGELES	LITTLE TOKYO PED SAFETY	869	3,316	84.7
5	F3651	LOS ANGELES	EASTSIDE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION LINKAGES, PH II	1,075	2,237	90.3
6	F3657	LOS ANGELES	BEVERLY BLVD TRANP ENHANCEMENTS	992	992	92.7
7	F5514	LOS ANGELES CO	VERMONT AV BIKE LANE, MANCHESTER-EL SEGUNDO	2,671	676	92.3
8	F5515	LOS ANGELES CO	FLORENCE METRO BLUE LINE STN BIKEWAY ACCESS IMPROV.	695	1,188	94.5
9	F5627	DUARTE	DUARTE GOLD LINE STATION PED AND BICYCLE IMPROVEMENTS	524	1,305	89.0
10	F5632	LOS ANGELES	HOLLYWOOD WESTERN PED IMPROVEMENTS	2,222	2,288	90.0
11	F7634	BELL	FLORENCE AVE PED IMPROVEMENTS	2,221	62	90.3
			Subtotal Call Projects awarded in Statewide Competition	17,341	18,799	
12	F5620	LOS ANGELES	EXPO LINE PED IMPROV, CRENSHAW-CITY LIM.	2,311	2,311	92.5
13	F5501	COVINA	COVINA BICYCLE NETWORK	827	839	91.7
14	F5518	LOS ANGELES	LA RIVER BIKE PATH, HEADWATERS, OWENSMOUTH-MASON	2,815	5,432	90.0
15	F1524	LOS ANGELES	SAN FERNANDO RD BIKE PATH, PH 3A	8,370	21,195	89.7
16	F3515	LOS ANGELES	SAN FERNANDO RD BIKE PATH, PH 3B	8,571		
			Subtotal Call Projects awarded in Regional Competition	22,894	29,777	
			Total Call Projects awarded in Statewide and Regional Competitions	40,235	48,576	

* Scores for Call Projects awarded in Regional Competition reflect 10 points added for consistency with regional and local plans

Projects to be Retained in the Call for Projects

(\$ in thousands)

#	CFP ID	Agency	Project Title	CFP
				Committed Amount
1	F3647	LOS ANGELES	MLK/BILL ROBERTSON LANE LINKAGES	1,687
2	F3656	LOS ANGELES	CENTRAL AV HISTORIC CORRIDOR STREETScape	1,697
3	F3521	LOS ANGELES CO	WILLOWBROOK AREA BIKEWAY IMPROVEMENTS	457
4	F7615	LONG BEACH	MARKET STREET PED ENHANCEMENTS	3,234
5	F5806	SAN GABRIEL	LAS TUNAS DRIVE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS	583
6	F3502	REDONDO BEACH	REDONDO BEACH BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	1,559
7	F3516	LOS ANGELES	LA RIVER BLKE PATH, PH 4, RIVERSIDE-FOREST LAWN	1,827
8	F3644	LOS ANGELES	BROADWAY HISTORIC THEATER DIST. PED IMPROVEMENTS	4,643
9	F7526	TEMPLE CITY	LAS TUNAS DR BICYCLE LANE PROJECT	1,921
10	F3643	LOS ANGELES	BOYLE HEIGHTS - CHAVEZ AVE PED IMPROVEMENTS	2,788
11	F1520	LOS ANGELES	IMPERIAL HWY BIKE LANE & MEDIAN MODIFICATION	1,506
12	F3650	LOS ANGELES	WESTERN AVE EXPO LINE STATE LINKAGE PROJECT (SOUTH)	686
13	F3509	BURBANK	SAN FERNANDO BIKEWAY	6,595
14	F3522	PASADENA	CORDOVA STREET ROAD DIET	2,881
15	F3607	ARCADIA	GOLD LINE FIRST LAST MILE ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS	2,702
16	F7812/ F7618	TEMPLE CITY	LAS TUNAS DRIVE PED IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	4,988
17	F3519	LOS ANGELES CO	NORTH COUNTY BIKEWAYS	1,274
18	F7519	WHITTIER	WHITTIER GREENWAY TRAIL EXTENSION	2,458
19	F3630	LOS ANGELES	MAIN ST PED ENHANCE, 2ND-4TH ST	827
20	F1505	SAN FERNANDO	PACOIMA WASH BIKEWAY AND PED TRAIL	1,513
21	F1605	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	PALOS VERDES DR SO. BIKE COMPATIBLE ROADWAY SAFETY & LINKAGE	630
22	F5522	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	FOOTHILL BLVD LINK BIKEWAY AND PED GREENBELT	1,366
			Subtotal Call Projects not Awarded in Either Statewide or Regional Competition	47,822
	F1613	LA CITY	EXPO LINE STN STREETScape PROJECT-EAST CRENSHAW TO JEFFERSON	2,609
	F1662	LA CITY	SOLANO CANYON/ZANJA MADRE-CHINATOWN-BROADWAY BUS STOP IMPROV	892
	F1663	LA CITY	SUNSET JUNCTION TRANSIT PLAZA	1,337
	F1605	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	PEDESTRIAN SAFE BUS STOP LINKAGE	1,117
			Subtotal Call Projects Exempted from Applying for ATP	5,955
			Total Call Projects to be Retained in the Call for Projects	53,777