Agenda

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Union Station Conference Room

1. Call to Order/Roll Call Action (Alan Patashnick, Cory Zelmer)

2. Agenda Reports by Standing Committees
   - Bus Operations Information (David Reyno)
   - Local Transit Systems Information (Alex Gonzalez)
   - Streets and Freeways Information (Paul Maselbas)
   - TDM/Air Quality Information (Mark Yamarone)
   Attachment 1: Subcommittee Agendas
   Attachment 2: Subcommittee Actions 5 min

3. Chairperson’s Report Information (Alan Patashnick) 5 min

4. Consent Calendar Action
   - Approval of Minutes
     Attachment 3: Draft September 1, 2010 Minutes

5. Legislative Update Information (Raffi Hamparian)
   - Federal Information (Michael Turner) 15 min
   - State

6. FY 2011 Call for Projects Information (Rena Lum) 10 min

7. SB 375 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets for Southern California Information (Sara Jepson) 10 min

8. Congestion Reduction Demonstration Project Update (Kathleen McCune) 10 min
9. Regional Connector Transit Corridor Study  
   Information  
   (Dolores Roybal-Saltarelli/Laura Cornejo)  
   10 min

10. Westside Subway Extension  
   Information  
   (Roger Martin/Dianne Sirisut)  
   10 min

11. Congestion Mitigation Fee/Draft 2010  
    Congestion Management Program  
    Update  
    (Robert Calix/Stacy Alameida)  
    10 min

12. CTC Update  
    Information  
    (Patricia Chen)  
    5 min

13. Other Business

14. Adjournment

TAC Minutes and Agendas can be accessed at:  
http://www.metro.net/about/tac/

Please call Cory Zelmer at (213) 922-1079 or e-mail zelmerc@metro.net with questions regarding  
the agenda or meeting. The next meeting will be on November 3, 2010 at 9:30 a.m. in the  
Union Station Conference Room, 3rd floor.
Attachment 1

Subcommittee Agendas – September 2010

• Bus Operations
  ➢ September 21, 2010

• Local Transit Systems
  ➢ September 23, 2010

• Streets and Freeways
  ➢ September 16, 2010

• TDM/Air Quality
  ➢ Did not meet in September
Tuesday, September 21, 2010

9:30AM

Agenda

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

BUS OPERATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE

Windsor Conference Room — 15th Floor

1. Call to Order
   1 minute
   Action
   David Reyno

2. Approval of July 20, 2010 Minutes
   1 minute
   Action
   BOS

3. Chair’s Report
   5 minutes
   Information
   David Reyno

4. Legislative Report
   10 minutes
   Information
   Raffi Hamparian/Marisa Yeager/
   Michael Turner/Patricia Torres

5. 2011 Call for Projects
   10 minutes
   Information
   Michael Richmai

6. FY 2010-11 TDA Article 8 Unmet Transit Needs
   10 minutes
   Information
   Susan Richan

7. RIITS 10-Year Strategic Plan
   10 minutes
   Information
   Desiree Portillo-Rabinov

8. Congestion Mitigation Fee/Draft 2010 Congestion
   Management Program
   10 minutes
   Information
   Robert Calix/Stacy Alameida

Metropolitan Transportation Authority
9. CTC Update  
   Information  
   *10 minutes*  
   *Patricia Chen*

10. BOS Elections  
    Information/Action  
   *15 minutes*  
   *David Feinberg*

11. New Business

12. Adjournment

Information Items:

- 90-day Rolling Agenda
- SAFETEA LU 5307 15% Discretionary Fund Balances
- SAFETEA LU 5307 85% Fund Balances
- SAFETEA LU TE1% Fund Balances
- Summary of Invoices — FY10/FY11
- Summary of EZ Pass Invoices – FY10/FY11
- Subsidy Matrix FY10/FY11
- TDA/STA Claim Summary FY10/FY11
- TDA-STA Claim Summary Cap FY10/FY11
- Regional Pass Sales

BOS Agenda Packages can be accessed online at:
http://www.metro.net/about_us/committees/bos.htm

Please call MARTHA BUTLER at 213-922-7651 or Quenisha Williams at 213-922-7474 if you have questions regarding the agenda or meeting. The next BOS meeting will be held October 19, 2010 at 9:30 am in the Windsor Conference Room, 15th Floor of the Gateway Building.
Agenda

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

LOCAL TRANSIT SYSTEMS SUBCOMMITTEE

Windsor Room – 15th Floor

1. Call to Order

2. Approval of Minutes – July 29, 2010
   (to be handed out at meeting)

3. Regional Mobility Management Study*

4. Mini Call Update

5. NTD Reporting and Audit Update

6. LTSS Survey
   (emailed with agenda, discussion of results at meeting)

7. Call for Projects

8. Adjournment

Action
Alex Gonzalez, Chair

Action
Alex Gonzalez, Chair

Information
Geetu Banerjee, ASILA

Information
Armineh Saint, Metro

Information
Pari Ahmadi, Metro

Information
Alex Gonzalez, Chair

Information
Rena Lum and/or
Michael Richmai, Metro

Alex Gonzalez, Chair

*If you can stay after LTSS dismisses, Geetu Banerjee and her consultant will conduct a Focus Group on the Regional Mobility Management Study

“Save the Date” - Tentative Schedule for upcoming LTSS meetings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 28, 2010</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Windsor Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2, 2010</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Windsor Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agenda
Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee

Windsor Conference Room, 15th Floor

1. Call to Order
   Action (Bahman Janka)
   1 min

2. Approval of Minutes
   Action (Subcommittee)
   Attachment 1: Draft August 19, 2010 Minutes
   Attachment 2: Sign in Sheet/Attendance Sheet
   Attachment 3: 90 Day Rolling Agenda
   1 min

3. Chairperson Report
   Information (Bahman Janka)
   5 min

4. Metro Report
   Information (Fulgene Asuncion)
   • STP-L Balances
   Attachment 4: STP-L Balance Sheet
   10 min

5. Caltrans Report
   Information (Kirk Cessna)
   10 min

6. Legislative Update
   Information (Michael Turner, Raffi Hamparian, Marisa Valdez Yeager)
   • Federal
   15 min
   • State

7. CTC Update
   Information (Patricia Chen)
   10 min
8. 2011 Call For Projects  
   15 min  
   Action *(Rena Lum)*

9. SB-375 Update  
   10 min  
   Information *(Sarah Jepson)*

10. Congestion Mitigation Fee/Draft 2010  
    Congestion Management Program  
    10 min  
    Information *(Robert Calix/Stacy Alameida)*

11. I-405 Sepulveda Pass Improvements Project  
    10 min  
    Information *(Devon Cichoski)*

12. New Business  
    5 min  
    Discussion *(Subcommittee)*

13. Adjournment  
    1 min  
    Action *(Subcommittee)*

The next meeting of the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee will be held on October 21, 2010 at 1:30 p.m. on the 15th Floor, Windsor Conference Room. Please contact Fulgene Asuncion at (213) 922-3025 should you have any questions or comments regarding this or future agendas.

Agendas can be accessed online at: [http://www.metro.net/about_us/committees/sfs/sfs.htm](http://www.metro.net/about_us/committees/sfs/sfs.htm)
Attachment 2

Subcommittee Actions
Disposition of October 2010 Subcommittee Actions

Bus Operations Subcommittee:

- Approved minutes for July 20, 2010 meeting as amended
- BOS Elected
  - Joseph Loh - BOS Chair
  - Alva Carrasco - BOS Vice Chair
  - Linda Hui - BOS Secretary

Local Transit Systems Subcommittee:

- Approved minutes for July 29th, 2010 meeting

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee:

- Approved minutes for August 19, 2010 meeting as modified
- Action deferred on the 2011 Call for Projects. The Subcommittee requested Metro staff to return at the October meeting to provide complete draft guidelines on the proposed changes to the 2011 Call Applications.

TDM/Air Quality Subcommittee:

- Did not meet in September
Attachment 3

Draft September 1, 2010 TAC Minutes

Sign-In Sheets

TAC Member Attendance
Meeting Minutes

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
Cory Zelmer (Alternate Chair) called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m., took roll and declared a quorum was present.

2. Agenda Reports by Standing Committees

BOS (Linda Hui)
- Did not meet in August
- Next meeting will be September 21, 2010

Local Transit Systems (Alex Gonzalez)
- Did not meet in August
- Next meeting will be September 23, 2010

Streets & Freeways (Fulgene Asuncion)
- Last met on August 19th
- Received information on the 2011 Call for Projects. There will be revisions to the 2011 Call application tailored to provide more background information on the Call and also assist first-time applicants to better understand the process and the purpose of the Call. Ms. Asuncion added that the Subcommittee expressed concerns and asked questions regarding the proposed changes to the Call. Some questions included the amount of funding to be available in each mode, the funding cap, and if the point system could incorporate extra points for projects fulfilling the Complete Streets concept
- Next meeting will be September 16, 2010

TDM/Air Quality (Mark Yamarone)
- A special meeting was held on August 18th
- Received a presentation on the 2011 Call for Projects. The Subcommittee supported staff’s proposed changes in the Call for Project’s qualifications, but expressed concerns over the inclusion of a new Sustainability measure for projects. The
Subcommittee encouraged staff to provide a specific formula in the application so that Sustainability could be compared uniformly between projects.

- Next meeting will be September 15th

3. Chairperson’s Report

- Provided as Attachment 3 to the September 1st TAC Agenda

4. Consent Calendar

A motion to approve the July 7, 2010 minutes was made by Mark Yamarone (TDM) and seconded by Ken Husting (City of Los Angeles). There were no abstentions or objections.

Mr. Patashnick noted that or the July Board action summary was included in the agenda packet.

5. Martha Welborne, FAIA, Executive Director Countywide Planning (Metro)

Mr. Patashnick introduced Ms. Martha Welborne, Executive Director for Countywide Planning. Mr. Patashnick stated that Ms. Welborne has been a moving force behind projects in the Los Angeles region for many years. She helped create the Metro Rapid Bus System and is currently the Managing Director of the Grand Avenue Committee. Ms. Welborne has worked for ZGF Architects, LLP, Sikdmore, Owings & Merrill LLP, and Sasaki Associates, Inc. Currently, she serves on the Board of Chancellors for USC’s School of Architecture and for KUSC. She was a Loeb Fellow at Harvard University’s Graduate School of Design, and is a member of the College of Fellows of the American Institute of Architects.

Ms. Welborne greeted TAC members and stated that she was happy and excited to be working at Metro. She stated that she is currently immersing herself in all the projects included in Measure R and the 30/10 Initiative.

David Feinberg (League-Westside Cities) asked if there were any changes she would make to the Agency? Ms. Welborne replied that she is anxious to integrate upcoming transit projects to create a system that truly benefits the region. She added that she envisions improving the connections between the bus and rail systems and work towards improving the link between our transportation system and local land use.

Jano Baghdanian (TDM/AQ) asked how Metro could do more to impact current land use decision-making? Ms. Welborne replied that although Metro has little control over land uses, she hopes to build relationships with the local jurisdictions in order to improve the effectiveness of land use and transportation.

Mr. Feinberg asked how Ms. Welborne was planning to make Metro’s Planning Department more effective? Ms. Welborne replied that there have been discussions to reorganize the Planning Department and split the current planning teams between highway and transit planning. Doug Failing (Metro) would oversee highway projects and Ms. Welborne would oversee transit projects. The planners will then focus on systems planning while implementing Measure R projects, Sustainability initiatives, and the Call for Projects.
added that current discussions have focused on a shift towards project delivery while maintaining relationships with the local jurisdictions.

Sumi Gant (City of Long Beach) asked whether bikes would be fall under transit or highway? Ms. Welborne replied that she and Mr. Failing are still discussing that issue.

6. Legislative Update

Federal (Marisa Yeager, Metro)
No report.

State (Michael Turner, Metro)
Mr. Turner reported that Congress is in recess until mid September. Both the Republicans and Democrats on the Senate Committee on Appropriations have adopted their Transportation Appropriation bills and it is anticipated that a Continuing Resolution or Omnibus Appropriations bill will move forward soon. Mr. Turner noted that there have been rumors that Congressman James Oberstar’s (D-Minnesota) Transportation Reauthorization bill may be delayed until 2013. It is anticipated that Senator Barbara Boxer (D-CA) may introduce principles for her Authorization bill in late September or early October 2010.

Mr. Turner reported that Metro is awaiting announcements from United States Department of Transportation (U.S.DOT) regarding its Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) II Discretionary Grant program applications. Metro submitted the following three projects for TIGER II Grants:

- Crenshaw/LAX Transit Corridor Project, requesting $93,810,900
- Exposition Light Rail Transit Phase I – Safety Enhancements, requesting $12,280,000
- State Route 138 Safety and Capacity Enhancements, $20,000,000

Mr. Turner reported that staff will continue to work on the 30/10 Initiative funding plan as follows:

- Transit Improvement bonds
- Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA)
- Early Systems Work Agreement (ESWA) for the Regional Connector and the Westside Subway Extension projects

Los Angeles Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa and Senator Boxer attended a roundtable discussion in regards to the 30/10 Initiative. Metro CEO Art Leahy and a host of other distinguished guests also were in attendance and expressed strong interest in moving the 30/10 Initiative forward. Senator Boxer commented that TIFIA funding may be an appropriate mechanism.

Mr. Turner reported that the State Legislature ended this year’s session and forwarded a number of Metro supported Assembly Bills (AB) to the Governor’s office as follows:

- AB 1224, Assemblyman Mike Eng, D- 49th Assembly District, which would revise the implementation date of the Express Lane project until 2012;
• SB 1341, Senator Curren Price, D-26th District, which would authorize Metro to expand its existing Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Program to non-federally funded contracts;
• SB 1371, Assemblymember Bonnie Lowenthal, D-54th Assembly, which would authorize the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to fund Positive Train Control (PTC) for Metrolink. CTC will authorize Letters of No Prejudice (LONP) for the $950 million in high-speed passenger train bond funds intended for capital improvements to intercity and commuter rail lines and urban rail systems.

Mr. Turner reported that the State continues without a budget. On August 31st, the California Senate Republicans opposed the Democrats’ budget proposal to raise taxes on middle-income families and small businesses. He noted that Governor Schwarzenegger expressed interest in applying the sales tax to professional services as opposed to raising middle-income families and small business’ taxes. The sales tax on services would mean that taxpayers would pay higher taxes on services such as car repair, baby sitters, hair cuts, theme parks, dry cleaning, taxi cabs, and other services.

Metro has a series of projects that are dependent upon the State budget passing, including the I-405 Northbound, the Exposition Light Rail Line, and the Canoga Extension of the Metro Orange Line. These projects depend on State bonds to be sold in the future. Mr. Turner explained that if there is no budget, the State cannot sell the bonds and Metro would not receive the money necessary to keep these projects moving forward. Staff has been communicating with Sacramento regarding the importance of the bond sale.

7. 2011 Call for Projects (Brad McAllester and Heather Hills, Metro)
Ms. Hills announced that at the July 2010 meeting, the Board approved the FY 2011 Call for Projects (CFP) process, which implements a multi-modal grant program included in the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). She stated that as the CFP program progresses, staff will provide monthly updates to TAC. Ms. Hills reported that the FY 2011 Call application will include new information that will be formally presented at a Workshop on November 16th to assist eligible applicants and first time applicants in completing and understanding the application. The changes are as follows:
• Background information to reiterate the Call’s purpose, explain its relationship to the LRTP, and its relevance to the County;
• Provide four new guidelines: improving mobility, maximizing person throughput on streets, reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), and conforming to SB 375, which targets Greenhouse Gas emissions (GHGe). She noted that staff will be available during the workshop to assist local jurisdictions with the SB 375 guidelines. Complete Streets will be incorporated within the modes;
• Goods Movement is now a separate mode from the RSTI mode;
• Less redundancy in the financial statement; and
• November 16th Workshop to introduce the FY 2011 Call for Projects and to address the online application questions.

Mohammad Mostahkami (League, Gateway Cities) inquired of the Call amount? Ms. Hills replied $100 million in FY 16 and 17. Mr. Mostahkami asked how the funds will be divided amongst the modal categories? Ms. Hills replied that the Board must establish funding for...
each mode and that it also depends on the type of money available. She added that staff will return to TAC next spring for a motion regarding projects approved for funding.

Mr. Baghdanian asked if there will be a set-aside for the CFP Appeals process? Ms. Hills replied that the Board passed a motion to allow a set-aside for the Appeals process.

**RSTI (Walt Davis)**

Mr. Davis reported that there will be less money available in the RSTI mode than in previous Calls. In order to equally distribute the money, Metro is considering implementing a funding cap of $2 million per project and encouraging sponsors to submit cost-effective projects. Grade separation projects will be eligible in this category as long as agencies have a strong local match. Another change is that Metro may incorporate the concept of Complete Streets.

Pat DeChellis (County of Los Angeles) asked if the RSTI mode is necessary for this Call when staff is encouraging Complete Streets, left/right turn pockets, and GHGe reductions. He stated that the money could go to other modes such as Pedestrian, Bikes and Transportation Demand Management (TDM). Mr. Davis replied that according to the 2009 Call for Projects, applicants applying for cost-effective left/right turn pockets appeared to relieve the most traffic congestion on the local streets. Mr. DeChellis suggested that local jurisdictions include project components that reduce VMT and GHGe, specifically TDM, Pedestrian, and Bike projects, especially if the RSTI funding is capped at $2 million.

Ms. Gant concurred and stated that the City of Long Beach has never applied for RSTI projects, but will begin applying for RSTI and Complete Streets in the FY 2011 Call.

Mr. Patashnick asked TAC’s opinion on the funding cap? Ken Hustings (LADOT) replied that he did not like the funding cap. He explained that the Port of Los Angeles would have a hard time finding a project within $2 million. Michelle Smith (Metro) replied that the Goods Movement mode will not have a cap, however, Metro’s contribution is capped at 17% of the total project cost. Mr. Hustings asked if there was an opportunity to have a one on one discussion with the modal lead to better understand how to complete the RSTI application? Ms. Hills replied that sponsors will have time during the Workshops to obtain information on each application.

Mr. Mostahkami asked what criteria would be used for the Complete Streets point system in the FY 2011 Call. Mr. Davis explained that projects could be pedestrian-friendly through wider crosswalks, or transit-friendly with bus pull-outs or bus pads. Also, staff will be considering the proximity of bike paths to bus stops. He stated that staff is currently working to design questions and points for the RSTI category application.

Ferdy Chan (City of Los Angeles) stated that previous criteria in the RSTI application were very restrictive and made it difficult for pedestrian projects to be included. He asked if the RSTI mode would lessen some of its criteria to allow pedestrian projects to apply for RSTI money? Mr. Davis replied that the priority is to fund RSTI projects.
Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvements (Randy Lamm)
Mr. Lamm reported that several sections will have adjustments. The first adjustment involves minor point shuffling in the Project Readiness section. Metro is considering freeing up some points to support planning efforts for signal projects. For example, the planning question may be changed to ask if the project is included in the local jurisdiction’s signal master plan, in addition to being part of a regional or multi-jurisdictional plan. In the past, some have questioned whether funding can be made available solely for planning studies; however Metro funding eligibility in the Call is limited to capital improvements. The second adjustment involves incorporating Sustainability issues. In this Call, there will be a set of Sustainability questions, including a question on Green House Gas Emissions and Vehicle Miles Traveled reduction.

Mark Yamarone (TDM/AQ) asked if there have been discussions to open the category to ITS projects? Mr. Lamm replied that ITS projects have been eligible in the past. For example, the category funded projects such as the County’s Information Exchange Network (IEN) projects, Commute Information Systems, and Traffic Control Systems. Mr. Yamarone replied that in his view ITS has three components: 1) the Signal Synchronization and Traffic Management side, 2) the Transit Information Data System, and 3) Parking Information, he encouraged staff to review ITS in a broader perspective. Ms. Hills replied that the category for Parking Management Programs is the TDM mode, where those types of projects are eligible and have been funded in the past.

Bikeway Improvements (Anthony Jusay)
Mr. Jusay reported that there will be two changes in this category: 1) eliminating new bike racks on municipal buses from the application; 2) Encouraging project sponsors to bundle and combine various locations and elements into one project application. Metro is considering a $1 million funding cap for this mode due to bikeway and pedestrian improvements constituting, historically, only 7-8% of total funding for the Call. Another change is to provide clearer and more concise language and to avoid redundancies. Questions in the Regional Significance section will be tailored towards ‘bike to transit’ projects to focus on regional trips outside of major cities. Questions in the Project Need & Benefit to the Transportation System section will focus on how the project will help support bicyclists to get around their local communities. There will also be efforts to include changes that Metro’s Sustainability program has recommended.

Mr. Yamarone expressed concerns that the $1 million funding cap would preclude cities from applying for more costly on-street improvements, such as road diets and complete streets projects. Mr. Jusay explained that a $1 million Class 1 facility costs approximately $1.5 million per mile, so the funding cap is to make the funds available to more projects. Road diets can apply for funding in the RSTI category, if the Bike element is no more than 30% of the total project cost.

Mr. Mostahkami asked if a Bike Transportation Plan (BTP) is required to apply for a bike lane. Mr. Jusay replied no, but two or three points will be applied to agencies that have an adopted BTP.

Goods Movement (Michelle Smith)
Ms. Smith reported that the Goods Movement application will mirror the RSTI application for the most part, with some minor format changes. Metro’s contribution for multi million dollar projects for multiple years will be capped at 17%. As in previous Calls, eligible projects will include grade separations, truck access and intersection improvement projects, projects that support goods movement systems or business and land uses, ports, in addition to being multimodal. Metro would like to encourage applicants to consider Sustainability as well as Complete streets. There will be no change to local match requirements and the same quantitative information will be requested (such as level of service, counts on truck volumes, etc.).

Mr. Mostahkami asked if the category requires Project Study Report (PSR) and PSR Equivalent (PSRE) and if they are good after three years? Ms. Smith replied yes, projects are required to have a current PSR/PSRE. PSR/PSRE must be updated if older than three years.

Transportation Demand Management (Rufina Juarez)
Ms. Juarez reported that there will be a change to the Regional Significance section in regards to how the project will improve the quality of life in the community. Integration, Land Use, Sustainability and Cost Effectiveness will also include more questions. New categories such as Usability and Multi-technology questions will be added. She noted that funding will not be available until FY 2016.

David Feinberg inquired on the amount of funding allocated in the 2009-10 Call for Projects. Ms. Juarez replied that the TDM category received $14.48 million in the 2009 Call for Projects.

Mike Behen (League of Cities-North County) asked if there was a funding cap for this mode? Ms. Juarez replied no.

Transit Capital (Michael Richmai)
Mr. Richmai reported that he proposed two changes to the Call application. The first change was updating the Sustainability Section to include the GHGe and VMT. The second change was to remove the language “facilitate Tier 1 service as defined in the adopted LRTP,” but maintain the language which states “…to support a tiered service-deployment strategy allowing for the integration and coordination of local and community-based service with the regional network to effectively address service demand, maximize mobility options, and attract new riders to public transit.”

Ms. Gant inquired if streetcars would be funded in this category? Mr. Richmai replied no and that only buses would be funded.

Pedestrian Improvements (Tham Nguyen)
Ms. Nguyen reported that the Pedestrian mode will involve only three minor modifications to the existing application. The changes will involve eliminating repetitiveness, encouraging Complete Streets and multi-modal integration, and updating the Sustainability question. No funding cap will be applied to this category.

Transportation Enhancements (Reinland Jones)
Mr. Jones reported that there will only be minor modifications to the application. These include; changes to the language and incorporating land use and Sustainability. No funding cap will be applied to this category.

**Sustainability (Desiree Portillo-Rabinov)**

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov reported that Question 5 of the application will be revised to include multiple questions on Sustainability. Metro is considering adding three basic questions, which will be customized to each mode. One question will utilize the Caltrans Sustainability Mobility 2010 Call for Action framework. Specifically, staff will utilize their place-type definitions and transportation priorities. This will enable staff to look at what types of projects will be built in those areas that connect land use with transportation. Staff will also use SCAG’s Compass Blueprint document as the base foundation for another question. This will enable Metro to determine how agencies tie their transportation project to their Strategic Opportunity areas. The third question will look at Green building aspects such as what Sustainable design elements will be incorporated for all facilities. Metro is still working on developing the questions, and is customizing them for each mode.

Mr. Yamarone suggested that staff provide a formula or guideline to calculate the reduction of GHGe and VMTs. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov replied that staff is still discussing how to approach the GHGe and VMT calculations, but that Metro will not be able to endorse a single formula for the 2011 Call. She noted that SCAG has a tool on its web site that agencies can use.

### 8. FY 2010-11 TDA Article 8 Unmet Transit Needs (Susan Richan, Metro)

Ms. Richan reported that TDA Article 8 funds are State sales tax revenues that State law designates for use by Los Angeles County local jurisdictions which fall outside of the Metro transit service area. Metro allocates TDA Article 8 funds based on population and payments are distributed monthly, contingent upon staff approval of each jurisdiction’s claim form. Staff will go to the September Board meeting to request adoption and resolution for the FY 2010-11 TDA Article 8 Unmet Transit Needs for the following jurisdictions:

- Catalina Island Area unmet transit needs for the recommendation to the City of Avalon unmet transit need - $84,220 to maintain funding sources for transit services;
- Antelope Valley, which includes the Cities of Lancaster - $3,452,447 and Palmdale - $3,600,664 in unmet transit need, which may be used for street and road purposes and/or transit;
- City of Santa Clarita Valley - $4,214,566 for street and road and/or transit purposes;
- Los Angeles Unincorporated areas of North County (Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita Valley) to allocate $3,236,092 existing transit needs can be met through using other more restrictive funding sources, for street and road projects, or transit projects;

The total funding mark for FY 2010-11 is estimated at $14,586,988.

### 9. RIITS 10-Year Strategic Plan (Desiree Portillo-Rabinov, Metro)

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov provided a PowerPoint presentation and reported that the RIITS program functions as a planning and operations tool for public agencies to access real-time multimodal data. She noted that with the assistance of stakeholders and department
agencies in identifying the needs, opportunities and future Strategic Initiatives, the RIITS 10-Year Strategic Plan has been completed. She explained that RIITS is an interagency regional system that receives real-time transportation data from Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) for 31 agencies across Los Angeles County. In return, RIITS provides value-added information on the operation of multimodal transportation systems including freeway, arterial, and transit systems to the partnering agencies and to the general public. Real-time data streams into Metro’s system every 30 seconds to a minute which passes through the system for the public to access. She stated that the RIITS program is the ITS backbone for the five county areas including Ventura, Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Orange, and Riverside Counties. The program is a web-based platform in which it can be accessed from any desktop, iPad, iPod, or cell phone. It also offers security access for local jurisdictions to research more performance measurement data. The system follows the ITS Architecture and Standards policy and procedures in addition to requirements of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). All participating agencies in the RIITS program must meet FHWA’s requirements. Data that passes through the program’s system will be archived through an emergency management system for up to three years.

Ms. Portillo-Rabinov stated that over the next 10 years, the RIITS Implementation Plan will need an additional $36.5 million to meet its projected funding needs, which includes:

- 9% Functional Elements
- 8% Integration of Regional Networks
- 14% Regional Data Management
- 40% Operations Support, and

She stated that the current $1.5 million annual funding level meets only 29% of the projected need. Ms. Portillo-Rabinov provided a demonstration of how the RIITS database works and concluded by stating that the full RIITS document is located at www.riits.net.

Ellen Blackman (ADA) asked if the website will accommodate individuals that are vision impaired and require a talking computer? Ms. Portillo-Rabinov replied that she would ensure that the program is available and compatible with talking computers.


Ms. Alameida reported that the Draft 2010 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) summarizes the results of 18 years of CMP highway and transit monitoring and 15 years of monitoring local growth and implementing guidelines. The CMP program was created from a passage of Proposition 111 in 1990 to link transportation, land use, and air quality decisions for Los Angeles County as well as develop partnership between decision makers to develop solution to the CMP program. Since 1993, Metro has been the Congestion Management Agency for Los Angeles County and is responsible for implementing the CMP program and to meet State statues and requirements. The State requires Metro to develop, adopt and update biennially reports for every county that includes an urbanized area and shall include the Cities and the County. Ms. Alameida provided a copy of the updated Draft 2010 CMP Performance document, which updates the performance monitoring received from Caltrans, municipal transit operators, the Cities and the County. The Draft 2010 CMP found 50% of Los Angeles County’s freeway system is operating at Level of Service (LOS) E
and F since 1995, since then, there have been some improvements on the County’s arterial system. The performance data also showed improvements in the operations of 20%, transit is up from 16 miles per hour to 17 miles per hour, and throughput from increased passengers carried is up 44%.

Ms. Alameida invited TAC members to participate in commenting on the Draft 2010 CMP. The dates to submit comments are as follows:

- September 14, 2010: Public hearing will be held at Metro’s Gateway Building
- September 17, 2010: Deadline for written comments. Comments can be made to Ms. Alameida at alameidas@metro.net
- October 20, 2010: Consideration of the Draft 2010 CMP by the Metro Planning and Programming Committee;
- October 28, 2010: Consideration of the Draft 2010 CMP for adoption by the Metro Board at their regularly scheduled meeting.

Mr. Calix reported that staff held a workshop with the San Gabriel Valley COG in August 2010. The workshop was successful in that 30 San Gabriel Valley Cities and the County provided a revised list of projects. Staff is planning to meet with the Planning Directors and the Public Works Directors at the end of September 2010 and with City Managers in the Fall 2010 to discuss the revised list of projects carried into the Nexus Analysis. Jurisdictions requested staff to revise the program guidelines to add additional categories. Therefore, staff has added bike, pedestrian, and TDM projects as a result of the Sustainability initiatives moving forward with the Cities and the County. However, projects must be quantifiable and have a nexus between the development and the impact on the transportation network.

Mr. Calix reported that the South Bay Cities are preparing their list of projects as well as the City of Los Angeles, Culver City Working Group, the Westside Cities, and others. Staff has been briefing the Gateway Cities COG regarding the Congestion Mitigation Fee study status. Mr. Calix concluded by announcing that jurisdictions in the San Gabriel Valley have until the end of this year to submit their projects for the Nexus Analysis.

Larry Stevens (League of Cities - San Gabriel Valley) asked the number of COGs participating in the Nexus Analysis study? Mr. Calix replied there are three COGs San Gabriel Valley, South Bay Cities, and Westside/Central, plus the City of Los Angeles are participating in the pilot study. There are other Cities participating in the pilot study that are not COGs. Mr. Stevens asked to explain the term rolling implementation? Mr. Calix replied that the term “rolling” means a phased approach to meeting with all 89 jurisdictions. He stated that the term “implementation” does not pertain to the fee, but rather to the outreach conducted during the pilot program.

Mr. Mostahkami asked what types of projects were included in the pilot program. Mr. Calix replied capital projects including highway, arterial, transit improvements, bicycle, pedestrian, and TDM categories. Staff stated that as long as they can show an improvement that enhances mobility of the transportation network, the projects have a higher probability of being considered eligible. For example, an agency that proposes to add a sidewalk with a length of 80 feet to a larger transit accessibility improvement may be an eligible project. In regards to bike improvements, one bike rack would not be eligible, but a systemwide bike...
rack implementation project would most likely be eligible as long as a link can be made to mitigating the impact of development on the transportation network.

11. CTC Update (Toye Oyewole)
Mr. Oyewole reported that the State has no budget and provided reports from the following agencies:

- Caltrans Director Cindy McKim reported that she will send a press release regarding the impact of the late budget. She stated that there is $1.5 billion in projects pending allocations;
- Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) Regional Moderator, Kenny Kao reported at the RTPA meeting that he sent a letter to legislators on behalf of regions regarding the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Public Transportation Account (PTA) shortfall. He stated that language in the budget needs fixing to allow Federal and State Highway Account (SHA) funds to be used on transit to provide flexibility to regions in dealing with shortfalls;
- America Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Denix Anbiah reported that projects valuing $500,000 or more must invoice every six months to avoid deobligation. There are no inactive projects for September;
- Port of Long Beach (POLB), Eric Shen provided a PowerPoint presentation on the Gerald Desmond Bridge Replacement Project. Commissioner James Ghielmetti expressed concerns that the project should pursue a Private Public Partnership (PPP) and collect tolls. Mr. Shen indicated that the POLB considered toll collecting and PPP, but elected not to go that way because it would have caused traffic impacts and delays to the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) associated with collecting tolls.

Lupe Valdez (Goods Movement) added that Fran Inman was appointed commissioner to the CTC, for Southern California, by Governor Schwarzenegger in July 2010. She was former Chairwoman of LA Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors and President of the Majestic Realty Foundation.

12. New Business
No new business

13. Adjournment
Mr. Patashnick announced that the next TAC meeting is scheduled for October 6, 2010 in the Union Station Conference Room, 3rd floor. If you have questions regarding the next meeting, please contact Cory Zelmer at (213) 922-1079 or email zelmerc@metro.net.
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<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
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</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Ken Hustig/Kang Hu</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Robert Brager/Ramiro Adeva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allen Abrams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wajeeh Rehman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arsen Mangasarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Celeste D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Robert Salas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Decoe Taber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dana Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marianne Kim/Stephen Finneghan (A)</td>
<td>AUTO CLUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Reyno/Linda Hu (A)</td>
<td>BOS SUBCOMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Kobata/Susun Lipman (A)</td>
<td>BOS SUBCOMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt. Cindy Pontes/L. Dave Bowen (A)</td>
<td>CHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>CALTRANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirk Cesna/Vijay Koppam (A)</td>
<td>CALTRANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Blackman/John Whitbread (A)</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumi Gani/Mark Christoffels (A)</td>
<td>LONG BEACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Lefton/Corinne Ralph (A)</td>
<td>CITY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Hunting/Kang Hu (A)</td>
<td>CITY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gina Mancha/Ferdy Chani (A)</td>
<td>CITY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Herrick/Travis Seawars (A)</td>
<td>COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shari Afsari/Josephine Gutierrez (A)</td>
<td>COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick DeChelis/Paul Massachusetts (A)</td>
<td>COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg Herrmann/Cathi Cole (A)</td>
<td>ARROYO VERDUGO CITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammad Mostahkami/Lisa Rapp (A)</td>
<td>GATEWAY CITIES COG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Brager/Ramiro Adeva (A)</td>
<td>LAS VEGGENES MALIBU COG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Rizzo/Mike Behen (A)</td>
<td>NORTH L.A. COUNTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Stevens/Craig Bradshaw (A)</td>
<td>SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Huang/Victor Rollinger (A)</td>
<td>SOUTH BAY CITIES COG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Feinberg/Sharon Penfils (A)</td>
<td>WEST BIDE CITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jano Baghidian/Kathryn Engel (A)</td>
<td>LTSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Gonzalez/Joe Barrios (A)</td>
<td>LTSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Patashnik/Cory Zelmer (A)</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>METRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>SDOTLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyvonne Drummonds/Kathryn Higgins (A)</td>
<td>SDGMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Whiteaker/Annie Nair (A)</td>
<td>SDGMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupe Valdez/LaDonna DiCamillo (A)</td>
<td>GOODS MOVEMENT REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Yamarone/Phil Aker (A)</td>
<td>TOMAQ SUBCOMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>TOMAQ SUBCOMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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