Metro staff received your request for information and provided the requested document during the public comment period.
From: Kaplan, Ira [ira.kaplan@twcable.com]
To: Westside Extension
Sent: Mon 10/11/2010 10:35 AM
Subject: re getting paper copies of draft eis/eir and app a & B

had put in request at meetings I have been attending reg last 18 mo and am an advocate of subway ext I cannot because of job requirements no computer at home and no avail of library to view -had ask through Jody LItvak to rec paer copies of draft eis/eir and its app A & B at home and said spokesman has put me in metro data base and said they would consider providing these doc tome. I saw at rect mettings spoekes peiple for opposing aspect of porject waiving copies of this document I am a supporter and feel I should have this courtesy from you please call me on 310-658-7762 rather tha e-mail to me Thank you IRA KAPLAN

Metro staff received your request for information and provided the requested document during the public comment period.
Your support for Alternative 5 (Santa Monica Extension plus West Hollywood Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

The Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood. However, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments (Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an

From: SkinHlp@aol.com  [mailto:SkinHlp@aol.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 10:47 PM  
To: markridley-thomas@bos.lacounty.gov; meola@bos.lacounty.gov; revvs@bos.lacounty.gov; spedersen@lacbos.org; lifthdistrict@lacbos.org; lifthdistrict@lacity.org; councilmember.huizer@lacity.org; councilmember.huizer@lacity.org; brown@accesssudarte.com; <fasani@accesssudarte.com>; gpm.ocriner@smgov.net <gpm.ocriner@smgov.net>; anajarian@ci.glendale.ca.us <anajarian@ci.glendale.ca.us>; katzr@metro.net <katzr@metro.net>; Robinsonr@metro.net <Robinsonr@metro.net>; Leahy, Arthur; Gorman, Karen; Jackson, Michelle; Safer, Charles.  
Subject: Santa Monica Route for the subway through Beverly Hills

I am writing to you to ask you to support the Santa Monica route for the subway through Beverly Hills. Please understand that I support a subway, and want it to go through Beverly Hills.

The new alternative routes under Linden or Lasky Drives are bad. By moving the subway station one block from Santa Monica to Constellation (two-tenths of a mile), the tunneling goes directly under the middle of Beverly Hills High School, the District offices and residential properties. There is absolutely NO benefit for our Beverly Hills High School students, staff, or community for tunneling under our district office and high school. NONE! In fact, the “alternate” routes under Linden or Lasky limit the District in our plans for modernization at the High School and District office property.

Santa Monica Blvd is the best route. It is cheaper and safer with no risk to homes schools, underground oil wells, liquefaction, etc. When there is a viable alternative of a public roadway versus tunneling under schools and homes, the choice becomes clear. Why risk safety, damage and property value issues under schools and homes?

I want a subway, but I want a safe subway. Please support the Santa Monica Route for the subway through Beverly Hills.

Sincerely,  
Stuart H. Kaplan, MD

Medical & Cosmetic Dermatology  
Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine, UCLA Medical Center  
Assistant Clinical Professor of Dermatology, West LA Veterans Hospital  
435 N. Roxbury Drive, Suite 210  
Beverly Hills, CA 90210  
tel: 310.858.7880 fax: 310.858.7887  
www.SkinDoc.net  
www.kaplanMD.com
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership...
projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area...
Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment supporting the East location for the Wilshire/Fairfax Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative, which includes the Wilshire/Fairfax East Station location due to stronger community support and better access and land integration opportunities, including proximity to Museum Row.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Fairfax Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment in support of a station at Westwood/VA Hospital and in opposition of a station at Wilshire/Crenshaw has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively. The Board's approval of the LPA also included the decision to not build a Wilshire/Crenshaw Station.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the...
location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station. Your comment suggesting the construction of multiple entrances at the Century City Station has been noted. The number of entrances at each station was based on the ridership projections for that station. Based on these projections, Metro would construct one entrance at each of the proposed stations, with the exception of two entrances at the Westwood/UCLA Station due to high ridership projections.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership...
Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBM’s for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and
operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to
tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine
the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments.
These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA
requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with
the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes
and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for
a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the
tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading.
However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro
criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter.
Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The
vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top
of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the
tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station
would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-
Ingleswood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location.
No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.
Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults.
However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that
have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian
environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to
help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination
on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis
concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings
along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica
Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile
walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by
recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due
to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership
projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Your comment regarding the Century City Station has been noted. Please see response below to comment number 423-2.

Your comment regarding parking at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station has been noted. Metro decided to not provide park-and-ride facilities at any of the stations along the Westside Subway Extension. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station-both the North and South locations.

The Project Study Area, including the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections. Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. Although improvements to bus connections are not part of the Project, the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will provide access to five bus lines, including the Santa Monica Big Blue Bus. Furthermore, any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates. Additionally, any park-and-ride facility at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station would require locating the garage on the VA Campus with the cooperation of the VA.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 and 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and parking. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.6 provides an analysis of potential impacts to parking. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Appendix H - Response to Comments

423-1

Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Your comment regarding the Century City Station has been noted. Please see response below to comment number 423-2.

Your comment regarding parking at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station has been noted. Metro decided to not provide park-and-ride facilities at any of the stations along the Westside Subway Extension. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station-both the North and South locations.

The Project Study Area, including the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections. Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. Although improvements to bus connections are not part of the Project, the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will provide access to five bus lines, including the Santa Monica Big Blue Bus. Furthermore, any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates. Additionally, any park-and-ride facility at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station would require locating the garage on the VA Campus with the cooperation of the VA.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 and 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and parking. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.6 provides an analysis of potential impacts to parking. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Appendix H - Response to Comments.
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area.
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your support for Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.
Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment about selecting the most direct and least expensive route that generates the highest ridership has been noted. Ridership is indeed one of several important factors that Metro considers in its recommendations to the Board. In selecting a route, Metro considers several factors, including ridership, user benefits, travel time, capital costs, performance characteristics, and environmental impacts. Generally, the least expensive, most direct, and highest ridership route is the preferred route, but a combination or balancing of the factors identified above are used in making a selection. Between Beverly Hills and Century City, two route options – Santa Monica and Constellation North – were carried forward for further analysis in the Final EIS/EIR as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These route options reflect the two station location options remaining in Century City. In the case of the route options between Century City and Westwood, the East Alignment was selected as part of the LPA, as it is shorter and less costly than the West Alignment and has fewer environmental impacts than the Central Alignment.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including alignment locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the alignments in the Century City vicinity following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station location and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools as well as the development of the Century City station and alignment options has been noted.

Metro followed FTA’s New Starts project planning and development process and carefully considered public input in developing the location of the Century City Station. The process of determining the location of the Century City Station began with the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study in 2007. At the beginning of the Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study, two general corridors—one along Wilshire Boulevard and the other along Santa Monica Boulevard—were presented to the public at Early Scoping meetings. Some people who spoke at the Early Scoping meetings generally supported the proposed station locations that were presented (Santa Monica Boulevard in Century City being one of them). However, some attendees also suggested additional or alternate station locations, with some commenting that the station in Century City should be south of Santa Monica Boulevard, closer to the center of Century City, which Metro took into consideration.

During scoping for the Draft EIS/EIR in 2009, Metro sought additional public comment on the alignment and station options in the Beverly Hills to Westwood area, including the Century City Station location. During preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR, the alignment and station locations were refined to avoid impacts to the natural and built environments where feasible, provide a cost-effective solution to increase east/west mobility in the Study Area, and respond to public and agency input. The analysis and refinement of the station and alignment locations, including the Century City Station location, are described in the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report. Ultimately, the Century City Santa Monica Station and the Century City Constellation Station were carried forward for analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR.

Following public circulation of the Draft EIS/EIR, on October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.
Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an...
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Appendix H - Response to Comments.
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
First off, let me thank you for the hard work that you are doing to improve public transit in Los Angeles. This can not happen soon enough. I live in West Hollywood and commute south of Los Angeles to work. I also drive everywhere I go outside of West Hollywood (West LA, Hollywood, Downtown, etc). I make these trips quite often. I would love to take public transit, however it does not make sense when it is faster to drive. I’ve lived in LA for nearly 5 years and pay close attention to the changes in the transit routes (bus), hoping there will be better/faster options. I am constantly talking to people I know who live in WEHO and Hollywood and the response is often the same, “If there is no subway coming through West Hollywood, we are going to continue to drive”. Please find a way to include Westside Subway Extension Alternative 5 in Phase 1. Thanks, Michael

Your support for Alternative 5 (Santa Monica Extension plus West Hollywood Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

The Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood. However, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
### Record #104 Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Date</th>
<th>Submission Date</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Group Affiliation</th>
<th>Submission Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/30/2010</td>
<td>9/30/2010</td>
<td>Kevin</td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td></td>
<td>I have read most of your recent reports (I'm an engineer so it comes naturally) and I must say I support:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ the station at Constellation Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ Alternative 2 with current funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ Alternative 5 if you can get that funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ no station at Wilshire/Crenshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ incorporating the Connection Structure around La Cienega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ building the Wilshire/Fairfax Station East Station Option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ I believe you guys at Metro can get around that La Brea Tar Pits gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ the Westwood off-street option works best</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Good job and keep up the great work.

-Kevin

---

**104-1**

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Your support for Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) or Alternative 5 (Santa Monica Extension plus West Hollywood Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

The Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood. However, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors did not include a Wilshire/Crenshaw Station in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2. Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been
104-3
recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The *Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report* provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

104-4
Your preference for the inclusion of the West Hollywood connection structure has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board chose not to include a West Hollywood connection structure in the LPA due to funding constraints.

Additionally, the cost of the connection structure is not sufficiently justified when there may be alternative, less costly solutions to serve the West Hollywood transit market, such as a light rail line. The Draft EIS/EIR showed that there is a market for transit improvements serving West Hollywood, and this corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Should funding be identified and secured, further study could be done to identify a project that would be competitive under Federal funding criteria.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The *Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report* provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/La Cienega Station, including the potential connection structure, following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

104-5
Your comment supporting the East location for the Wilshire/Fairfax Station has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board decided to include the Wilshire/Fairfax East Station location due to stronger community support and better access and land integration opportunities, including proximity to Museum Row.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The *Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report* provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/La Cienega Station, including the potential connection structure, following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Fairfax Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your preference for the Off-Street location of the Westwood/ UCLA Station has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board decided to continue to study both Westwood/UCLA station location options (On-Street and Off-Street).

A comparative study of the two proposed Westwood/UCLA station locations, including engineering, costs, urban design, and environmental impact considerations, was conducted during the Final EIS/EIR phase to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR.

The Off-Street Station and tunnels would need to be deeper than the On-Street Station to clear the underside of foundations for a future hotel on Gayley Avenue, which makes the station and tunnels riskier and more expensive to construct, and requires more time for transit riders to travel between the platform and the station entrance. Additionally, the Westwood/UCLA Off-Street Station location would require approximately 13 additional permanent underground easements.

The On-Street Station location would provide at least one of entrance at the corner of Wilshire and Westwood Boulevards. This entrance location would provide better access to bus connections along Westwood Boulevard and would be closer to the major office buildings and Westwood Village than the entrances for the Off-Street Station. Furthermore, one of the station entrance options for the On-Street Station is a split entrance between the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard, providing access to both sides of busy Wilshire Boulevard. However, the Westwood/UCLA On-Street Station option is also expected to have greater traffic impacts during construction due to in-street construction along Wilshire Boulevard.

Based on these factors, the recommendation is to locate the Westwood/UCLA Station On-Street as this location could accommodate an entrance at the Wilshire Boulevard and Westwood Boulevard intersection, providing better pedestrian access to Westwood Village and connections along Westwood Boulevard.

Please refer to Section 8.8.6 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/UCLA Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description
of the refinements to the Westwood/UCLA Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Entrance Location Report and Recommendations provides a comparison of the potential entrance locations at Westwood Boulevard, Gayley Avenue and Veteran Avenue for both the On-Street and Off-Street Stations. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Hello,

First off, I want to thank you for having these informative meetings about the Westside Extension. I learned a great deal about the actual project, as well as hearing the opinions of our fellow Angelenos, whether positive or negative.

As a resident of the Miracle Mile for the past 2 years, I am excited that the subway will pass through the heart of the district. I believe that I live in one of the best parts of the city. I feel that I am in the middle of it all. I work in Century City, go to a gym in Hollywood, and hang out in Koreatown as well as Downtown LA. Having a means to get to all these places by way of mass transit (other than the bus) would allow me to travel without my car. I am very happy that the city is progressing forward into the future with a subway. I may not be living in the area by the time everything is finished, but I know that this connection will be a God send for everyone in LA proper, as well as the surrounding cities and counties around us.

I understand that we have limited funds, and as of now, Alternatives 1 and 2 are about our only choices, but I feel Alternative 5 would be the best fit. With this system, we can travel from Hollywood/Highland through West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Westwood and finally to Santa Monica. Providing mobility in an area like West Hollywood is a great need. When I used to live in the Los Feliz area, I would do my best to avoid Santa Monica Blvd because of the congestion from Century City. The travesty of this is the fact that I work stock market hours, and usually left Century City around 2:30pm! I can only imagine how much more frustrating it is for those that leave work at 5pm. I also believe that for the sake of all the proposed lines in this extension, please leave out the Wilshire/Crenshaw station since it has very little projected ridership. 1/2 a mile isn't very long to walk, not to mention an excuse for some to get a little bit of exercise.

I have heard that most likely, construction bids will come in under what was projected for each alternative. If that is the case, then I believe trying to do more than just Alternatives 1, 2 or 3 should be looked into. The other projects, such as Crenshaw, Eastside extension are important, however, I believe that the Westside extension should be prioritized and given the most funds, as it is very important to connect all the neighborhoods in the city.

Once again, thank you for all the hard work you have been doing. It has been a long time coming, and I hope to see the day that we can truly be a walkable city.

To Paul Koretz and staff: Thank you for the support you've given to the subway. I hope that you will work hard to find other alternative funding (if possible) other than federal to get this extension fully funded.

If I can be of any help in the future, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,
Mike Kim
Chapter 6 of the Draft EIS/EIR provides the cost and financial analysis prepared for all the alternatives under consideration in the Draft EIS/EIR. These costs will be refined during the Preliminary Engineering phase of study and will be presented in the Final EIS/EIR. Subsequent to the Final EIS/EIR/PE phase, the Project would move into the Final Design and as the design is further refined so would the cost estimates. Throughout the process, Metro will seek to design and ultimately construct the most cost-effective project possible. At this time, there is no mechanism for accounting for what construction bids would come in at. Once the bid process begins and are received, Metro will evaluate them based on current evaluation procedures. If bids are lower than estimated costs, Metro would then make decisions at that time as to how to address the application of any excess in funding.
Your comment on specific locations of property acquisitions has been noted. Please refer to Appendix C - Acquisitions of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Displacement and Relocation Supplemental Technical Report for detailed information, maps, and aerial photos. This technical report is available in the project website, www.metro.net/westside.
Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. In October 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). A Wilshire/Crenshaw Station was not included in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2. Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

While the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station is not included in the LPA, it is important to note that several security features would be implemented to prevent criminal activity at station locations as described in Section 4.12 of the Final EIS/EIR. These features include lighting, communication devises (e.g., passenger telephones), closed circuit television, signs and other design features, and law enforcement officers.

Your comment regarding property values has been noted. Since the LPA will improve transit service in the Study Area, research suggests that it is likely that properties within walking distance of the stations will realize value premiums over similar properties that are farther away. Based on studies of other regions with transit systems (i.e., San Francisco, San Diego, and San Jose, California; New York, New York; and Portland, Oregon), an average home price increase of 6.4 percent within one-half mile of each transit station may be experienced. Although most studies on real estate value impacts from transit show increases in value, they cannot explicitly isolate transit benefits from other market forces that affect real estate values. Value increases within proximity of a transit station are realized in sales price as well as rent premiums. For residential properties, these increases resulted from potential commute or recreational travel time savings and associated vehicle
Square. In seventeen years, NOT A SINGLE ONE OF THEM EVER TOOK THE BUS TO WORK, with
the exception of people who did it for “fun” on Earth Day!! It is a great notion that this demographic
will contribute to reducing traffic and carbon footprints by taking the subway, but it is just not realistic.
So if you are not servicing the residents of this area, AND you already have four bus stops at the
location, AND there is a subway station 1/2 mile away, why would there be a need to put a station at
Wilshire/Crenshaw? With the exception of a few buildings with business offices nearby, for whom are
you providing this subway exit? Who is going to get on the subway from Westwood or BH, or from the
eastside, and opt to exit at Wilshire/Crenshaw? If you are going to shops, restaurants, or businesses,
you would get off at Fairfax, LaBrea or Highland. If you are going to Koreatown, you would exit at
Western. What reason would there be to exit at Wilshire/Crenshaw? There is almost NOTHING to exit
at Wilshire/Crenshaw for, unless you are intentionally coming to HP/WS, or heading to a residence
South of Wilshire.

Please, please, reconsider the possibility of placing a station at Wilshire/Crenshaw. The downside
unreasonably outweighs any upside. Given the opportunity, I would come down to your offices and
beg. It is that important to me, my family, and my neighbors. Please do not force us out of our homes
and out of our neighborhood.

Should you want to discuss further, please do not hesitate to email me back.

Thank you.

Rob
resident of Lorraine Blvd

331-1
cost reductions (including both reduced mileage as well as a reduction in the number of
cars owned by the household).

Negative impacts on property values from transit (termed “nuisance” effects) also can
occur. Measurable noise impacts from vehicles, increased foot traffic, adjacent structures,
transit-associated parking, and increased bus traffic interfacing with transit stations can
reduce the desirability of properties near a fixed guideway station. Such nuisance effects
will most likely occur in areas where value is not attributed to the accessibility
improvements that transit provides. This does not appear likely within the Study Area, as
stations are planned for areas that are already densely developed and near major roads
and bus routes.

All residents and businesses displaced as a result of the LPA will be given advance written
notice and will be informed of their eligibility for relocation assistance and payments under
the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act. In areas
where the subway operates under private property, Metro will work with the property owner
to secure a subsurface easement. The following mitigation measures will be implemented
to ensure just compensation for acquisitions and easements:

• CN-1-Relocation Assistance and Compensation
• CN-2-Propose Joint-use Agreements
• CN-3-Compensation for Easements

Please refer to Sections 4.2.2, 4.2.3, and 4.2.4 of this Final EIS/EIR for a discussion of the
economic and fiscal impacts of the Project, including property acquisitions and easements.
Refer to the Westside Subway Extension Economic and Fiscal Impacts Analysis and
Mitigation Report for a more detailed discussion of property value impacts.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station and concerns about traffic and construction impacts of the Century City Santa Monica Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the
In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Construction impacts of the Project will be temporary and limited in areas as construction proceeds along the length of the Locally Preferred Alternative. Metro will coordinate with affected residents and businesses prior to construction. A detailed survey of community stakeholders and businesses will be conducted. A construction safety campaign will be developed and community response protocols (notification of construction activities, hot lines, etc.) will be produced. A public involvement plan will be developed prior to each construction phase and will be tailored to the construction phase. Metro will maintain the Project website, which will provide information to the public regarding construction phasing. Metro will develop a program tailored for different locations and needs. The program will involve signage and marketing to assistance to businesses, identification of parking alternatives, and other measures.

Metro also considers the cumulative impact of multiple projects in the Study Area under construction at the same time as the subway extension. Careful coordination will occur with local jurisdictions to ensure that potential impacts from the simultaneous construction of multiple projects are addressed and mitigated to the extent feasible.

Traffic impacts associated with LPA construction include reduced roadway traffic lanes and
Temporary street closures that could result in major traffic disruptions and bottlenecks. These impacts are associated with contractor work and storage areas, stations, crossovers, mining entry/exit locations, TBM operations and support activities, truck haul routes, transportation of oversized construction materials, station entrances, station appendages, grout injection, and drop holes for the LPA and are detailed in Section 3.8.2 of this Final EIS/EIR.

Subway stations are built by excavating the site for the station box and then building the station below ground. If the station is built under a street, it is covered over with concrete decking during construction to allow traffic to continue to flow overhead. Traffic will be disrupted at the beginning of station construction to allow for initial excavation and installation of the concrete decking, and again at the end to remove the decking and reconstruct the street. Section 3.8 details the traffic-control activities during station construction and the duration of each activity.

Street closures will be coordinated with local jurisdictions and the maintenance of traffic lanes during construction will follow local agency requirements and standards with respect to minimum lane widths, the number of available travel lanes, and the duration of temporary lane closures. Specific street closure locations will be identified in close coordination with local agencies during the final design phase.

To minimize impacts to traffic circulation, the following mitigation measures will be implemented during construction:

- TCON-1—Traffic Control Plans
- TCON-2—Designated Haul Routes
- TCON-3—Emergency Vehicle Access
- TCON-4—Transportation Management Plan
- TCON-5—Coordination with Planned Roadway Improvements

T-CON-2, TCON-3, TCON-4, TCON-5 were added during this Final EIS/EIR phase based on additional analysis of construction impacts on traffic circulation and concerns raised by the public. With implementation of the mitigation, construction-related adverse effects on traffic circulation will be reduced for adjacent commercial areas and residential neighborhoods. Although the construction impacts on traffic circulation identified will be temporary, impacts and/or residual impacts after mitigation will remain significant and unavoidable during the construction period.

The greatest noise impacts will occur near stations, tunnel access portals, and construction laydown areas where construction activities at the surface are concentrated. In addition, haul routes will experience increased truck traffic, which could add to traffic noise. With the exception of these areas, all other construction will occur completely below-grade. Section 4.15.3 of this Final EIS/EIR analyzes construction noise impacts and mitigation measures.
When the construction site for the station box is open, noise from construction equipment will be audible at street level and result in an adverse effect. This time period will produce the highest levels of construction noise. The excavation and installation of street decking is expected to last four to five months. As the excavation continues below street level, the noise of construction will be reduced because the sides of the excavated opening will act as a sound barrier. Eventually when the surface opening is covered with temporary decking, construction noise at the surface will no longer be noticeable above the traffic noise. Therefore, the excavation of the station box will result in a temporary adverse noise effect.

To reduce the potential for noise and vibration impacts to schools associated with construction, Metro’s plans, specifications, and estimates (bid) documents will include measures to comply with the City of Los Angeles, City of Beverly Hills, and County of Los Angeles noise ordinances during construction hours. To further reduce noise impacts during construction, the following mitigation measures will be implemented:

- CON-22—Hire or Retain the Services of an Acoustical Engineer
- CON-23—Prepare a Noise Control Plan
- CON-24—Comply with the Provisions of the Nighttime Noise Variance
- CON-25—Noise Monitoring
- CON-26—Use of Specific Construction Equipment at Night
- CON-27—Noise Barrier Walls for Nighttime Construction
- CON-28—Comply with Local Noise Ordinances
- CON-29—Signage
- CON-30—Use of Noise Control Devices
- CON-31—Use of Fixed Noise-Producing Equipment for Compliance
- CON-32—Use of Mobile or Fixed Noise-Producing Equipment
- CON-33—Use of Electrically Powered Equipment
- CON-34—Use of Temporary Noise Barriers and Sound-Control Curtains
- CON-35—Distance from Noise-Sensitive Receivers
- CON-36—Limited Use of Horns, Whistles, Alarms, and Bells
- CON-37—Requirements on Project Equipment
- CON-38—Limited Audibility of Project-Related Public Addresses or Music
- CON-39—Use of Haul Routes with the Least Overall Noise Impact
- CON-40—Designated Parking Areas for Construction-Related Traffic
- TCON-2—Designated Haul Routes
- CON-41—Enclosures for Fixed Equipment

Although mitigation measures will help to reduce noise impacts during construction, an adverse construction noise effect will remain after mitigation in the construction areas.

In addition to noise impacts, construction of the LPA could result in vibration impacts before mitigation is implemented. Impact pile driving at the station boxes will result in adverse vibration impacts. Perceptible vibration levels could be experienced within 200 feet of pile driving operations. Additionally, equipment used for underground construction, such as the
TBM and mine trains, could generate vibration levels that could result in audible ground-borne noise levels in buildings at the surface, depending on the depth of the tunnel and soil conditions. Tunneling under residences and schools will occur for a limited time. The TBM tunnels between 30 and 100 feet per day. For an average residence or business, this means that the TBMs would be below the surface of that structure for no more than a day or two. Since underground construction is expected to occur continuously over a 24-hour day, there is the potential for the tunnel boring operation to be audible during nighttime sleep hours when background noise levels inside residential buildings are very low. However, as indicated, the period for this potential disruption would be limited to a few days or less and mitigation measures would be implemented to minimize impacts.

The contractor will be responsible for the protection of vibration-sensitive historic buildings or cultural resource structures within 200 feet of any construction activity. To ensure that noise and vibration impacts associated with construction are below threshold levels, Metro’s plans, specifications, and estimates (bid) documents will include the following measures:

- CON-42—Phasing of Ground Impacting Operations
- CON-43—Alternatives to Impact Pile Driving
- CON-44—Alternative Demolition Methods
- CON-45— Restriction on Use of Vibratory Rollers and Packers
- CON-46—Metro Ground-Born Noise and Ground-Born Vibration Limits

If the Metro ground-borne noise limits or ground-borne vibration limits are exceeded during tunneling, the contractor will be required to take action to reduce vibrations to acceptable levels. Such action could include reducing the muck train speed, additional rail and tie isolation, and more frequent rail and wheel maintenance. However, there were no substantiated noise-level complaints made during tunneling for the Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension. Therefore, with mitigation, there will be no construction-related vibration adverse effects due to tunneling activities.

Your concerns about congestion along Santa Monica Boulevard during operation have also been noted. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for all stations, including the Century City Santa Monica Station, due to feedback from the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the station.

Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. By prioritizing the modes, the Design Criteria indicate that it is more important to minimize trade-offs that will negatively affect pedestrian and bicycle modes than to minimize trade-offs that will affect auto modes. However, using a more managed approach to station access that balances all modes could help to minimize the overall right-of-way needed because non-automobile modes (bus, pedestrian, and bicycle) can transport more people in less space than will be required if the same number of people traveled via automobile. As described in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, public parking will not be provided at any stations.
Section 3.5 of this Final EIS/EIR includes an intersection-level traffic analysis to determine whether the LPA will result in additional traffic congestion at the local level, including in the vicinity of the Century City Santa Monica Station, due to passengers accessing the station. This analysis concluded that the LPA, including the Century City Santa Monica Station, will not negatively impact any analyzed Study Area intersections in the immediate vicinity of the Century City Santa Monica Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. Refer to Section 4.15 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed information on construction noise and vibration impacts. Refer to Section 3.8.2 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Construction Traffic Analysis Report for more information on street closures and traffic congestion during construction and Section 3.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an analysis of congestion during operation. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station and station access/ridership projections has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

During preparation of the Final EIS/EIR, the ridership model from the Draft EIS/EIR was further refined to assess the LPA and incorporate any changes between the Draft EIS/EIR and the Final EIS/EIR. More than ten model runs were conducted to respond to changes, perform additional analysis, and answer questions that were raised during the project development process in the Final EIS/EIR phase. The main types of refinement included feeder bus service, balanced headways and some coding refinement, to determine what changes should be included in the Final EIS/EIR model runs. The refined model predicted boardings along the new Westside Subway Extension stations are approximately 49,300 with the Century City Constellation Station, which is about 3,350 more than the predicted 45,986 boardings with the Century City Santa Monica Station. The main difference in boardings at the Century City Station is the increased walk access trips in the Constellation Station over the Santa Monica Station. The walking time between the TAZ 738 (Century City’)s centroid node and the Century City subway station is 3 minutes in the Constellation Option and 13 minutes in the Santa Monica Option. The number of jobs and jobs per square mile in the 1/4-mile and 1/2-mile area around the Century City Stations is much higher in the Constellation Option than in the Santa Monica Option.

In addition to the refined ridership model, a supplemental ridership study was prepared to evaluate the relative accessibility of the Century City Station locations to surrounding commercial and residential development within a 1/2-mile walking distance. This data was then used to estimate the number of Westside Subway Extension riders who would walk to and from the stations. It should be noted that these ridership projections only consider those riders who walk to the station and these projections are intended to supplement the ridership forecasts. This analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station attracts more Westside Subway riders compared to the station location along Santa Monica Boulevard. Based on both existing and projected future development in Century City and the Constellation Option, it was determined that the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station is the preferred location due to the increased ridership and accessibility.
City, the Constellation Station has the highest concentration of jobs and residents within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds. As a consequence, the 14,005 riders estimated to walk to the Century City Station along Constellation Boulevard is approximately 72 percent greater than the approximately 8,145 riders expected to walk to the Santa Monica Boulevard Station. The Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension.

In addition to ridership studies, the geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in opposition to the Metro in Beverly Hills and to a station near the Beverly Hills High School have been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors identified two stations in Beverly Hills - the Wilshire/La Cienega and Wilshire/Rodeo Stations. These station locations were initially identified during the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study based on the high density land uses and commercial activity centers in Beverly Hills. The precise station locations were further refined during the preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR to identify locations that would best serve these activity centers within Beverly Hills.

The station closest to the Beverly Hills High School (BHHS) would be the Century City Station. The Century City Constellation Station is approximately .3 miles from BHHS and the Century City Santa Monica Station is approximately .2 miles from BHHS. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors also decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools in Beverly Hills and Westwood. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The
station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian...
environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations in Beverly Hills, and the LPA selection process. Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in opposition to the Metro in Beverly Hills and to a station near the Beverly Hills High School have been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors identified two stations in Beverly Hills - the Wilshire/La Cienega and Wilshire/Rodeo Stations. These station locations were initially identified during the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study based on the high density land uses and commercial activity centers in Beverly Hills. The precise station locations were further refined during the preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR to identify locations that would best serve these activity centers within Beverly Hills.

The station closest to the Beverly Hills High School (BHHS) would be the Century City Station. The Century City Constellation Station is approximately .3 miles from BHHS and the Century City Santa Monica Station is approximately .2 miles from BHHS. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors also decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools in Beverly Hills and Westwood. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The
station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian access...
environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to
help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination
on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis
concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings
along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica
Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile
walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by
recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due
to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership
projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Transit
Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study for an overview of the development of alternatives,
including station locations in Beverly Hills, and the LPA selection process. Please refer to
Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns
related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR
for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final
EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a
comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical
investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension
Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century
City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in
the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the
Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk
Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project
website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
445-1

Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership
projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
From: 4-Nancy Krasne [mailto:nkrasne@beverlyhills.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2010 4:15 PM
To: Litvak, Jody Feerst
Subject: Additional questions re: BH Metro

To Jody Litvak:
Additional questions for the record regarding the Metrolink route under and through Beverly Hills.

113-1
- In the event of a fire, who will be the first responders called. Which city will be accountable and how will they respond. The Westside of Los Angeles has not had one new fire station in over 60 years.
  i. Los Angeles has one place at Veteran / Wilshire
  ii. Los Angeles has one place at Sunset / Beverly Glen
  iii. Los Angeles has one place on Pico between Manning and Prosser
      All the stations on the back lot at 20th Century Fox were removed.

113-2
- The geometric progression of residents on the Westside of Los Angeles has risen dramatically, just check the census, therefore how do you plan to have first responders triage victims’ in an accident and where will you take them with facilities closing down on the Westside. E.g. Century City Hospital, Midway Hospital etc.

113-3
- How will fires be vented in the event of a disaster so as not to endanger the riders on the train so they will not get burned in the case of a fire.

113-4
- How will you exhaust away from the people and keep them safe from the methane gas, toxic fumes from burning plastic in case of fire that emits carcinogenic materials. (arsenic or cyanide)

113-5
- What do you do with the abandoned oil wells.
- Veolia is the world’s largest transportation company, and Veolia

113-1
Your comment on local emergency responders has been noted. The police and fire protection services are generally regulated by local agencies. In the study area these services will be regulated primarily by the policies and agencies of the Cities of Los Angeles, Beverly Hills, West Hollywood, Santa Monica, and Los Angeles County.

To ensure that the emergency responders can respond effectively in emergency situations, emergency procedures will be developed in the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) of the operating rail system. A committee will be established consisting of representatives from Metro and the participating agencies which serve the areas traversed by the system. The committee will be charged with the responsibility of guiding Metro and the participating agencies in developing and following the necessary emergency procedures in the areas of fire and life safety that require immediate response. Metro and participating agency personnel will be trained to function efficiently during an emergency. They will be conversant with all aspects of the SOP’s and the incident command system. Before opening of the system for revenue operation exercises and drills will be conducted to prepare Metro and participating agency personnel for emergencies. This will ensure that the first responders can respond to all anticipated emergency situations safely and effectively.

113-2
Your comment regarding first responders has been noted. Please see the above response to comment number 113-1 regarding emergency preparedness plan and SOPs for how emergencies will be responded to.

113-3
Your comment on ventilation in tunnel systems has been noted. Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities. It is one of the key evaluation criteria during the Draft EIS/EIR and Final EIS/EIR phases. Enhanced ventilation systems will be used to ensure tunnel and station safety.

113-4
Your comment regarding methane gas and other subsurface hazardous gases has been noted.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities. It was also one of the key evaluation criteria during the Draft EIS/EIR, and has been further considered in the Final EIS/EIR phase. In 2005, an American Public Transportation Association Peer Review Panel determined that “It is possible to tunnel and operate a subway along the Wilshire Corridor safely.” This conclusion was reached given the newer technology now used for tunneling, including pressurized face tunnel boring machines.
Subsurface gas is present throughout much of the Los Angeles area and is often a factor in foundation design and construction of underground structures. While tunneling for transportation has special considerations, other projects have been constructed in subsurface gas zones within the Los Angeles region, including buildings with deep parking garages and basements, storm drains, sewer projects and other utility projects along the Wilshire Corridor. In addition, Metro has safely operated the existing Metro Red/Purple Line subway for over 15 years and has successfully constructed subway tunnels where subsurface gas has been present.

Methane and hydrogen sulfide are present in high concentrations along about a 1.1 mile stretch of the Westside Subway Extension alignment along Wilshire Boulevard from about Burnside Avenue on the east to about La Jolla Avenue on the west. However, the entire LPA alignment passes through an area characterized by oil and gas fields and is within the City's Methane Zone. Therefore, the possibility of encountering gaseous subsurface conditions can be expected for any portion of the alignment, and hazardous subsurface gases pose a significant hazard for construction of the LPA.

During construction, the pressurized face tunnel boring machines isolate gas from workers and the public, while gassy soil and tar sands are handled and disposed of appropriately. Robust underground ventilation and gas monitoring systems provide additional warning and protection. In addition, the state of California's division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) maintains strict safety orders for tunneling where ground is classified as "Gassy" or "Potentially Gassy." Safety measures include continuous monitoring of the environment, "spark-proof" equipment, and other means to reduce risks to workers and the surroundings. The following mitigation measures will be implemented during construction of the LPA to reduce risks related to the presence of hazardous subsurface gases:

- CON-51—Techniques to Lower the Risk of Exposure to Hydrogen Sulfide
- CON-52—Measures to Reduce Gas Inflows
- CON-53—Further Research on Oil Well Locations
- CON-54—Worker Safety for Gassy Tunnels

The design and operation for tunnels and stations will provide a redundant protection system against gas intrusion. This will include: physical barriers to keep gas out of the tunnels and stations; high volume ventilation systems to dilute gases to safe levels; gas detection and monitoring systems with alarms; emergency ventilation triggered by the gas detection systems; additional training of personnel to respond to alarms. The following mitigation measures will be implemented during operation of the LPA to minimize risks related to subsurface hazardous gases:

- GEO-5 – Hazardous Subsurface Gas Operations
- GEO-6—Hazardous Subsurface Gas Structural Design
With implementation of these mitigation measures, risks associated with hazardous subsurface gases will be reduced to less than significant levels during both construction and operation of the LPA.

Please refer to Section 4.8 (operations) and Section 4.15 (construction) of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed discussion of methane gas and other subsurface hazardous gases. The results of further geotechnical investigations conducted during the Final EIS/EIR can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment regarding the risks of tunneling near oil wells have been noted. Tunnels, through known oil well fields, have been safely constructed with no adverse incidents with either hazardous gas or oil casings. In recent Los Angeles tunneling history, there have been no oil well incidents related to tunneling, and oil well casings have been safely removed and re-abandoned.

During the Draft EIS/EIR, known oil fields and documented active or abandoned oil wells were identified from published oil well maps. Table 4-45 in the Draft EIS/EIR identifies oil wells (abandoned and active) that may be located within 100 feet of the proposed tunnel or station, as well as those that may be located within the proposed tunnel alignment. The oil fields themselves are much deeper than the potential subway tunnels. Shafts for existing active and abandoned oil wells have been mapped in the vicinity of the project alignment along with other utilities such as sewer, water, gas, and electric lines.

During the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR, a comprehensive study of all available information found that there was one mapped abandoned oil well within the proposed tunnel alignment. According to the state’s records, the location of this well is beneath a parking structure on Century Park East and does not lie within the Beverly Hills High School (BHHS) campus. The magnetic survey program indicated that the mapped locations of abandoned oil wells could be inaccurate by 50 to 200 feet.

A geophysical (magnetic) survey was performed on the BHHS campus to detect metal, which would indicate the presence of an abandoned oil well casing. The survey identified only one anomaly on the BHHS campus that is close to the alignment. It is on the west edge of the lacrosse field and is located 5 to 10 feet north of the tunnel envelope. The anomaly may or may not be a well casing, but it will be further investigated and addressed appropriately as described below.

For exploration beneath the BHHS buildings during the next phases of design, horizontal
directional drilling (HDD) investigation will be conducted along the alignment at tunnel level. A magnetometer probe survey will be conducted in the drilled hole to detect metal casings so that if found, they can be re-abandoned properly below the tunnel depth prior to tunneling. Moreover, during tunnel construction in Los Angeles, magnetometer surveys have been conducted in probe borings extending in front of the TBM to ensure that obstructions, such as well casings, are detected before they are reached by the TBM. In suspected oil field areas, probing of the tunnel zone will be carried out by HDD either before tunneling or ahead of the face during tunneling. To ensure that these additional studies are conducted, the following mitigation is included in the Final EIS/EIR.

- **CON-53—Further Research on Oil Well Locations**

With implementation of this mitigation measure, oil wells do not pose a risk to tunneling for the project. Abandoned oil wells have been encountered in the past during tunneling in Los Angeles. Procedures have been developed to evaluate the well conditions and safely re-abandon them. Metro has experienced no gas incidents related to encounters with oil well casings during tunnel excavation on other projects.

Please refer to Section 4.8 and Section 4.15 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed discussion of oil wells. The results of further geotechnical investigations conducted during the Final EIS/EIR can be found in the *Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report* and the *Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report*. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
113-6
Your comment regarding Veolia has been noted. Metro is a separate and distinct transportation agency.

113-7
Your comment has been noted. The issues described are outside of the scope of this Project.

113-8
Your comments on the safety for the project has been noted. Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities. It is one of the key evaluation criteria during the Draft EIS/EIR and Final EIS/EIR phases. Metro has safely operated the current Metro Red/Purple Line Subway for over 15 years and have successfully and safely constructed subway tunnels. In 2005, an American Public Transportation Association Peer Review Panel determined that "it is possible to tunnel and operate a subway along the Wilshire Corridor safely."

113-9
Metro has not yet determined the liability cap for the Westside Subway Extension Project. The liability cap will be determined in future phases as the project moves into construction. The liability cap would be included as part of the RFP to contractors.

More questions to follow, sorry but I am still reacing and researching.

Nancy Krasne, Councilmember
City of Beverly Hills
455 North Rexford Drive, 4th Floor
Beverly Hills, California 90210
nkrasne@beverlyhills.org

This message contains information which may be privileged, confidential and intended only for the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication, or any part thereof, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply E-mail and delete the message.
Hi,

I’m not in favor of the Constellation Station in Century City, as drilling and construction would run under Beverly Hills High School, and please use the original plan that incorporated Santa Monica Blvd for the Westside expansion of the subway.

Thanks
Siu-Mei Kui, Kin Shing Kui
Beverly Hills residents

Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station location and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools as well as the development of the Century City station and alignment options has been noted.

Metro followed FTA’s New Starts project planning and development process and carefully considered public input in developing the location of the Century City Station. The process of determining the location of the Century City Station began with the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study in 2007. At the beginning of the Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study, two general corridors—one along Wilshire Boulevard and the other along Santa Monica Boulevard—were presented to the public at Early Scoping meetings. Some people who spoke at the Early Scoping meetings generally supported the proposed station locations that were presented (Santa Monica Boulevard in Century City being one of them). However, some attendees also suggested additional or alternate station locations, with some commenting that the station in Century City should be south of Santa Monica Boulevard, closer to the center of Century City, which Metro took into consideration.

During scoping for the Draft EIS/EIR in 2009, Metro sought additional public comment on the alignment and station options in the Beverly Hills to Westwood area, including the Century City Station location. During preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR, the alignment and station locations were refined to avoid impacts to the natural and built environments where feasible, provide a cost-effective solution to increase east/west mobility in the Study Area, and respond to public and agency input. The analysis and refinement of the station and alignment locations, including the Century City Station location, are described in the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report. Ultimately, the Century City Santa Monica Station and the Century City Constellation Station were carried forward for analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR.

Following public circulation of the Draft EIS/EIR, on October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.
Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. In October 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). A Wilshire/Crenshaw Station was not included in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2. Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment on future transit connections to the Crenshaw/LAX Line has been noted. In November 2009, the Metro Board voted to approve the LPA for the Crenshaw/LAX Transit Corridor. The Crenshaw/LAX LPA includes an 8.5-mile light-rail line that would connect the Metro Green Line and the Expo Line along Crenshaw Boulevard. The Crenshaw/LAX LPA would not connect the line to Wilshire Boulevard.

A potential connection to Wilshire Boulevard was studied in a May 2009 Metro feasibility report. Although beyond the available project funding, this report determined that a connection at Wilshire/La Brea instead of Wilshire/Crenshaw would be more cost-effective and more compatible with existing land uses. Please refer to the Crenshaw Transit Corridor Project: Final Feasibility Study - Wilshire/La Brea Light Rail Transit Extension, available on the Crenshaw Transit Corridor Project page on the Metro website.

Keeping these recommendations in mind, the Westside Subway Extension Project, if approved for implementation, will be designed so as not to preclude future northward extensions of the Crenshaw/LAX line along La Brea Avenue, La Cienega Boulevard, or San Vicente Boulevard.
Your comments about parking have been noted. Park-and-ride can be an important mode of access to transit. However, these facilities are usually located in low-density areas that lack local bus service feeding the stations. That is not the case with this Project. Therefore, none of the stations proposed as part of the Project will provide parking.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities would be inconsistent with the purpose and need of the Project. The Project Study Area is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities also could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities also would be inconsistent with both the existing built environment surrounding stations and efforts to encourage transit-oriented development. The Project corridor is very dense due to medium and high density commercial and residential development. The construction of park-and-ride facilities would consume space that could be put to more productive residential and commercial uses.

Any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. The study area also has very high land costs and there is lack of available parcels for park-and-ride development. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates.

Please refer to Section 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to parking. In addition, Section 3.6 of the Final EIS/EIR estimates the demand for parking at the stations and provides an analysis of potential spillover parking impacts to surrounding communities.

Your comment on future transit connections to the Crenshaw/LAX Line has been noted. Please see the above response to comment number 10-2 regarding the possible future extension of the Crenshaw/LAX Line.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Appendix H - Response to Comments
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in...
the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your preference for dedicated bus lanes in place of the subway and your concerns about the effectiveness of the subway in relieving traffic congestion have been noted. The Westside Extension Study Area contains some of the most congested arterial streets in the County. Any approach to resolving the significant traffic congestion in the County, and for purposes of this study of congestion in the Study Area, needs a multimodal approach. While there are freeway, arterial, and bus improvement projects planned within the Study Area to address mobility, no one project alone can reduce the extraordinary levels of congestion in the Westside and each has trade-offs and environmental consequences in its implementation.

Chapter 1 of this Final EIS/EIR details the Purpose and Need of the Project. As described, a major purpose of the Westside Subway Extension is to improve transit speed and reliability for the Study Area and, in particular, to provide enhanced mobility that will not be affected by freeway and arterial congestion levels. Between 2007 and 2009, Metro conducted an Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study for the Westside Corridor. The AA Study considered the need for transit improvements in the corridor and evaluated various transit technologies and alignments that would best meet the Purpose and Need of the Project. During Early Scoping meetings, Metro presented the public with technology options that included Heavy Rail Transit (HRT), Light Rail Transit (LRT), and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT). In response to comments received, Metro added monorail to those other technologies to be analyzed in the AA Study. As a result of these analyses, the Metro Board decided to carry five subway alternatives into the Draft EIS/EIR. An underground alignment was recommended because it has fewer land use, traffic, visual, historic, and noise impacts than an elevated alignment. This is due to the impacts an elevated alignment would have on adjacent buildings (some historic), visual quality, shadow, noise, land acquisitions and traffic, as well as the mitigations needed. The AA Study also identified HRT as the preferred mode for further study because it has the capacity to meet the anticipated ridership demand and would minimize the number of transfers.

The improved capacity, speed, and reliability that will result from the subway’s exclusive guideway, offer the best solution to improve travel times, generate the projected 29 percent increase in transit riders in the study area between 2006 and 2035 (from 286,200 to 370,500), and provide an environmentally sound transit alternative. Given the future conditions of the freeways, arterials, and travel speeds, the Westside Subway Extension provides benefit. Significant increases in travel are expected in the future and no major new highways or arterial widenings are planned. Without the subway, traffic congestion will be worse in the future. The Westside Subway Extension Project will provide significant new capacity to accommodate increases in travel demand but it will not, by itself, be sufficient to significantly reduce surface traffic congestion on the Westside.

This Final EIS/EIR presents a detailed examination of the travel-demand projections for 2035, which provide further insights on potential impacts of the LPA, specifically in terms of
reduced auto trips during the seven-hour peak period. It is recognized that the LPA will result in a relatively small percentage decrease in trips. But, under the LPA, approximately 12,000 auto trips occurring in the seven-hour peak period will be eliminated. In addition, the Project will provide a highly attractive and viable public transportation alternative for Westside residents, workers, and visitors; particularly in terms of travel times and reliability.

Please refer to Section 2.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study. Information on how the LPA would affect travel in the region and Study Area is presented in Section 3.4, Section 3.5 and Chapter 7 of the Final EIS/EIR. The *Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives* provides a summary of the updated travel forecast results for the Final EIS/EIR. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comments about construction impacts have been noted.

The subway tunnels will be built using "Earth Pressure Balance" tunnel boring machines. Most of the tunneling happens completely below ground with little if any noticeable impact on the surface. Subway stations are built by excavating the site or the "station box" and then building the station below ground. If the station is built under a street, it is covered over with concrete decking during construction to allow traffic to continue to flow overhead. Traffic would be disrupted at the beginning of station construction to allow for initial excavation and installation of the concrete decking, and again at the end to remove the decking and reconstruct the street. Section 3.8, Section 4.15, and Appendix E of this Final EIS/EIR describe the construction process in detail.

Impacts of construction and potential mitigation measures were further evaluated in the Final EIS/EIR. Typical impacts that would occur during construction include temporary lane or roadway closures (to install decking over station areas or for temporary placement of construction equipment or materials), removal and hauling of earth from tunneling and station excavation, construction traffic and parking, potential detours to reach businesses or residences, and noise and air quality impacts. Mitigation measures will be implemented to reduce the intensity and inconvenience of these impacts. However, some impacts will remain significant and unavoidable during construction, including traffic, noise and air quality emission impacts.

As with other construction projects, Metro will work to minimize those impacts on businesses, residents, and property owners. Mitigation measures will encompass ensuring that the decking is flush with the street, locating earth removal sites near major streets and freeways, specifying haul routes, closing lanes for deck placement or removal during off-peak traffic periods, etc. Improved communications, including signage and advertising, are typically employed to help maintain access to businesses. In addition, Metro has
established procedures to document existing conditions at properties along the subway construction alignment in advance of construction to accurately assess and address any damage claims that may arise.

Refer to Sections 3.8 and 4.15 of the Final EIS/EIR for an analysis of construction impacts and mitigation measures, and Appendix E for more discussion on subway construction methods.
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Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station and concerns about congestion related to the Century City Santa Monica Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Your concerns about congestion along Santa Monica Boulevard during operation have also been noted. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for all stations, including the Century City Santa Monica Station, due to feedback from the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report.
Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the station.

Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. By prioritizing the modes, the Design Criteria indicate that it is more important to minimize trade-offs that will negatively affect pedestrian and bicycle modes than to minimize trade-offs that will affect auto modes. However, using a more managed approach to station access that balances all modes could help to minimize the overall right-of-way needed because non-automobile modes (bus, pedestrian, and bicycle) can transport more people in less space than will be required if the same number of people traveled via automobile. As described in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, public parking will not be provided at any stations.

Section 3.5 of this Final EIS/EIR includes an intersection-level traffic analysis to determine whether the LPA will result in additional traffic congestion at the local level, including in the vicinity of the Century City Santa Monica Station, due to passengers accessing the station. This analysis concluded that the LPA, including the Century City Santa Monica Station, will not negatively impact any analyzed Study Area intersections in the immediate vicinity of the Century City Santa Monica Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment about the alignment between Century City and Westwood has been noted. The East Alignment was approved by the Metro Board to be carried forward as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA), and the Central and West Alignments were removed from further consideration as part of the LPA. The West Alignment is significantly longer than the other two, and would increase travel time between Century City and Westwood by more than two minutes. This, in turn, would lead to somewhat lower ridership and user benefits, and to fewer air quality and energy conservation benefits. The West Alignment Option would also increase capital costs by $122 to $142 million in comparison to the East Alignment Option. Between the Central and East Alignment Options, both have similar
performance characteristics and costs. The East Alignment, however, passes under fewer private properties. Therefore, it was selected to be carried forward in the LPA into the Final EIS/EIR.

As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors also requested that Metro staff fully explore the risks associated with tunneling in the West Beverly Hills to Westwood area. Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the LPA. The resulting studies have been completed as part of the Final EIS/EIR and are presented in two separate reports: the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location.
No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

Please refer to Section 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including alignment locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the alignment between Century City and Westwood following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Your comments about construction impacts have been noted.

The subway tunnels will be built using "Earth Pressure Balance" tunnel boring machines. Most of the tunneling happens completely below ground with little if any noticeable impact on the surface. Subway stations are built by excavating the site or the "station box" and then building the station below ground. If the station is built under a street, it is covered
over with concrete decking during construction to allow traffic to continue to flow overhead. Traffic would be disrupted at the beginning of station construction to allow for initial excavation and installation of the concrete decking, and again at the end to remove the decking and reconstruct the street. Section 3.8, Section 4.15, and Appendix E of this Final EIS/EIR describe the construction process in detail.

Impacts of construction and potential mitigation measures were further evaluated in the Final EIS/EIR. Typical impacts that would occur during construction include temporary lane or roadway closures (to install decking over station areas or for temporary placement of construction equipment or materials), removal and hauling of earth from tunneling and station excavation, construction traffic and parking, potential detours to reach businesses or residences, and noise and air quality impacts. Mitigation measures will be implemented to reduce the intensity and inconvenience of these impacts. However, some impacts will remain significant and unavoidable during construction, including traffic, noise and air quality emission impacts.

As with other construction projects, Metro will work to minimize those impacts on businesses, residents, and property owners. Mitigation measures will encompass ensuring that the decking is flush with the street, locating earth removal sites near major streets and freeways, specifying haul routes, closing lanes for deck placement or removal during off-peak traffic periods, etc. Improved communications, including signage and advertising, are typically employed to help maintain access to businesses. In addition, Metro has established procedures to document existing conditions at properties along the subway construction alignment in advance of construction to accurately assess and address any damage claims that may arise.

Refer to Sections 3.8 and 4.15 of the Final EIS/EIR for an analysis of construction impacts and mitigation measures, and Appendix E for more discussion on subway construction methods. Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
336-1
Your support for the Westside Subway Extension Project, specifically Alternative 4 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension plus West Hollywood Extension), has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively. There is not adequate funding available in Measure R or other sources to construct Alternative 4 at this time.

However, the Draft EIS/EIR showed that there is a market for transit improvements serving West Hollywood, and this corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Should funding be identified and secured, further study could be done to identify a project that would be competitive under Federal funding criteria.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

336-2
Your comment regarding parking at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station has been noted. Metro decided to not provide park-and-ride facilities at any of the stations along the Westside Subway Extension. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station-both the North and South locations.

The Project Study Area, including the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections. Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. Although improvements to bus connections are not part of the Project, the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will provide access to five bus lines, including the Santa Monica Big Blue Bus. Furthermore, any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates. Additionally, any park-and-ride facility at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station would require locating the garage on the VA Campus with the cooperation of the VA. The VA property is primarily for the use of Veterans and the VA has indicated that a park-and-ride is not desirable at that facility.
336-2

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 and 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and parking. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the *Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report* for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the *Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report* provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.6 provides an analysis of potential impacts to parking. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

336-3

Your comment regarding a Sepulveda/I-405 line has been noted. The San Fernando Valley I-405 Corridor Connection is included in Metro’s 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan and funding has been allocated in Measure R for the project. Metro will undertake planning studies for the corridor to identify the mode, alignment and appropriate connections to other area transit projects, including the Westside Subway Extension.
15-1

Your comment about the project schedule has been noted. In April 2010, the Metro Board of Directors adopted the America Fast Forward 30/10 Initiative that directs that the Westside Subway Extension Project to seek accelerated federal funding to deliver the Project in a single phase to Westwood. Based on this accelerated funding schedule, the parallel construction of portions of the alignment and stations would allow the entire LPA to be open and operational to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station in 2022 as a single phase.

In the event that accelerated federal funding cannot be secured, the LPA would be constructed in three sequential phases in accordance with the Metro Long Range Transportation Plan. The first phase to the Wilshire/La Cienega Station would open in 2020, the second phase to the Century City Station would open in 2026, and the final phase to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station would open in 2036. The Wilshire/La Brea Station would be included in the first Phase and would be operational in 2020.

Please refer to Section 2.6.11 of the Final EIS/EIR for further information on the construction schedule.

Public comments on the Draft EIS/EIR will be accepted through October 18, 2010. You may submit your comments by:

- Email to: westsideextension@metro.net
- US Mail to: David Mieger, Project Manager, One Gateway Plaza, 99-22-2 Los Angeles, CA, 90012
- Visiting our website metro.net/westside and clicking on "contact us"
- Attending one of the public hearings listed above and verbally providing your comments, which will be captured by a court reporter.
- Submit written comments at a public hearing.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area...
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project and 30/10 has been noted.

Your support for Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

The Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood. However, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board did not include a Wilshire/Crenshaw Station in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2.

Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process.

The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Development of Alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process.
Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment supporting the East location for the Wilshire/Fairfax Station has been noted. The Metro Board's selection of the LPA included the Wilshire/Fairfax East Station location due to stronger community support and better access and land integration opportunities, including proximity to Museum Row.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Fairfax Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your preference for the East location for the Wilshire/La Cienega Station has been noted. At Wilshire/La Cienega, the Metro Board selected the East Station location without a West Hollywood connection structure. This is the preferred station entrance location for the City of Beverly Hills because it would be located in a denser, more commercial area than the other station location to the west of La Cienega. This entrance location also would provide excellent connections to two major north-south arterials - La Cienega and San Vicente Boulevards.

The Metro Board chose not to include a West Hollywood connection structure in the LPA due to funding constraints. Additionally, the cost of the connection structure is not sufficiently justified when there may be alternative, less costly solutions to serve the West Hollywood transit market, such as a light rail line. The Draft EIS/EIR showed that there is a market for transit improvements serving West Hollywood, and this corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Should funding be identified and secured, further study could be done to identify a project that would be competitive under Federal funding criteria.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/La
Cienega Station, including the potential connection structure, following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Appendix H - Response to Comments

640-6

Your comment regarding the name of the Wilshire/Rodeo Station has been noted. The current name for the Wilshire/Rodeo Station follows Metro station naming convention. Traditionally, Metro stations are named for the street that runs parallel to the station, followed by the cross street closest to the station. It is possible that the name of the station could change for opening day, but for the planning and engineering phases of work, Metro will continue to use the Wilshire/Rodeo name.

640-7

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. Of the two alignments that serve the Constellation Station, the Constellation North Alignment was selected by the Metro Board for further study as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative and the Constellation South Alignment was removed from further consideration because the Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North alignment.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to...
proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your preference for the On-Street location of the Westwood/ UCLA Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board decided to continue to study both Westwood/UCLA station location options (On-Street and Off-Street).

A comparative study of the two proposed Westwood/UCLA station locations, including engineering, costs, urban design, and environmental impact considerations, was conducted during the Final EIS/EIR phase to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR.

The Off-Street Station and tunnels would need to be deeper than the On-Street Station to clear the underside of foundations for a future hotel on Gayley Avenue, which makes the station and tunnels riskier and more expensive to construct, and requires more time for transit riders to travel between the platform and the station entrance. Additionally, the Westwood/UCLA Off-Street Station location would require approximately 13 additional permanent underground easements.

The On-Street Station location would provide at least one of entrance at the corner of Wilshire and Westwood Boulevards. This entrance location would provide better access to bus connections along Westwood Boulevard and would be closer to the major office buildings and Westwood Village than the entrances for the Off-Street Station. Furthermore,
one of the station entrance options for the On-Street Station is a split entrance between the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard, providing access to both sides of busy Wilshire Boulevard. However, the Westwood/UCLA On-Street Station option is also expected to have greater traffic impacts during construction due to in-street construction along Wilshire Boulevard.

Based on these factors, the recommendation is to locate the Westwood/UCLA Station On-Street as this location could accommodate an entrance at the Wilshire Boulevard and Westwood Boulevard intersection, providing better pedestrian access to Westwood Village and connections along Westwood Boulevard.

Your comment on future transit connections to a Sepulveda/I-405 line has been noted. The San Fernando Valley I-405 Corridor Connection is included in Metro’s 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan and funding has been allocated in Measure R for the project. Metro will undertake planning studies for the corridor to identify the mode, alignment and appropriate connections to other area transit projects, including the Westside Subway Extension.

Please refer to Section 8.8.6 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/UCLA Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/UCLA Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Entrance Location Report and Recommendations provides a comparison of the potential entrance locations at Westwood Boulevard, Gayley Avenue and Veteran Avenue for both the On-Street and Off-Street Stations. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
640-9

Your comment regarding parking at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station has been noted. Metro decided to not provide park-and-ride facilities at any of the stations along the Westside Subway Extension. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station—both the North and South locations.

The Project Study Area, including the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections. Metro Rail Design Criteria identifies auto access at stations as a lower priority than pedestrian, bicycle, and bus access. Although improvements to bus connections are not part of the Project, the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will provide access to five bus lines, including the Santa Monica Big Blue Bus. Furthermore, any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates. Additionally, any park-and-ride facility at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station would require locating the garage on the VA Campus with the cooperation of the VA. The VA property is primarily for the use of Veterans and the VA has indicated that a park-and-ride is not desirable at that facility.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 and 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and parking. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.6 provides an analysis of potential impacts to parking. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

640-10

Your comment on Transit Oriented Development (TOD) has been noted. Experience gained from the existing Metro projects such as the Metro Purple and Red Lines suggests that developers in the Los Angeles area are interested in creating transit- and pedestrian-oriented mixed-use development, and that these types of developments can be very successful. Examples of successful TOD include, but are not limited to, housing and mixed use development along the Metro Purple Line at the Wilshire/Western, the Metro Red Line at Wilshire/Vermont Station, and along the Metro Gold Line at the Del Mar and Memorial...
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Park Stations. The Metro Red Line Hollywood/Highland Station is an additional example of a successful regional commercial/entertainment joint development project. The experience in other cities with similar transit infrastructure also supports this idea.

Because Metro would acquire properties adjacent to many of the station areas for construction purposes, these sites would create additional opportunity for TOD. However, land use policies supportive of the desired type of development must be in place. Metro's role in the development of these parcels would be limited to that permitted by land use controls of the local jurisdictions. No commercial or residential development is currently planned as part of the Westside Subway Extension Project.
We are not in favor of the Constellation Station in Century City, as drilling and construction would run under Beverly Hills High School, but in favor to use the original plan that incorporated Santa Monica Blvd for the Westside expansion of the subway (the "Santa Monica" option).

Mr and Mrs Levi
310-666-4711
Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and
operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBM’s pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.
Your comments about construction impacts have been noted. The subway tunnels will be built using "Earth Pressure Balance" tunnel boring machines. Most of the tunneling happens completely below ground with little if any noticeable impact on the surface. Subway stations are built by excavating the site or the "station box" and then building the station below ground. If the station is built under a street, it is covered over with concrete decking during construction to allow traffic to continue to flow overhead. Traffic would be disrupted at the beginning of station construction to allow for initial excavation and installation of the concrete decking, and again at the end to remove the decking and reconstruct the street. Section 3.8, Section 4.15, and Appendix E of this Final EIS/EIR describe the construction process in detail.

Impacts of construction and potential mitigation measures were further evaluated in the Final EIS/EIR. Typical impacts that would occur during construction include temporary lane or roadway closures (to install decking over station areas or for temporary placement of construction equipment or materials), removal and hauling of earth from tunneling and station excavation, construction traffic and parking, potential detours to reach businesses or residences, and noise and air quality impacts. Mitigation measures will be implemented to reduce the intensity and inconvenience of these impacts. However, some impacts will remain significant and unavoidable during construction, including traffic, noise and air quality emission impacts.

As with other construction projects, Metro will work to minimize those impacts on businesses, residents, and property owners. Mitigation measures will encompass ensuring that the decking is flush with the street, locating earth removal sites near major streets and freeways, specifying haul routes, closing lanes for deck placement or removal during off-peak traffic periods, etc. Improved communications, including signage and advertising, are typically employed to help maintain access to businesses. In addition, Metro has established procedures to document existing conditions at properties along the subway construction alignment in advance of construction to accurately assess and address any damage claims that may arise.

Refer to Sections 3.8 and 4.15 of the Final EIS/EIR for an analysis of construction impacts and mitigation measures, and Appendix E for more discussion on subway construction methods. Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership
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studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your comments about the traffic congestion reduction related to the Project have been noted.

The Westside Extension Study Area contains some of the most congested arterial streets in the County. Any approach to resolving the significant traffic congestion in the County, and for purposes of this study of congestion in the Study Area, needs a multi-modal approach. While there are freeway, arterial, and bus improvement projects planned within the Study Area to address mobility, no one project alone can reduce the extraordinary levels of congestion in the Westside and each has trade-offs and environmental consequences in its implementation.

Chapter 1 of this Final EIS/EIR details the Purpose and Need of the Project. As described, a major purpose of the Westside Subway Extension is to improve transit speed and reliability for the Study Area and, in particular, to provide enhanced mobility that will not be affected by freeway and arterial congestion levels. The improved capacity, speed, and reliability that will result from the subway’s exclusive guideway, offer the best solution to improve travel times, generate the projected 29 percent increase in transit riders in the study area between 2006 and 2035 (from 286,200 to 370,500), and provide an environmentally sound transit alternative.

Given the future conditions of the freeways, arterials, and travel speeds, the Westside Subway Extension provides benefit. Significant increases in travel are expected in the future and no major new highways or arterial widenings are planned. Without the subway, traffic congestion will be worse in the future. The Westside Subway Extension Project will provide significant new capacity to accommodate increases in travel demand but it will not, by itself, be sufficient to significantly reduce surface traffic congestion on the Westside.

This Final EIS/EIR presents a detailed examination of the travel-demand projections for 2035, which provide further insights on potential impacts of the LPA, specifically in terms of reduced auto trips during the seven-hour peak period. It is recognized that the LPA will result in a relatively small percentage decrease in trips. But, under the LPA, approximately 12,000 auto trips occurring in the seven-hour peak period will be eliminated. In addition, the Project will provide a highly attractive and viable public transportation alternative for Westside residents, workers, and visitors; particularly in terms of travel times and reliability.

Please refer to Section 8.8.9 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to traffic congestion.
congestion reductions. Information on how the LPA would affect travel in the region and Study Area is presented in Section 3.4, Section 3.5 and Chapter 7 of the Final EIS/EIR. The Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives provides a summary of the updated travel forecast results for the Final EIS/EIR. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your comment on tunneling under private properties has been noted. The properties that will require subsurface easements are identified in Appendix C of the Final EIS/EIR. In areas where the subway operates under private property, Metro will work with the property owner to secure a subsurface easement. The following mitigation measures will be implemented to ensure just compensation for easements, including subsurface easements:

• CN-3-Compensation for Easements

Please refer to Sections 4.2.2, 4.2.3, and 4.2.4 of this Final EIS/EIR for a discussion of the economic and fiscal impacts of the Project, including property easements.
Your comments about parking have been noted. Park-and-ride can be an important mode of access to transit. However, these facilities are usually located in low-density areas that lack local bus service feeding the stations. That is not the case with this Project. Therefore, none of the stations proposed as part of the Project will provide parking.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities would be inconsistent with the purpose and need of the Project. The Project Study Area is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities also could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities also would be inconsistent with both the existing built environment surrounding stations and efforts to encourage transit-oriented development. The Project corridor is very dense due to medium and high density commercial and residential development. The construction of park-and-ride facilities would consume space that could be put to more productive residential and commercial uses.

Any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. The study area also has very high land costs and there is lack of available parcels for park-and-ride development. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates.

Please refer to Section 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to parking. In addition, Section 3.6 of the Final EIS/EIR estimates the demand for parking at the stations and provides an analysis of potential spillover parking impacts to surrounding communities.

Your comment regarding staying under the major streets has been noted. Please see response above to comment number 16-1.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and
operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to
tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine
the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments.
These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA
requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with
the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes
and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for
a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the
tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading.
However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro
criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter.
Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The
vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top
of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the
tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station
would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-
inglewod Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location.
No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.
Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults.
However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that
have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian
environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to
help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination
on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis
concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings
along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica
Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile
walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by
recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due
to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership
Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Final EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership.
Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an
earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership
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projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that tunneling could be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

The results of further geotechnical investigations in Appendix H - Response to Comments...
the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
**RECORD #523 DETAIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Submission Summarized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record Date</td>
<td>10/17/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Date</td>
<td>10/17/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Emily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Locker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Affiliation</td>
<td>Westwood Homeowners Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submission Content:**

As a homeowner in the Westwood Homeowners Association, I must strongly express my preference for the station at Constellation at Avenue of the Stars and NOT in Comstock Hills. 

I am also very STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE EAST ROUTE AND THE CENTRAL ROUTE, which may tunnel under my home and reduce the value of my home. I prefer the West Route, which will not affect the homes in my neighborhood.
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Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

The Metro Board of Directors also decided to include the East alignment as part of the LPA, and to not include the Central and West Alignments for further consideration as part of the LPA. The West Alignment is significantly longer than the other two, and would increase travel time between Century City and Westwood by more than two minutes. This, in turn, would lead to somewhat lower ridership and user benefits, and to fewer air quality and energy conservation benefits. The West Alignment Option would also increase capital costs by $122 to $142 million in comparison to the East Alignment Option. Between the Central and East Alignment Options, both have similar performance characteristics and costs. The East Alignment, however, passes under fewer private properties. Therefore, it was selected to be carried forward in the LPA into the Final EIS/EIR.

Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art...
Pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final...
EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the *Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report* for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the *Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report* and the *Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report*. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the *Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives* and the *Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study*. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: [www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports](http://www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports).

Your comment regarding property values has been noted.

Since the LPA will improve transit service in the Study Area, research suggests that it is likely that properties within walking distance of the stations will realize value premiums over similar properties that are farther away. Based on studies of other regions with transit systems (i.e., San Francisco, San Diego, and San Jose, California; New York, New York; and Portland, Oregon), an average home price increase of 6.4 percent within one-half mile of each transit station may be experienced. Although most studies on real estate value impacts from transit show increases in value, they cannot explicitly isolate transit benefits from other market forces that affect real estate values.

Value increases within proximity of a transit station are realized in sales price as well as rent premiums. For residential properties, these increases resulted from potential commute or recreational travel time savings and associated vehicle cost reductions (including both reduced mileage as well as a reduction in the number of cars owned by the household).

Negative impacts on property values from transit (termed “nuisance” effects) also can occur. Measurable noise impacts from vehicles, increased foot traffic, adjacent structures, transit-associated parking, and increased bus traffic interfacing with transit stations can reduce the desirability of properties near a fixed guideway station. Such nuisance effects will most likely occur in areas where value is not attributed to the accessibility improvements that transit provides. This does not appear likely within the Study Area, as stations are planned for areas that are already densely developed and near major roads and bus routes.

All residents and businesses displaced as a result of the LPA will be given advance written notice and will be informed of their eligibility for relocation assistance and payments under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act. In areas where the subway operates under private property, Metro will work with the property owner to secure a subsurface easement. The following mitigation measures will be implemented to ensure just compensation for acquisitions and easements:
• CN-1-Relocation Assistance and Compensation
• CN-2-Propose Joint-use Agreements
• CN-3-Compensation for Easements

Please refer to Sections 4.2.2, 4.2.3, and 4.2.4 of this Final EIS/EIR for a discussion of the economic and fiscal impacts of the Project, including property acquisitions and easements. Refer to the *Westside Subway Extension Economic and Fiscal Impacts Analysis and Mitigation Report* for a more detailed discussion of property value impacts.
Your comments about parking have been noted. Park-and-ride can be an important mode of access to transit. However, these facilities are usually located in low-density areas that lack local bus service feeding the stations. That is not the case with this Project. Therefore, none of the stations proposed as part of the Project will provide parking.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities would be inconsistent with the purpose and need of the Project. The Project Study Area is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities also could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities also would be inconsistent with both the existing built environment surrounding stations and efforts to encourage transit-oriented development. The Project corridor is very dense due to medium and high density commercial and residential development. The construction of park-and-ride facilities would consume space that could be put to more productive residential and commercial uses.

Any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. The study area also has very high land costs and there is lack of available parcels for park-and-ride development. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates.

Please refer to Section 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to parking. In addition, Section 3.6 of the Final EIS/EIR estimates the demand for parking at the stations and provides an analysis of potential spillover parking impacts to surrounding communities.
Your comments about parking have been noted. Park-and-ride can be an important mode of access to transit. However, these facilities are usually located in low-density areas that lack local bus service feeding the stations. That is not the case with this Project. Therefore, none of the stations proposed as part of the Project will provide parking.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities would be inconsistent with the purpose and need of the Project. The Project Study Area is already very congested and Metro seeks to discourage people from driving to access the subway. Park-and-ride facilities also could lead to increased auto use and potentially result in traffic impacts at intersections.

The provision of park-and-ride facilities also would be inconsistent with both the existing built environment surrounding stations and efforts to encourage transit-oriented development. The Project corridor is very dense due to medium and high density commercial and residential development. The construction of park-and-ride facilities would consume space that could be put to more productive residential and commercial uses.

Any added park-and-ride facilities would have major implications on Project costs. The study area also has very high land costs and there is lack of available parcels for park-and-ride development. Due to land costs and scarcity, any parking would need to be in multi-story garages, resulting in substantially higher capital costs than current estimates.

Please refer to Section 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to parking. In addition, Section 3.6 of the Final EIS/EIR estimates the demand for parking at the stations and provides an analysis of potential spillover parking impacts to surrounding communities.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area.
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a...
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis. The Metro Board of Directors is composed of community elected representatives who determine the alternatives studied and selected. Metro staff is responsible to the Board of Directors.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the
same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
I'm a tax payer and live in Beverly Hills. I only want the proposed subway to go under Santa Monica Blvd. and NOT UNDER BEVERLY HILLS HIGH SCHOOL AND HOMES IN BEVERLY HILLS.

Stephen Macht
The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership.
projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in

Appendix H - Response to Comments

October 18, 2010
Honorable Don Knabe, Chair
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2852

Dear Chairman Knabe:

I, Kyle Mahoney, wholeheartedly support the Westside extension of the subway and continue to be a strong advocate for the creation of new public transit options for the community. We are encouraged by the progress Metro is making towards achieving this goal and want to contribute our comments to the Draft Environmental Review (DER) document now in circulation.

In order to serve this community with the most ridership, we believe that the Constellation Boulevard and Avenue of the Stars station alignment should be adopted for several reasons:

- It will bring passengers to the heart of Century City, providing both convenience to travelers, as well as increased ridership which will benefit everyone.
- With nearly 40,000 employees within Century City clustered around this intersection, they are more likely to use the subway for both commuting and for trips during the day if the portal is conveniently located.

Thank you for your attention to our views. We look forward to the subway reaching Century City at the corners of Constellation Boulevard and Avenue of the Stars.

Sincerely,

Kyle Mahoney
Assistant Vice President

Cc: Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa
City Hall
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Councilman Paul Koretz, Council District 5
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Room 440
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Honorable Zev Yaroslavsky
L.A. County Supervisor
821 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
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the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as theLocally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Metro does provide 24-hour service on a number of bus lines throughout Los Angeles County (Night Owl Service), and the Project would not change the hours of bus operations.

Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the
same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Appendix H - Response to Comments
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Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

561-2
Your support for Alternative 3 (Santa Monica Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Although Alternative 3 (Santa Monica Extension) was not adopted as the LPA, and is not affordable within the adopted LRTP, an extension of the subway from Westwood to Santa Monica does demonstrate potential to be a successful rail transit line in the future. This corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 LRTP. Therefore, further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

561-3
Your preference for the On-Street location of the Westwood/ UCLA Station has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board decided to continue to study both Westwood/UCLA station location options (On-Street and Off-Street).

A comparative study of the two proposed Westwood/UCLA station locations, including engineering, costs, urban design, and environmental impact considerations, was conducted during the Final EIS/EIR phase to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR.

The Off-Street Station and tunnels would need to be deeper than the On-Street Station to clear the underside of foundations for a future hotel on Gayley Avenue, which makes the station and tunnels riskier and more expensive to construct, and requires more time for transit riders to travel between the platform and the station entrance.
Westwood/UCLA Off-Street Station location would require approximately 13 additional permanent underground easements.

The On-Street Station location would provide at least one entrance at the corner of Wilshire and Westwood Boulevards. This entrance location would provide better access to bus connections along Westwood Boulevard and would be closer to the major office buildings and Westwood Village than the entrances for the Off-Street Station. Furthermore, one of the station entrance options for the On-Street Station is a split entrance between the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard, providing access to both sides of busy Wilshire Boulevard. However, the Westwood/UCLA On-Street Station option is also expected to have greater traffic impacts during construction due to in-street construction along Wilshire Boulevard.

Based on these factors, the recommendation is to locate the Westwood/UCLA Station On-Street as this location could accommodate an entrance at the Wilshire Boulevard and Westwood Boulevard intersection, providing better pedestrian access to Westwood Village and connections along Westwood Boulevard.

Please refer to Section 8.8.6 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/UCLA Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/UCLA Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Entrance Location Report and Recommendations provides a comparison of the potential entrance locations at Westwood Boulevard, Gayley Avenue and Veteran Avenue for both the On-Street and Off-Street Stations. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Directors’ request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in
the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your support for Alternative 3 (Santa Monica Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Although Alternative 3 (Santa Monica Extension) was not adopted as the LPA, and is not affordable within the adopted LRTP, an extension of the subway from Westwood to Santa Monica does demonstrate potential to be a successful rail transit line in the future. This corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 LRTP. Therefore, further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

77-2
Your support for the West Hollywood Extension has been noted. There is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a West Hollywood Extension at this time. However, the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving West Hollywood. The West Hollywood corridors is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future.

77-3
Your comment regarding the extension of the Red Line to Burbank has been noted. Further study of connections to Burbank could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future.

77-4
Although Alternative 3 (Santa Monica Extension) was not adopted as the LPA, and is not affordable within the adopted LRTP, an extension of the subway from Westwood to Santa Monica does demonstrate potential to be a successful rail transit line in the future. Therefore, further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.
Your comment has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted
thing with the subway. While it will just slow the growth of traffic congestion—not reduce the absolute amount of traffic congestion—that does not mean it is not doing anything to help alleviate traffic congestion.

Greg Mantell
Santa Monica, CA
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Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

77-6
Your comments about the traffic congestion reduction related to the Project have been noted.

The Westside Extension Study Area contains some of the most congested arterial streets in the County. Any approach to resolving the significant traffic congestion in the County, and for purposes of this study of congestion in the Study Area, needs a multi-modal approach. While there are freeway, arterial, and bus improvement projects planned within the Study Area to address mobility, no one project alone can reduce the extraordinary levels of congestion in the Westside and each has trade-offs and environmental consequences in its implementation.

Chapter 1 of this Final EIS/EIR details the Purpose and Need of the Project. As described, a major purpose of the Westside Subway Extension is to improve transit speed and reliability for the Study Area and, in particular, to provide enhanced mobility that will not be affected by freeway and arterial congestion levels. The improved capacity, speed, and reliability that will result from the subway’s exclusive guideway, offer the best solution to improve travel times, generate the projected 29 percent increase in transit riders in the study area between 2006 and 2035 (from 286,200 to 370,500), and provide an environmentally sound transit alternative.

Given the future conditions of the freeways, arterials, and travel speeds, the Westside Subway Extension provides benefit. Significant increases in travel are expected in the future and no major new highways or arterial widenings are planned. Without the subway, traffic congestion will be worse in the future. The Westside Subway Extension Project will provide significant new capacity to accommodate increases in travel demand but it will not, by itself, be sufficient to significantly reduce surface traffic congestion on the Westside.

This Final EIS/EIR presents a detailed examination of the travel-demand projections for 2035, which provide further insights on potential impacts of the LPA, specifically in terms of reduced auto trips during the seven-hour peak period. It is recognized that the LPA will result in a relatively small percentage decrease in trips. But, under the LPA, approximately 12,000 auto trips occurring in the seven-hour peak period will be eliminated. In addition, the Project will provide a highly attractive and viable public transportation alternative for Westside residents, workers, and visitors; particularly in terms of travel times and reliability.

Please refer to Section 8.8.9 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to traffic congestion reductions. Information on how the LPA would affect travel in the region and...
Study Area is presented in Section 3.4, Section 3.5 and Chapter 7 of the Final EIS/EIR. The Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives provides a summary of the updated travel forecast results for the Final EIS/EIR. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Let's move forward with these two projects, **Wilshire Subway and the Downtown Regional Connector**. Our traffic, our town, our economy and our environment need them all.

Thank you.

Wally Marks
WALTER N. MARKS, INC.
Helms Hall of Fame
8758 Venice Boulevard, Suite 100
Los Angeles, CA 90034
310-204-1865 (o)
310-836-2208 (f)
310-678-5524 (m)

Check out our new Helms Bakery website: [www.helmsbakerydistrict.com](http://www.helmsbakerydistrict.com)

"If you give something away, you never lose it."
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area

Appendix H - Response to Comments
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
RECORD #768 DETAIL
--------------------------
Status : Submission Summarized
Record Date : 11/1/2010
Submission Date : 11/1/2010
First Name : Genaro
Last Name : Marquez
Group Affiliation : BRU
Submission Content : As a daily rider bus rider on the Wilshire corridor, I am looking forward to the public health and mobility benefits that the Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative in the Westside Subway Extension Draft Environmental Impact Report could have for Wilshire Blvd. If chose, this option could create a more robust bus system by improving “upon the existing Metro Rapid Bus service and local bus service in the Westside Extension Transit Corridor study area.”
I oppose the subway b/c I need buses.
Attachments : genaro marquez.pdf (476 kb)
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Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. The 920 bus service was eliminated by Metro during route restructuring and would not be in any of the alternatives, including the TSM Alternative. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.
The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Thank you for the recent Westside Subway Board Report which I have had the opportunity to review. In regards to comments you have requested I am writing to support a Subway Station at the Constellation/Avenue of the Stars Location. My reasons for supporting this location instead of Santa Monica Blvd/Avenue of the Stars Location is threefold.

1. **Passenger Safety** - The DEIS/DEIR Report identifies the Santa Monica/Avenue of the Stars location of being directly over an earthquake fault which in my opinion eliminates this location due to safety concern in the event of a major earth quake which unfortunately is long overdue.

2. **Central Location** - The Westside Board Report often refers to the "time it takes to get to a specific location" and therefore higher passenger use. It is quite apparent a greater number of buildings (business locations) would be better served since the Constellation location approximates the center of Century City. Greater ridership would result from the many businesses located between Century Park West and Century Park East due to this better located stop.

3. **Route Flexibility to UCLA** - It is also noted from the exhibits in the DEIS/DEIR Report that the next step, the route to UCLA, would be better from the Constellation location since there would be greater flexibility to impact fewer residential properties. As you well know the least number of residential properties that are impacted will result in homeowners support for this project. Although the preference cited in the DEIS/DEIR Report is the EAST Route greater acceptance from homeowners in the Comstock Hills and Westwood Homeowners Association would be the WEST Route down Santa Monica Blvd.

Paul L Martin
1664 Comstock Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Member of Comstock Hills Homeowners Association

---
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Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location and the West alignment has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

The East Alignment was selected by the Metro Board to be carried forward as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA), and the Central and West Alignments were removed from further consideration as part of the LPA. The West Alignment is significantly longer than the other two, and would increase travel time between Century City and Westwood by more than two minutes. This, in turn, would lead to somewhat lower ridership and user benefits, and to fewer air quality and energy conservation benefits. The West Alignment Option would also increase capital costs by $122 to $142 million in comparison to the East Alignment Option. Between the Central and East Alignment Options, both have similar performance characteristics and costs. The East Alignment, however, passes under fewer private properties. Therefore, it was selected to be carried forward in the LPA into the Final EIS/EIR.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.
Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
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793-1

Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
I urge a reconsideration of the VA station and instead a move to the much more dense location at Barrington/Wilshire or Federal/Wilshire, which will have much higher ridership due to the proximity of large office and apartment towers, dense surrounding neighborhoods and University High School. The VA station has none of these attributes.

Even if a Barrington or Federal station cannot make it in MOS #3, it should be considered along with Bundy for a MOS #3A. There should be an alternative that reaches the neighborhoods west of the 405 without necessarily having to go all the way to Santa Monica, which is politically difficult, because people believe Santa Monica will already have the Expo line. With this set of alternatives, the MTA has failed to address the transit demand west of the 405 as the VA station is inaccessible to virtually everyone but federal government workers and patients at the VA.

Thank you

75-1

Your preference for a modified Westwood/VA Hospital Station location has been noted.

During the Draft EIS/EIR scoping, the public suggested that an additional station should be provided west of I-405 because of the large distance between a Westwood/UCLA and a Wilshire/Bundy Station, as well as a desire to serve communities west of the I-405 more effectively. In response, five proposed stations west of I-405 were studied—two at Westwood/VA Hospital (one north of Wilshire and one south of Wilshire), Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy. In analyzing the proposed stations, the potential to serve as a terminus station was an important consideration. In addition, all of the stations except for the stations at Westwood/VA Hospital are located too far west to be funded as part of Measure R and beyond the adopted LRTP.

The Wilshire/Federal Station would have been located on a site currently used by the U.S. Army Reserve, and the site was determined to be too small to accommodate the subway station without impacting adjacent historic homes in the VA property. From an engineering perspective, this also would have been a challenging site to construct a subway station because of the sharp curve of Wilshire Boulevard. Therefore, the Wilshire/Federal Station was eliminated from further consideration.

The Wilshire/Barrington Station would be located slightly west of the proposed Wilshire/Federal Station. While the Wilshire/Barrington Station is in a high density area with high ridership potential, comments were received from the community during scoping in opposition to locating a terminus station at Wilshire/Barrington due to traffic congestion and dense development concerns. Furthermore, the Wilshire/Barrington Station was not as evenly spaced between the Westwood/UCLA Station and the Wilshire/Bundy Station as is the Westwood/VA Hospital Station.

The Wilshire/Bundy Station is the farthest west of the terminus station considered and provided better potential transit connections as it aligns with the future planned Expo station at Olympic/Bundy. However, it is beyond Measure R funding.

Based on all of these considerations, and especially the fact that only the Westwood/VA Hospital Station is fundable within Measure R, the Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy Stations were eliminated as potential terminus stations for the fundable Measure R alternatives. Both the North and South Options at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station were carried forward for further analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR. The Wilshire/Bundy Station was also carried forward into the Draft EIS/EIR as part of the Santa Monica Extension, which is beyond available Measure R funding, and would not serve as a terminus station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final
EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and
the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and
Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the
refinements to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in
response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of
the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and
the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two
Westwood/VA Hospital Station locations in the Final EIS/EIR. All reports are available on
the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website:
www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Your comment regarding the location of and access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station has been noted.

During the Draft EIS/EIR scoping, the public suggested that an additional station should be provided west of I-405 because of the large distance between a Westwood/UCLA and a Wilshire/Bundy Station, as well as a desire to serve communities west of the I-405 more effectively. In response, five proposed stations west of I-405 were studied—two at Westwood/VA Hospital (one north of Wilshire and one south of Wilshire), Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy. In analyzing the proposed stations, the potential to serve as a terminus station was an important consideration. In addition, all of the stations except for the stations at Westwood/VA Hospital are located too far west to be funded as part of Measure R and beyond the adopted LRTP.

The Wilshire/Federal Station would have been located on a site currently used by the U.S. Army Reserve, and the site was determined to be too small to accommodate the subway station without impacting adjacent historic homes in the VA property. From an engineering perspective, this also would have been a challenging site to construct a subway station because of the sharp curve of Wilshire Boulevard. Therefore, the Wilshire/Federal Station was eliminated from further consideration.

The Wilshire/Barrington Station would be located slightly west of the proposed Wilshire/Federal Station. While the Wilshire/Barrington Station is in a high density area with high ridership potential, comments were received from the community during scoping in opposition to locating a terminus station at Wilshire/Barrington due to traffic congestion and dense development concerns. Furthermore, the Wilshire/Barrington Station was not as evenly spaced between the Westwood/UCLA Station and the Wilshire/Bundy Station as is the Westwood/VA Hospital Station.

The Wilshire/Bundy Station is the farthest west of the terminus station considered and provided better potential transit connections as it aligns with the future planned Expo station at Olympic/Bundy. However, it is beyond Measure R funding.

Based on all of these considerations, and especially the fact that only the Westwood/VA Hospital Station is fundable within Measure R, the Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington,
and Wilshire/Bundy Stations were eliminated as potential terminus stations for the fundable Measure R alternatives. Both the North and South Options at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station were carried forward for further analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR. The Wilshire/Bundy Station was also carried forward into the Draft EIS/EIR as part of the Santa Monica Extension, which is beyond available Measure R funding, and would not serve as a terminus station.

Convenient and safe access by pedestrians and bicyclists will be an important element of the design of all station areas, including the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station—both the North and South locations. Potential impacts to interfacing transportation networks, including bus transit (specifically, the location of bus stops), and pedestrian and bicycle facilities (pedestrian crossings and bicycle lanes) are also presented in Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR.

In preparation of this Final EIS/EIR, the station box and station entrance for the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station was shifted north from the location evaluated in the Draft EIS/EIR. Based on feedback from the VA and the public, the station box was shifted to the far northern end of the parking lot to allow the VA to more easily develop their property in the future and to improve public access to the station. This station location farther from the VA Hospital also facilitates a clearer delineation between station activities and VA activities on the VA Campus.

Currently, Wilshire Boulevard and Bonsall Avenue are grade-separated with Bonsall Avenue passing beneath Wilshire Boulevard. For the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station, the proposed station entrance, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, would be located on the Bonsall level, beneath the bus drop-off area to the north of the VA Hospital parking lot. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same. A passenger drop-off area would also be provided on the Wilshire level within the bus drop-off area on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard.

For the Westwood/VA Hospital North Station, the station entrance would be located along the north side of Wilshire Boulevard, just west of Bonsall Avenue and south of the station box on the Bonsall level, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same.
The number of entrances at each station was based on the ridership projections for that station. Based on these projections, Metro would construct one entrance at each of the proposed stations, including the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. The exception is two entrances at the Westwood/UCLA Station due to high ridership projections. Long entrances are expensive to construct due to the tunneling process and therefore Metro would avoid constructing long tunnel entrances.

Since the entrance for both the North and South stations are located along Wilshire Boulevard at Bonsall Avenue, on the Bonsall level, there are no major differences between the two stations for the purposes of evaluating station circulation. However, Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR concludes that both the North and South entrance at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will result in increased hazards to pedestrians and bicyclists due to a design feature or incompatible uses and will conflict with adopted plans or policies related to public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities prior to mitigation. To improve access, the following mitigation measures will be implemented at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station (North or South):

- T-8-Install High-Visibility Crosswalk
- T-9-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Adjacent to Metro-Controlled Parcels
- T-10-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Coordination with Jurisdictions
- T-11-Provide High Visibility Crosswalk Treatments
- T-12-Meet Federal, State, and Local Standards for Crossing
- T-13-Meet Metro Rail Design Criteria Minimums for Bicycle Parking
- T-14-Study Bicycle Parking Demand and Footprint Configuration
- T-16-Study Bus-Rail Interface

With implementation of these measures, impacts to the interfacing pedestrian and bicycle networks and bus stops will be mitigated to less than significant levels at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. While it is acknowledged that streets in the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station are wide, pedestrian and bicycle movements in the study area can still occur without major barriers. The vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station does contain a network of sidewalks, including connections between potential future rail station entrances and nearby activities. Escalators will provide easy connections from the bus turnouts on Wilshire Boulevard to the Bonsall level, making transfers between bus and subway relatively convenient.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process.
Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/VA Hospital Station locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.7 provides an analysis of potential impacts to pedestrian, bicycle, and bus networks. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comments about transit ridership have been noted. Transit ridership projections for the forecast year of 2035 were developed using the travel forecasting model developed by Metro and the Southern California Association of Governments, which followed Federal Transit Administration (FTA) guidance and meets FTA's goals: to have the model tell a coherent story about travel behavior, reliably reproduce current travel patterns, and ensure a rational response to change. Metro's travel demand model is a resident model stratified by three income levels and includes the three standard trip purposes of Home-Based Work, Home-Based Other, and Non-Home Based, plus the additional trip purpose of Home-Based University. The model does not include tourism or special events.

The modeling effort included FTA's participation throughout the process and a final review was held in September 2009 during which FTA concurred that the model was ready for application to this Project. The model was calibrated with 2001 and 2006 on-board survey data and then validated against transit ridership information to ensure it properly represents travel activity for the Los Angeles County and regional transportation system.

Several factors are considered in the travel forecasting modeling process. These factors include various costs associated with travel by various modes, such as transit fares, parking, and auto operating costs, which include gasoline. In general, the projected costs for gasoline in the study area are expected to keep pace with inflation.

Please refer to Section 8.8.9 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to ridership. Please refer to Section 3.2.1 of the Final EIS/EIR for more information on ridership forecasting methodology. In addition, the Los Angeles Mode Choice Model: Calibration/Validation Report provide detailed information about the ridership model and the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasts Alternatives provides a summary of the updated results prepared for the Final EIS/EIR. The Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasts Alternatives is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Please build the subway under Wilshire the Purple Line to Santa Monica with a Weho spur as my first choice. My other choice if there is not enough money is to build the subway to UCLA/405 area for now but prepare for an eventual connection with the Pink Line. We need this subway like God needs Earth.
Your support for Alternative 5 (Santa Monica Extension plus West Hollywood Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

The Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for a subway serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood. However, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. If the LPA is approved for implementation by the Metro Board, the LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your concerns about the location of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station location has been noted.

During the Draft EIS/EIR scoping, the public suggested that an additional station should be provided west of I-405 because of the large distance between a Westwood/UCLA and a Wilshire/Bundy Station, as well as a desire to serve communities west of the I-405 more effectively. In response, five proposed stations west of I-405 were studied-two at Westwood/VA Hospital (one north of Wilshire and one south of Wilshire), Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy. In analyzing the proposed stations, the potential to serve as a terminus station was an important consideration. In addition, all of the stations except for the stations at Westwood/VA Hospital are located too far west to be funded as part of Measure R and beyond the adopted LRTP.

The Wilshire/Federal Station would have been located on a site currently used by the U.S. Army Reserve, and the site was determined to be too small to accommodate the subway station without impacting adjacent historic homes in the VA property. From an engineering perspective, this also would have been a challenging site to construct a subway station because of the sharp curve of Wilshire Boulevard. Therefore, the Wilshire/Federal Station was eliminated from further consideration.

The Wilshire/Barrington Station would be located slightly west of the proposed Wilshire/Federal Station. While the Wilshire/Barrington Station is in a high density area with high ridership potential, comments were received from the community during scoping in opposition to locating a terminus station at Wilshire/Barrington due to traffic congestion and dense development concerns. Furthermore, the Wilshire/Barrington Station was not as evenly spaced between the Westwood/UCLA Station and the Wilshire/Bundy Station as is the Westwood/VA Hospital Station.

The Wilshire/Bundy Station is the farthest west of the terminus station considered and provided better potential transit connections as it aligns with the future planned Expo Station at Olympic/Bundy. However, it is beyond Measure R funding.

Based on all of these considerations, and especially the fact that only the Westwood/VA Hospital Station is fundable within Measure R, the Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy Stations were eliminated as potential terminus stations for the fundable Measure R alternatives. Both the North and South Options at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station were carried forward for further analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR. The Wilshire/Bundy Station was also carried forward into the Draft EIS/EIR as part of the Santa Monica Extension, which is beyond available Measure R funding, and would not serve as a terminus station.

Metro decided to not provide park-and-ride facilities at any of the stations along the
Westside Subway Extension. A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for this station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the *Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report*. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station—both the North and South locations.

In preparation of this Final EIS/EIR, the station box and station entrance for the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station was shifted north from the location evaluated in the Draft EIS/EIR. Based on feedback from the VA and the public, the station box was shifted to the far northern end of the parking lot to allow the VA to more easily develop their property in the future and to improve public access to the station. This station location farther from the VA Hospital also facilitates a clearer delineation between station activities and VA activities on the VA Campus.

Currently, Wilshire Boulevard and Bonsall Avenue are grade-separated with Bonsall Avenue passing beneath Wilshire Boulevard. For the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station, the proposed station entrance, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, would be located on the Bonsall level, beneath the bus drop-off area to the north of the VA Hospital parking lot. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same. A passenger drop-off area would also be provided on the Wilshire level within the bus drop-off area on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard.

For the Westwood/VA Hospital North Station, the station entrance would be located along the north side of Wilshire Boulevard, just west of Bonsall Avenue and south of the station box on the Bonsall level, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same.

Since the entrance for both the North and South stations are located along Wilshire Boulevard at Bonsall Avenue, on the Bonsall level, there are no major differences between the two stations for the purposes of evaluating station circulation. However, Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR concludes that both the North and South entrance at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will result in increased hazards to pedestrians and bicyclists due to a design feature or incompatible uses and will conflict with adopted plans or policies related to public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities prior to mitigation. To improve access, the following mitigation measures will be implemented at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station (North or South):

- **T-8-Install High-Visibility Crosswalk**
- **T-9-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Adjacent to**
Metro-Controlled Parcels

T-10-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Coordination with Jurisdictions
T-11-Provide High Visibility Crosswalk Treatments
T-12-Meet Federal, State, and Local Standards for Crossing
T-13-Meet Metro Rail Design Criteria Minimums for Bicycle Parking
T-14-Study Bicycle Parking Demand and Footprint Configuration
T-16-Study Bus-Rail Interface

With implementation of these measures, impacts to the interfacing pedestrian and bicycle networks and bus stops will be mitigated to less than significant levels at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. While it is acknowledged that streets in the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station are wide, pedestrian and bicycle movements in the study area can still occur without major barriers. The vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station does contain a network of sidewalks, including connections between potential future rail station entrances and nearby activities. Escalators will provide easy connections from the bus turnouts on Wilshire Boulevard to the Bonsall level, making transfers between bus and subway relatively convenient.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 and 8.8.8 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and parking. Refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/UCLA locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.6 provides an analysis of potential impacts to parking. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
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Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. During the Draft EIS/EIR scoping, the public suggested that an additional station should be provided west of I-405 because of the large distance between the Westwood/UCLA and a Wilshire/Bundy Station, as well as a desire to serve communities west of the I-405 more effectively. In response, five proposed stations west of I-405 were studied—two at Westwood/VA Hospital (one north of Wilshire and one south of Wilshire), Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy. In analyzing the proposed stations, the potential to serve as a terminus station was an important consideration. In addition, all of the stations except for the stations at Westwood/VA Hospital are located too far west to be funded as part of Measure R and beyond the adopted LRTP.

The Wilshire/Federal Station would have been located on a site currently used by the U.S. Army Reserve, and the site was determined to be too small to accommodate the subway station without impacting adjacent historic homes in the VA property. From an engineering perspective, this also would have been a challenging site to construct a subway station because of the sharp curve of Wilshire Boulevard. Therefore, the Wilshire/Federal Station was eliminated from further consideration.

The Wilshire/Barrington Station would be located slightly west of the proposed Wilshire/Federal Station. While the Wilshire/Barrington Station is in a high density area with high ridership potential, comments were received from the community during scoping in opposition to locating a terminus station at Wilshire/Barrington due to traffic congestion and dense development concerns. Furthermore, the Wilshire/Barrington Station was not as evenly spaced between the Westwood/UCLA Station and the Wilshire/Bundy Station as is the Westwood/VA Hospital Station.

The Wilshire/Bundy Station is the farthest west of the terminus station considered and provided better potential transit connections as it aligns with the future planned Expo station at Olympic/Bundy. However, it is beyond Measure R funding.

Based on all of these considerations, and especially the fact that only the Westwood/VA Hospital Station is fundable within Measure R, the Wilshire/Federal, Wilshire/Barrington, and Wilshire/Bundy Stations were eliminated as potential terminus stations for the fundable Measure R alternatives. Both the North and South Options at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station were carried forward for further analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR. The Wilshire/Bundy Station was also carried forward into the Draft EIS/EIR as part of the Santa Monica Extension, which is beyond available Measure R funding, and would not serve as a terminus station.

A comprehensive station access circulation study was conducted for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station.
Hospital Station due to feedback from both the VA and the public. The recommendations resulting from this study are available in the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report. The report considered pedestrian access, bicycle access, bus access, and auto access to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and resulted in a detailed urban design concept for the Westwood/VA Hospital Station—both the North and South locations. Potential impacts to interfacing transportation networks, including bus transit (specifically, the location of bus stops), and pedestrian and bicycle facilities (pedestrian crossings and bicycle lanes) are also presented in Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR.

In preparation of this Final EIS/EIR, the station box and station entrance for the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station was shifted north from the location evaluated in the Draft EIS/EIR. Based on feedback from the VA and the public, the station box was shifted to the far northern end of the parking lot to allow the VA to more easily develop their property in the future and to improve public access to the station. This station location farther from the VA Hospital also facilitates a clearer delineation between station activities and VA activities on the VA Campus.

Currently, Wilshire Boulevard and Bonsall Avenue are grade-separated with Bonsall Avenue passing beneath Wilshire Boulevard. For the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station, the proposed station entrance, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, would be located on the Bonsall level, beneath the bus drop-off area to the north of the VA Hospital parking lot. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same. A passenger drop-off area would also be provided on the Wilshire level within the bus drop-off area on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard.

For the Westwood/VA Hospital North Station, the station entrance would be located along the north side of Wilshire Boulevard, just west of Bonsall Avenue and south of the station box on the Bonsall level, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same.

Since the entrance for both the North and South stations are located along Wilshire Boulevard at Bonsall Avenue, on the Bonsall level, there are no major differences between the two stations for the purposes of evaluating station circulation. However, Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR concludes that both the North and South entrance at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will result in increased hazards to pedestrians and bicyclists due to a design feature or incompatible uses and will conflict with adopted plans or policies related to public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities prior to mitigation. To improve access, the following mitigation measures will be implemented at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station (North or South):
With implementation of these measures, impacts to the interfacing pedestrian and bicycle networks and bus stops will be mitigated to less than significant levels at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. While it is acknowledged that streets in the vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station are wide, pedestrian and bicycle movements in the study area can still occur without major barriers. The vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station does contain a network of sidewalks, including connections between potential future rail station entrances and nearby activities. Escalators will provide easy connections from the bus turnouts on Wilshire Boulevard to the Bonsall level, making transfers between bus and subway relatively convenient.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/VA Hospital Station locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.7 provides an analysis of potential impacts to pedestrian, bicycle, and bus networks. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Metro Board of Directors, in selecting Alternative 2 as the Locally Preferred Alternative, has found that the benefits do justify the costs of the Project. In addition, after reviewing the project against the New Starts Criteria specific in law, the Federal Transit Administration has given the Project a medium rating for project justification, considering not only the Project’s cost effectiveness rating but also the Project’s other benefits, including land use and economic development. The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven...
stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to help fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Alternative 2 includes stations at both Westwood/UCLA and Westwood/VA Hospital. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.
Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in
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the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
I would like to comment on the VA Hospital station location, from the perspective of a Brentwood resident. I strongly support placing the station north of Wilshire, where pedestrian access from west of the 405 is a serious option (walking through the VA). If you place the station south of Wilshire, you are essentially limiting it to hospital use and to people who access it by motorized transportation. That does not seem to be a wise use of limited funding resources that are intended to provide broad benefit to LA County residents.

Thanks so much for all your excellent work in moving this essential project forward.

Karen Meyer-Roux
farther from the VA Hospital also facilitates a clearer delineation between station activities and VA activities on the VA Campus.

Currently, Wilshire Boulevard and Bonsall Avenue are grade-separated with Bonsall Avenue passing beneath Wilshire Boulevard. For the Westwood/VA Hospital South Station, the proposed station entrance, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR, would be located on the Bonsall level, beneath the bus drop-off area to the north of the VA Hospital parking lot. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same. A passenger drop-off area would also be provided on the Wilshire level within the bus drop-off area on the north side of Wilshire Boulevard.

For the Westwood/VA Hospital North Station, the station entrance would be located along the north side of Wilshire Boulevard, just west of Bonsall Avenue and south of the station box on the Bonsall level, as detailed in Section 2.6 of this Final EIS/EIR. The existing bus drop-off area at the Wilshire level on the north and south sides of Wilshire Boulevard would remain the same.

Since the entrance for both the North and South stations are located along Wilshire Boulevard at Bonsall Avenue, on the Bonsall level, there are no major differences between the two stations for the purposes of evaluating station circulation. However, Section 3.7 of this Final EIS/EIR concludes that both the North and South entrance at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station will result in increased hazards to pedestrians and bicyclists due to a design feature or incompatible uses and will conflict with adopted plans or policies related to public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities prior to mitigation. To improve access, the following mitigation measures will be implemented at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station (North or South):

- T-8-Install High-Visibility Crosswalk
- T-9-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Adjacent to Metro-Controlled Parcels
- T-10-Provide consistency with General Plan Designation Sidewalk Width Coordination with Jurisdictions
- T-11-Provide High Visibility Crosswalk Treatments
- T-12-Meet Federal, State, and Local Standards for Crossing
- T-13-Meet Metro Rail Design Criteria Minimums for Bicycle Parking
- T-14-Study Bicycle Parking Demand and Footprint Configuration
- T-16-Study Bus-Rail Interface

With implementation of these measures, impacts to the interfacing pedestrian and bicycle networks and bus stops will be mitigated to less than significant levels at the Westwood/VA Hospital Station. While it is acknowledged that streets in the vicinity of the
Westwood/VA Hospital Station are wide, pedestrian and bicycle movements in the study area can still occur without major barriers. The vicinity of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station does contain a network of sidewalks, including connections between potential future rail station entrances and nearby activities. Escalators will provide easy connections from the bus turnouts on Wilshire Boulevard to the Bonsall level, making transfers between bus and subway relatively convenient.

Please refer to Section 8.8.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Westwood/UCLA Station and the Westwood/VA Hospital Station Locations Report for a comparison of the two Westwood/VA Hospital Station locations. In addition, the Westside Subway Extension Station Circulation Report provides a comprehensive station access circulation study of the Westwood/VA Hospital Station and Section 3.7 provides an analysis of potential impacts to pedestrian, bicycle, and bus networks. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Your comment about selecting the most direct and least expensive route that generates the highest ridership has been noted. Ridership is indeed one of several important factors that Metro considers in its recommendations to the Board. In selecting a route, Metro considers several factors, including ridership, user benefits, travel time, capital costs, performance characteristics, and environmental impacts. Generally, the least expensive, most direct, and highest ridership route is the preferred route, but a combination or balancing of the
factors identified above are used in making a selection. Between Beverly Hills and Century City, two route options - Santa Monica and Constellation North - were carried forward for further analysis in the Final EIS/EIR as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These route options reflect the two station location options remaining in Century City. In the case of the route options between Century City and Westwood, the East Alignment was selected as part of the LPA, as it is shorter and less costly than the West Alignment and has fewer environmental impacts than the Central Alignment.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including alignment locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the alignments in the Century City vicinity following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Build the Century City station at Constellation. Don't risk building it on the earthquake fault along Santa Monica Blvd. And don't build a station that many workers in Century City will not use because it is so far from the southern half of Century City.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

During preparation of the Final EIS/EIR, the ridership model from the Draft EIS/EIR was further refined to assess the LPA and incorporate any changes between the Draft EIS/EIR and the Final EIS/EIR. More than ten model runs were conducted to respond to changes, perform additional analysis, and answer questions that were raised during the project development process in the Final EIS/EIR phase. The main types of refinement included feeder bus service, balanced headways and some coding refinement, to determine what changes should be included in the Final EIS/EIR model runs. The refined model predicted boardings along the new Westside Subway Extension stations are approximately 49,300 with the Century City Constellation Station, which is about 3,350 more than the predicted 45,986 boardings with the Century City Santa Monica Station. The main difference in boardings at the Century City Station is the increased walk access trips in the Constellation Station over the Santa Monica Station. The walking time between the TAZ 738 (Century City)’s centroid node and the Century City subway station is 3 minutes in the Constellation Option and 13 minutes in the Santa Monica Option. The number of jobs and jobs per square mile in the 1/4-mile and 1/2-mile area around the Century City Stations is much higher in the Constellation Option than in the Santa Monica Option.

In addition to the refined ridership model, a supplemental ridership study was prepared to evaluate the relative accessibility of the Century City Station locations to surrounding commercial and residential development within a 1/2-mile walking distance. This data was then used to estimate the number of Westside Subway Extension riders who would walk to and from the stations. It should be noted that these ridership projections only consider those riders who walk to the station and these projections are intended to supplement the ridership forecasts. This analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station attracts more Westside Subway riders compared to the station location along Santa Monica Boulevard. Based on both existing and projected future development in Century
City, the Constellation Station has the highest concentration of jobs and residents within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds. As a consequence, the 14,005 riders estimated to walk to the Century City Station along Constellation Boulevard is approximately 72 percent greater than the approximately 8,145 riders expected to walk to the Santa Monica Boulevard Station. The Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension.

In addition to ridership studies, the geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Please choose the Constellation station in Century City. Don’t let a few extremely wealthy homeowners force a multi-billion dollar transit project to be built in the wrong way. There is no credible reason to their fears as the subway already operates under homes in the Valley with no concern/incident whatsoever. Constellation is vastly superior to Santa Moncia Blvd and it would be a shame if Metro has to succumb to a few vocal whiny residents at the expense of the entire city that will make use of this transit line.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area...
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area...
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
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Your comment regarding canceling a stop has been noted. There are seven station locations included as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative. These include Wilshire/La Brea, Wilshire/Fairfax, Wilshire/La Cienega, Wilshire/Rodeo, Century City, Westwood/UCLA, and the Westwood/VA Hospital.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
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I strongly support development of the Westside Subway Extension. I recommend Metro choose Alternative 5 for the following reasons:

1. Because fast, high-capacity transit is needed along the entire Wilshire Corridor, and because connecting the Westside to Hollywood is needed as part of building a mass-transit network useful to people across the Los Angeles Basin.

2. Cost-effectiveness comparison: Of the three alternatives that would extend the network beyond Alternative 2 (Alternatives 3, 4, and 5) I compared the marginal increase in daily boardings to the marginal construction cost of each of these alternatives (3, 4, and 5) to alternative 2. (For instance, for Alternative 4, this is calculated as (Construction Cost[A4] – Construction Cost[A2])/(Daily Boardings[A4] – Daily Boardings[A2]) where [A2] = alternative 2, etc.

For Alternative 4 the marginal construction cost per marginal daily boarding is $170,000; for Alternative 5 the marginal construction cost per marginal daily boarding is $116,200.

If Metro is going to extend the Westside Subway beyond Alternative 2, Alternative 5 is much more cost-effective than Alternative 4.

Comment about ridership estimate in Chapter 3 of the EIS/EIR:
Development of the WSE can be expected to directly generate 3 types of ridership:
1. trips from new station to new station
2. trips from new station to existing station
3. trips from existing station to new station.

The ridership estimates in Chapter 3 forecast boardings at new stations: that is, they include categories 1 and 2 above but not category 3. It seems to me very likely that development of the WSE would generate a large volume of boardings at existing stations for trips that would extend onto the WSE; and that therefore the ridership estimates in Chapter 3 may underestimate the actual increase in ridership by several tens of thousands of boardings per day.

On a related topic, I strongly support 30-10 and I encourage Metro to continue efforts to obtain federal loans against future Measure R sales tax revenue.

I thank you very much for your consideration of my comments.

I am co-chair of the Transportation Committee of the Angeles Chapter of the Sierra Club; the comments here are my own.

Michael Milroy
Long Beach

* (Development of the WSE could also indirectly generate trips from existing station to existing station, due to increased usefulness of the heavy-rail system generally and some people shifting trips generally from other modes to rail transit. However, estimating such increase in ridership would be speculative and I don't attempt a guess).

Your support for Alternative 5 (Santa Monica Extension plus West Hollywood Extension) has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and between them, Alternative 2 provides significantly higher ridership and better cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively. There is not adequate funding available in Measure R or other sources to construct Alternative 5 at this time.

While the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated a significant market for transit improvements serving Santa Monica and West Hollywood, there is not sufficient Measure R or other funding available to construct a Santa Monica or West Hollywood subway at this time. The Santa Monica and West Hollywood corridors are included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Therefore, further study could occur should funding be identified and secured in the future. The LPA will also be designed so as not to preclude future westward extension of the subway.

The cost-effectiveness measure used in the Draft EIS/EIR was derived by annualizing each alternative's capital cost, adding the annual operating and maintenance costs, and dividing the sum by the alternative's annual transit system user benefits. User benefits refer primarily to travel-time savings. This measure, referred to as the "cost-effectiveness index," is used by FTA in its rating of projects seeking New Starts funds.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process. Detailed information on the Project's cost analysis can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Comparative Benefits and Costs Analysis, available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
The modeling effort included FTA's participation throughout the process and a final review was held in September 2009 during which FTA concurred that the model was ready for application to this Project. The model was calibrated with 2001 and 2006 on-board survey data and then validated against transit ridership information to ensure it properly represents travel activity for the Los Angeles County and regional transportation system.

Please refer to Section 8.8.9 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to ridership. Please refer to Section 3.2.1 of the Final EIS/EIR for more information on ridership forecasting methodology. In addition, the Los Angeles Mode Choice Model: Calibration/Validation Report provide detailed information about the ridership model and the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives provides a summary of the updated results prepared for the Final EIS/EIR. The Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment in support of 30/10 has been noted.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a...
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Dear Mr. Miegler,

I support Alternative 5 thru West Hollywood. At the very least it should be included as part of the EIS/EIR as a viable alternative if your first choice doesn’t work out, or as an additional line in the future.

That said I believe it should be your first choice. The Hollywood area is central to everything and has developed and grown faster than most any other area in LA. Between all the events that take place in Hollywood traffic with consistent street closures and no place to park is in badly need of a public transit makeover. The congestion and growth from entertainment and business centers continues west all the way thru to the Grove/Farmer’s Market, Cedar’s, etc.

This area has been ignored for much too long. And it’s time the area moving west from Hollywood and Highland all the way to Santa Monica, should have a solid rail and bus transit system.

Thank you.

Best regards,
Karen A. Miniutti

Miniutti & Associates
1406 N. Gardner St., Ste 4
Los Angeles, CA 90046
miniut@pacbell.net
As a daily rider bus rider on the Wilshire corridor, I am looking forward to the public health and mobility benefits that the Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative in the Westside Subway Extension Draft Environmental Impact Report could have for Wilshire Blvd. If chose, this option could create a more robust bus system by improving upon the existing Metro Rapid Bus service and local bus service in the Westside Extension Transit Corridor study area.

As I bus rider, if this project removes bus service to certain areas, then I do not support it.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
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| Submission Content | As a daily rider bus rider on the Wilshire corridor, I am looking forward to the public health and mobility benefits that the Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative in the Westside Subway Extension Draft Environmental Impact Report could have for Wilshire Blvd. If chose, this option could create a more robust bus system by improving "upon the existing Metro Rapid Bus service and local bus service in the Westside Extension Transit Corridor study area.

Yes to TSM – we need better public transit. Please invest in the needs of the poor people and not in train. |
| Attachments     | rosa miranda.pdf (491 kb) |

---
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Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
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Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
1. Don’t really know why a subway is needed in the first place. A lot of money added to government debt seems counter intuitive. Remember buses anyone?

2. And do we really need more overpopulation in Westwood (or anywhere for that matter) which this fiasco will promote?

3. Anyone ever consider an “El”

4. If the folks who will make billions off this unnecessary expense cannot be stopped, (so what else is new), please don’t make a stop at Wilshire & Westwood to tie up traffic even more. It’s already the most crowded intersection in the universe.

5. Instead of veering to Century City and then back, why not just have a side line from Wilshire under Beverly Glen.

Your comment has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.

Your comment on growth in the study area has been noted. The proposed project does not include a housing or commercial component. The proposed project is a transit project located within a transit corridor and would provide the opportunity for adjacent mixed-use development containing commercial and residential uses. Since the corridor is located in a dense urban area, most of the opportunity for development would come from the redevelopment of lower-density uses. This is a slow process that would occur over the span of several decades. The discussion of potential secondary growth that could occur as a result of the Project is addressed throughout the Final EIS/EIR. As described in Chapter 4.1 Land Use and 4.16 Growth Inducing Impacts, land use policy is developed and established by the municipal agency where affected properties are located and not by Metro.

Your comment about alternative routes and technologies for the subway has been noted. Between 2007 and 2009, Metro conducted an Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study for the Westside Corridor. The AA Study considered the need for transit improvements in the
corridor and evaluated various transit technologies and alignments. During Early Scoping meetings, Metro presented the public with technology options that included Heavy Rail Transit (HRT), Light Rail Transit (LRT), and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT). In response to comments received, Metro added monorail to those other technologies to be analyzed in the AA Study. As a result of these analyses, the Metro Board decided to carry five subway alternatives into the Draft EIS/EIR. An underground alignment was recommended because it has fewer land use, traffic, visual, historic, and noise impacts than an elevated alignment. This is due to the impacts an elevated alignment would have on adjacent buildings (some historic), visual quality, shadow, noise, land acquisitions and traffic, as well as the mitigations needed. The AA Study also identified HRT as the preferred mode for further study because it has the capacity to meet the anticipated ridership demand and would minimize the number of transfers.

Please refer to Section 2.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study, available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

Your comment requesting, if a subway were built, that the station at Westwood/UCLA be dropped due to traffic has been noted. The Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative, which includes a station at Westwood/UCLA. Section 3.5 of this Final EIS/EIR includes an intersection-level traffic analysis to determine whether the LPA will result in additional traffic congestion at the local level, including in the vicinity of the Westwood/UCLA Station, due to passengers accessing the station. This analysis concluded that the operation of the LPA, including the Westwood/UCLA Station, will not negatively impact any analyzed Study Area intersections in the vicinity of the Westwood/UCLA Station.

Your comment regarding alternative alignments has been noted. Please refer to Section 2.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study and the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report for more information about the development of the alignments in the Century City area. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
I do not support the Constellation station proposal and would only support the original Santa Monica Blvd route. I vote in every election and feel very strongly about this. Subways do not belong under our high school!

Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station location and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools as well as the development of the Century City station and alignment options has been noted.

Metro followed FTA’s New Starts project planning and development process and carefully considered public input in developing the location of the Century City Station. The process of determining the location of the Century City Station began with the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study in 2007. At the beginning of the Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study, two general corridors—one along Wilshire Boulevard and the other along Santa Monica Boulevard—were presented to the public at Early Scoping meetings. Some people who spoke at the Early Scoping meetings generally supported the proposed station locations that were presented (Santa Monica Boulevard in Century City being one of them). However, some attendees also suggested additional or alternate station locations, with some commenting that the station in Century City should be south of Santa Monica Boulevard, closer to the center of Century City, which Metro took into consideration.

During scoping for the Draft EIS/EIR in 2009, Metro sought additional public comment on the alignment and station options in the Beverly Hills to Westwood area, including the Century City Station location. During preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR, the alignment and station locations were refined to avoid impacts to the natural and built environments where feasible, provide a cost-effective solution to increase east/west mobility in the Study Area, and respond to public and agency input. The analysis and refinement of the station and alignment locations, including the Century City Station location, are described in the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report. Ultimately, the Century City Santa Monica Station and the Century City Constellation Station were carried forward for analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR.

Following public circulation of the Draft EIS/EIR, on October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.
Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro’s highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
I am all for the subway, but the current plan is wrong headed. The subway will work when there are enough stations to encourage people to use it. The current plan does not include a stop between Century City and UCLA. This is crazy. The subway should be planned to go from Century City to a stop at Westwood and Santa Monica Boulevards. From there it should make its way to UCLA and beyond.

Plenty of people will access the subway from a stop at Westwood and Santa Monica. However, none of these people would take a bus to get to either of the planned stops.

We will only have one chance to get this right. We need to make sure the route goes from Century City to Westwood/ Santa Monica and UCLA. Don’t screw this up!

Thanks.

Adam Moos
1508 Pandora Ave.
Los Angeles, CA  90024

Your support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted.

Your comment about route selection between Century City and Westwood has been noted. The East Alignment was approved by the Metro Board to be carried forward as part of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA), and the Central and West Alignments were removed from further consideration as part of the LPA. The West Alignment is significantly longer than the other two, and would increase travel time between Century City and Westwood by more than two minutes. This, in turn, would lead to somewhat lower ridership and user benefits, and to fewer air quality and energy conservation benefits. The West Alignment Option would also increase capital costs by $122 to $142 million in comparison to the East Alignment Option. Between the Central and East Alignment Options, both have similar performance characteristics and costs. The East Alignment, however, passes under fewer private properties. Therefore, it was selected to be carried forward in the LPA into the Final EIS/EIR.

Your comment regarding the construction of a station at the Westwood Boulevard and Santa Monica Boulevard intersection has been noted. In response to public comments received during the Draft EIS/EIR Scoping, the possibility of adding an extra station between the Century City and Westwood/UCLA Stations on Santa Monica Boulevard or on Wilshire Boulevard was considered. The Westwood Boulevard and Westwood Loop Connecting Routes traveling along Westwood Boulevard between Santa Monica and Wilshire Boulevards may have allowed for the addition of an extra station on Westwood Boulevard. However, upon further analysis, it was determined that the geometry of the Westwood Boulevard and Westwood Loop Connecting Routes in order to locate a station on Westwood Boulevard, the tunnel alignment curves would be widened, thus increasing the number of parcels that would be tunneled beneath.

Please refer to the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Environmental Scoping Report for a more detailed discussion of the scoping process and development of alternatives for the Draft EIS/EIR. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. In addition, Route 102 will be unaffected by the Westside Subway Extension and portions of the 102 Route will be supplemented by Expo Phase I, scheduled to open in 2012.

Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region’s transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the
same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project's costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.
Dear Mr. David Mieger,

We urge MTA to use the original plan that incorporated Santa Monica Blvd for the Westside expansion of the subway (the "Santa Monica" option). We are not in support of any option that involves tunneling under Beverly Hills High School.

Thank you and sincerely,
Shiva and Jahanshah Moreh

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

Your comment in support of the Century City Santa Monica Station location and concerns about tunneling beneath homes and schools as well as the development of the Century City station and alignment options has been noted.

Metro followed FTA's New Starts project planning and development process and carefully considered public input in developing the location of the Century City Station. The process of determining the location of the Century City Station began with the Westside Transit Corridor Alternatives Analysis Study in 2007. At the beginning of the Alternatives Analysis (AA) Study, two general corridors—one along Wilshire Boulevard and the other along Santa Monica Boulevard—were presented to the public at Early Scoping meetings. Some people who spoke at the Early Scoping meetings generally supported the proposed station locations that were presented (Santa Monica Boulevard in Century City being one of them). However, some attendees also suggested additional or alternate station locations, with some commenting that the station in Century City should be south of Santa Monica Boulevard, closer to the center of Century City, which Metro took into consideration.

During scoping for the Draft EIS/EIR in 2009, Metro sought additional public comment on the alignment and station options in the Beverly Hills to Westwood area, including the Century City Station location. During preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR, the alignment and station locations were refined to avoid impacts to the natural and built environments where feasible, provide a cost-effective solution to increase east/west mobility in the Study Area, and respond to public and agency input. The analysis and refinement of the station and alignment locations, including the Century City Station location, are described in the Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report. Ultimately, the Century City Santa Monica Station and the Century City Constellation Station were carried forward for analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR.

Following public circulation of the Draft EIS/EIR, on October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools. The Metro Board of Directors also decided to not include the Constellation South alignment between the Wilshire/Rodeo and Century City Stations as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the Constellation North and the Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. The Constellation South alignment passed beneath more residential properties than the Constellation North or Santa Monica Boulevard alignments. In addition, the Metro Board of Directors decided to not include the West or Central alignments between Century City and Westwood/UCLA as part of the LPA, but to continue to study the East alignment because the East alignment is the most direct and least expensive route between the two stations.
Safety, both during construction and eventual operations, is one of Metro's highest priorities and is one of the key evaluation criteria in selection of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). In response to the Metro Board of Director's request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

On most transit tunnel projects, significant portions of the alignment are constructed adjacent to or beneath buildings. The LPA passes beneath homes and schools in these neighborhoods because the curve radius required for subway tunnels is much wider than that required at a typical surface street intersection. The current alignment minimizes tunneling under buildings to the east and west of both the Century City Stations. The station position on Constellation Boulevard requires the tunnel alignment to be under the south portion of Beverly Hills High School Building B in order to reach the station location. There is no reasonable tunnel alignment that does not pass under homes or structures within the Beverly Hills High School campus.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. The use of state-of-the-art pressurized closed-face TBMs for soft-ground tunneling has greatly improved the control of ground movements such that tunneling can be done with minimal surface settlements. The presence of the tunnels will neither affect the risk to buildings above them during an earthquake nor change the severity of shaking. Finally, tunnels can be constructed and operated safely in gassy grounds and oil wells do not pose an unmitigatable risk to tunneling.

The additional detailed geotechnical studies also assessed soil conditions and determine the potential for noise or vibration impacts on the surface along the refined alignments. These studies concluded that the predicted vibration and noise levels are within the FTA requirements and operation of the subway is not anticipated to have adverse impacts with the implementation of mitigation, including areas where the tunnels pass beneath homes and schools. During construction, low levels of noise and vibration may be experienced for a day or two as each of the two TBMs pass under a given location. In addition, as the tunnels are driven, construction trains bring supplies to and from the tunnel heading. However, these underground construction noises will also be controlled to be within Metro criteria.

The Westside Subway Extension will not reduce the availability of BHHS for use as an
emergency shelter or impact the operations of its use as an emergency shelter. Furthermore, tunneling would not prevent future development of the BHHS campus. The vertical alignment of the tunnel would be 55 to 70 feet below the ground surface (to the top of the tunnel), which would allow for construction of an underground structure over the tunnel at a later date.

These geotechnical studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site. Tunnels to the east and west of Century City pass through at least two active faults. However, there are numerous tools, designs, and construction means and methods that have been used elsewhere that can be used to safely tunnel through these fault zones.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station and alignments and Section 8.8.4 of the Final EIS/EIR for a more detailed response to geotechnical concerns. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area

### Submission Content:

I am City of L.A. resident. I support the construction of the Westside Subway. I work in Century City and strongly believe that a subway stop should be placed at the intersection of Constellation Blvd. and Avenue of the Stars. Subways around the world build tunnels underneath schools, hospitals, and homes. Therefore, my support is to build the subway and as far west as possible. I hope the M.T.A. can at least build the Westside subway to the V.A. Hospital or over west of the 405 fwy as this will help reduce congestion in that area. Thank you.

Regards,

Andre
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Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

93-2
Your comment regarding the length of the alignment has been noted. The Metro Board approved Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. This alternative is the longest alignment that is affordable with available funds. In selecting a route, Metro considered several factors, including ridership, user benefits, travel time, capital costs, performance characteristics, and environmental impacts. The Project is being designed so as not to preclude future westward extension.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension has been noted.

Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station location has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

The Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in
the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Foreasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Westside Subway Extension
Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report

Appendix H - Response to Comments

71-1

Your comment regarding the location of entrances and station in relation to the tunnels has been noted. The station portals (or entrances) should be located in close proximity to the station box to provide maximum station accessibility and to minimize station construction costs. Research has shown that most transit riders are willing to walk up to one-half mile to access a rail station, which would also include time underground in long portals to access the station platform. Using normal walking speeds, this distance can be covered in approximately 10 minutes. While people mover systems are effective in airports and other locations that are used for occasional long-distance travel, they are not as effective in transit systems that are used for daily short-distance travel. Additionally, constructing long portal entrances with moving sidewalks would require additional tunneling and station features, adding to the project cost.

On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Victor Moss
Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Westside Subway Extension Project has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative. Only Alternatives 1 and 2 are affordable within the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan, and between them, Alternative 2 provides higher ridership and improved cost effectiveness. Additionally, Alternative 2 serves the VA Hospital and other communities west of the I-405 more effectively.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives and the LPA selection process.
Your preference for the TSM Alternative has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Alternative 2 was selected as the LPA because the analysis in the Draft EIS/EIR demonstrated that the Build Alternatives would be more effective than the TSM Alternative in terms of enhancing mobility, serving development opportunities, and addressing other aspects of the Purpose and Need for the Project. Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Draft EIS/EIR and Section 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for information on this analysis.

Furthermore, the Project would not eliminate bus service along Wilshire Boulevard but rather would supplement it with rail. As explained in Chapter 2, Metro Local, Limited, Rapid, and Express bus service along Wilshire Boulevard will continue to operate in conjunction with the rail system, if approved and implemented. The Wilshire Boulevard Bus Rapid Transit project is also assumed to be in place. Maintenance of local bus service levels is an important component of the transit system serving the Westside Corridor. With the extension the Purple Line subway service to the Westwood/VA Hospital Station, it is estimated that one-third of demand would involve local bus access. Metro continues to seek to improve the region's transit needs and continually evaluates various transit corridors to achieve a more interconnected transportation system. To help guide design of subway stations, potential enhanced local bus service at stations was assessed and is discussed in Chapter 3 of the Final EIS/EIR.

The Project will be funded primarily through a combination of Measure R local funds and Federal New Starts funds, with some other local, State, and Federal funds. Metro will continue to use a combination of local, State, and Federal funding sources to operate and maintain the system. In addition to these funding sources, Metro relies on fare revenues to fund about one-third of its operating costs. Bus operating funds will not be used to construct the Project, and no fare increases or service reductions are proposed to cover the Project’s costs. The selection of the TSM Alternative would not have resulted in lower fares. The Metro Board of Directors establishes fares. Currently, the Base Fare for each boarding is $1.50 and the Metro Day Pass is $5.00. A transfer is the same as the Base Fare - $1.50.

Furthermore, the Westside Subway Extension Project will increase transit options and improve mobility for residents across Los Angeles County, including low-income and minority residents who are transit-dependent. Transit service is meant to serve where the demand is greatest, and these areas are often within neighborhoods that have Environmental Justice (EJ) populations and communities of concern. Four of the seven stations are located in, or adjacent to the Environmental Justice populations identified in Section 4.2.6 of the Final EIS/EIR. Therefore, people living in EJ populations will have the same opportunity to access the transit and mobility improvements provided by the subway.

The increased connectivity would also reduce the number of transfers which would have a
beneficial economic impact to elderly and low-income communities. The Project would also allow easier access to major employment centers. Transit user benefits associated with the LPA are anticipated both along the Project corridor as well as across the region. The transit benefits associated with the LPA are further detailed in Section 3.4 of the Final EIS/EIR.

Your comments on health benefits has been noted. The operation of the LPA is expected to result in regional reductions in vehicle miles traveled (VMT), which in turn will lead to lower emissions of some criteria pollutants as well as lower greenhouse gases associated with roadway VMT. These lower emissions of pollutants are likely to result in some health benefits compared to the No Build.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. In October 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). A Wilshire/Crenshaw Station was not included in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2. Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment on the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station has been noted. In October 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). A Wilshire/Crenshaw Station was not included in the LPA.

The Wilshire/Crenshaw Station would be located in the Park Mile section of Wilshire Boulevard, adjacent to lower density land uses that are not planned for future growth in the adopted Community Plan and Park Mile Specific Plan. This site is only 0.5 mile from the existing Wilshire/Western Station and does not serve a major north south intersection, as Crenshaw Boulevard terminates at Wilshire Boulevard and does not extend to the north. Because this is a comparatively lower ridership station with a cost of $153 million, eliminating this station from the LPA improves the cost-effectiveness of Alternative 2. Furthermore, future connections from the Westside subway stations along Wilshire Boulevard to the planned Crenshaw/LAX Light Rail Transit project to the south have been recommended to take place at La Brea, La Cienega, or San Vicente rather than at Wilshire/Crenshaw.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/Crenshaw Station following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area.

Hello,

1. Please choose the Constellation station - there's actually destinations there that transit users would go to instead of a golf course.

2. I really wish that the West Hollywood spur connection piece can be built. If it isn't possible to afford the connection piece now, does that mean that there never will be a West Hollywood spur? If that's the case, this will be a really big failure for the project in several decades when transit demand in the West Hollywood area will meet and surpass federal requirements for funding.

Thanks,
Wilton Mui
572-1
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.

572-2
Your preference for the inclusion of the West Hollywood connection structure has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). The Board chose not to include a West Hollywood connection structure in the LPA due to funding constraints.

Additionally, the cost of the connection structure is not sufficiently justified when there may be alternative, less costly solutions to serve the West Hollywood transit market, such as a light rail line. The Draft EIS/EIR showed that there is a market for transit improvements serving West Hollywood, and this corridor is included in the Strategic Element of the 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan. Should funding be identified and secured, further study could be done to identify a project that would be competitive under Federal funding criteria.

Please refer to Sections 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 of the Final EIS/EIR for an overview of the development of alternatives, including station locations, and the LPA selection process. The Westside Subway Extension Alternatives Screening and Refinement Following Scoping Report provides a more detailed description of the refinements to the Wilshire/La Cienega Station, including the potential connection structure, following Draft EIS/EIR scoping in response to community comments and engineering requirements. This report is available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.
Your comment in support of the Century City Constellation Station has been noted. On October 28, 2010, the Metro Board of Directors identified Alternative 2 (Westwood/VA Hospital Extension) as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). As part of the LPA selection, the Metro Board of Directors decided to continue to study both station location options in Century City (Santa Monica Boulevard and Constellation Boulevard) to address concerns raised by the community regarding locating a station directly on a seismic fault and the safety of tunneling under homes and schools.

In response to the Metro Board of Director’s request for more information, further analysis was undertaken to focus on the engineering and environmental aspects of the two options during the preparation of the Final EIS/EIR to expand on the studies conducted in preparation of the Draft EIS/EIR. It should be noted that prior to conducting the comparative study, the Santa Monica Boulevard Station location was shifted slightly to the east from the location in the Draft EIS/EIR to avoid the Santa Monica Fault zone.

The geotechnical studies conducted during preparation of the Final EIS/EIR concluded that tunneling can be safely carried out beneath the Beverly Hills High School campus and the West Beverly Hills, Century City, and Westwood neighborhoods. However, these studies also determined that the Century City Santa Monica Station would cross the West Beverly Hills Lineament, a northern extension of the active Newport-Inglewood Fault, which poses a significant safety risk to passengers at this station location. No evidence of faulting was found at the proposed Century City Constellation Station site.

In addition, the Century City Constellation Boulevard Station has the best pedestrian environment, can be expected to attract the most transit riders, and is centrally located to help shape the redevelopment of Century City as an important transit-oriented destination on the Westside Subway Extension. Further refinements to the ridership analysis concluded that the Century City Constellation Station would result in 3,350 more boardings along new Westside Subway Extension stations than the Century City Santa Monica Station due to proximity to jobs and residences within the critical 600-foot and 1/4-mile walksheds.

Based on all of these factors, the Century City Station Location Report concluded by recommending that the Century City Station be located along Constellation Boulevard due to seismic safety concerns at the Santa Monica Boulevard Station and higher ridership projections with Constellation Boulevard Station.

Please refer to Section 8.8.2 and 8.8.3 of the Final EIS/EIR for more detailed responses to concerns related to the Century City Station. Refer to Section 7.3 of the Final EIS/EIR and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Station Location Report for a comparison of the two Century City Station locations. The results of further geotechnical investigations in the Century City vicinity can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Appendix H - Response to Comments
Fault Investigation Report and the Westside Subway Extension Century City Area Tunneling Safety Report. The results of further ridership studies can be found in the Westside Subway Extension Technical Report Summarizing the Results of the Forecasted Alternatives and the Westside Subway Extension Century City TOD and Walk Access Study. All reports are available on the Metro Westside Subway Extension Project website: www.metro.net/projects/westside/westside-reports.