Appendix E: Comment Database

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
1	Gregory Edward Mantell	12/22/2009	Facebook	Public	N/A		Connect the Green Line to Santa Monica																						N/A
2	Jason Herring	1/7/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																							Redondo Power Station, which is being disassembled, will open a Corridor of South Bay real estate (if power lines are removed)	N/A
3	Mario Chavarria	3/18/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Add to database	N/A
4	James Tucker	3/29/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																							Use the Redondo Power Station as a transit hub but no need to use the power line ROW	N/A
5	James Tucker	4/6/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		Divert line to Del Amo Mall																					Integrate stations with malls	N/A
6	George Koury	4/12/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																								N/A
7	Joe Acosta	4/13/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																								N/A
8	Marco Sandoval	4/13/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like to be added to mailing list	N/A
9	Richard Didcoate	4/14/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Prefers Freight Track Alternative; Wants to see station close to 162nd Street																						Yes
10	Cooper Kocsis	4/15/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Agrees there is a need for the project																							Yes
11	Saul Roe	4/19/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Need to connect directly to LAX; Prefers the Light Rail Alternative	X																				Grade separate all crossings	N/A
12	Oscar Garcia	4/20/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																								Yes
13	Thomas Feliciano	4/20/2010	Letter	Public	N/A																								Yes
14	Danny	4/22/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Requested meeting dates	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
15	Bradley Tollison	4/23/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		Would like to see the alignment go down Madrona Ave to Del Amo Mall and then up to Wilson Park to rejoin the Subdivision (by using Carson St or Plaza Del Amo); Stations should be located at South Bay Galleria, Del Amo Mall, Wilson Park and Sepulveda/Western; No station is needed at Hawthorne/190th																					N/A
16	Jeff Morris	4/23/2010	Email	Public	N/A																	X							Yes
17	Oscar Garcia	4/23/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like to be added to mailing list	N/A
18	Arthur C. Evans	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance		Suggested to study alternative station locations	X			X			X								X							N/A
19	Charles Michel, Deemer	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance		Station proposed for Hawthorne/190th should be shifted further east to be more effective so that it is on the backside of the shopping center south of 190th Street; Investigate Hawthorne Blvd. as an alignment																						N/A
20	Chris Graue	4/26/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Torrance	Need more public transit in LA to reduce personal vehicle use	LAX to San Fernando through Sepulveda Pass; Create bridge link to OC Metrolink																						Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
21	Chris Graue	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Improve travel without the need of a car; City needs to be better connected	Suggests for the Green Line to be extended east and NE all the way to I-405; Believes that there is a need for more alternative options; Study routes to Orange County and Santa Monica																						Yes
22	David Bachelorin	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance		Prefers the alignment to be elevated to reduce crossing noise							X								X							N/A
23	Diana Barbosa	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Not many people will ride it	Project should stop at the Galleria															X							N/A
24	Donald Szerlip	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative; Believes that the stations proposed for Manhattan Beach/ Inglewood and Hawthorne/190th are not needed	X																				Suggested that there is a need for grade separation at the proposed Manhattan Beach/Inglewood station	Yes
25	Edgar Saenz, candidate for State Assembly	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative	X						X														Suggested fewer stops which will result in better speed for the train	Yes
26	Jason Herring	4/26/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		The Valley-Ardmore greenbelt is a landscapped jogging path and would no longer be a good transit route																						N/A
27	Jay Gould	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Believes that there is no need for the project	Investigate a tunnel and a subway system instead of light rail	X		X				X															No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
28	John Parsons, S. Bay Association of Champers of Commerce (SBACC)	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance		Would like to see the continuation of the Green Line down to San Pedro/Red Car and Long Beach/Blue Line	X																				There is a need for grade separation at Manhattan Beach/Inglewood station	Yes
29	Kate Anderson, candidate for State Assembly	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Agrees there is a need for the project																							Yes
30	Mike Griffith	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance																							Interested to know about the cost per ride; Suggested the issue should be studied	N/A
31	Monroe Jones, Member of N.L.A.C.R.C.	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	This is for existing Green Line riders who want to go further in to South Bay																							Yes
32	Seward Tuthill	4/26/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Torrance		Proposes that the extension follow Hawthorne Blvd. and stop by to Del Amo Fasion Center; The extension could reconnect with the Subdivision via Sepulveda Blvd.																					Included drawing of proposed extension	Yes
33	Shawn McCoy	4/26/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Torrance	Believes that the congestion on the I-405 isn't heavy in the Study Area; The project is not necessary	Prefers a well connected bus system							X															No
34	Bradley Tollison, Torrance Transit	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Agrees there is a need for the project - to serve the aerospace workers that are currently stuck on I-405	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																						Yes
35	Brian Baldauf	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach		Prefers the Light Rail Alternative because it would tie into the existing system				X			X														Interested in the South Bay Waterfront Park (where the Redondo Beach Power Plant is) - people could move between the train stations and the park	Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
								Environmental Concerns																					
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts	Other	Project Support
36	Bruce Szeles	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach			X						X														Believes that there will be a need for grade separation at the Inglewood Ave. crossing	N/A
37	Danny Patel	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Believes that there is no need for this project because no one rides the bus	Need an LAX connection; Examine Hawthorne Blvd. as an alignment							X															No
38	Derek Hilderbrandt	4/28/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach		Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																						Yes
39	Dharmesh Vedcheder	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach			X		X				X								X							No
40	Frank DeLorenzo	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach		Alternatives need to link directly to LAX																					Interested to know how the priority is chosen and why the Green Line doesn't reach to LAX	N/A
41	Jay Gould	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach					X	X			X								X							No
42	John Parsons, S. Bay Association of Champers of Commerce (SBACC)	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Agrees there is a need for the project	Not sure if a station is needed at Manhattan Beach/ Inglewood	X																				Suggested that there is a need for grade separation at the Manhattan Beach/Inglewood station	Yes
43	Kelly Callas, Neighborhood Watch	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach		Interested to see direct connection to the LAX airport and to Downtown Los Angeles																						N/A
44	Ken Fine	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach		Supports the TSM Alternative	X		X	X			X								X						Believes that there will be great impacts at the Manhattan Beach/Inglewood intersections that need to be considered	No
45	Laura Ceballos	4/28/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach	Need better public transit	Connect to LAUS																						Yes
46	Marna Smeltzer, Redondo Beach Chamber of Commerce	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Agrees there is a need for the project - future connection to San Pedro and the Westside	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative; Would like to see it connected to San Pedro in future.																						Yes
47	Marsha Mullens	4/28/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach																	X							No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
48	Melvin Huges	4/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Line should continue along Hawthorne Blvd. after 190th street																						N/A
49	Monroe Jones, member of N.L.A.C.R.C	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Agrees there is a need for the project - create a better public transportation system	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																						Yes
50	Paul Lauson	4/28/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach		Supports the No Build Alernative															X							No
51	Ryan McArdle	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach									X								X							N/A
52	Sara McCoy	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Believes that there is no need for the project	Prefers the existing bus system				X											X							No
53	Sepna	4/28/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Wants to know the process for opposing the project	N/A
54	Shawn McCoy	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Believes that there is no need for this project	Prefers the existing bus system				X											X							No
55	Sorrika Dashi	4/28/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach		Need public transit to LAX							X								X							Yes
56	Tony Czuleger	4/28/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Redondo Beach	Agrees there is a need for the project in the South Bay area	Prefers Light Rail Alternative, however suggests the study of an elevated guideway on Hawthorne Blvd.	X						X														Trains should provide for luggage to transport passengers to the airport	Yes
57	Erin Strelich	4/29/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		Wilson Park would be a great stop/station																						N/A
58	Johnny Kurokawa	4/29/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Prefers the Freight Track Alternative; Should look at Hawthorne Blvd																					Traffic at Manhattan Beach/ Inglewood intersection	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
59	LaShavio Johnson, Historic Preservation Technician, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	4/29/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A														X									Initiate Section 106 process by notifying the State Historic Preservation Officer and/or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes, and other parties of the project to assess any potential adverse effects on historic properties	N/A
60	Oscar Garcia	4/29/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																								N/A
61	Sepna	4/29/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Wants to know the process for opposing the project	N/A
62	Andre Navit	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports improving bus system	X		X	X			X															No
63	Andrei Lobato	5/1/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A						X																		No
64	Danny Singh	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	People will not utilize the train	Supports the No Build or TSM Alternative	X	X				X	X								X						Concerned about the cost and economic burden on taxpayers	No
65	Dorothy Schafer	5/1/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Supports the No Build or TSM Alternative			X				X								X							No
66	Frank Basham	5/1/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Redondo Beach																								No
67	Gina Lemusom	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports improving the bus system; Wants more alternatives			X				X								X							No
68	Harold Hoffman, Mayor of Lawndale	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Mayor	Lawndale		Supports improving the bus system	X						X								X						Believes that traffic crossing impacts need to be studied specifically at Manhattan Beach Boulevard.	No
69	Jay Gould	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports improving the bus system				X											X							No
70	Jennifer Galves	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	Project will not help								X	X							X							No
71	Jim Melton	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale			X																				Believes that the Inglewood Ave. crossing should be grade separated.	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
72	Keith Breskin, Lawndale City Manager	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Interested in a potential elevated guideway or a subway system	X																				Suggested that the pedestrian traffic needs to be studied, particularly the sidewalks on Condon Ave. south of 162 St.; Concerned about the Manhattan Beach/Inglewood grade crossing	Yes
73	Lenore Bemis	5/1/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Lawndale		Study line that serves Del Amo															X							N/A
74	Marco Sandoval	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	Agrees there is a need for the project																	X					Interested to know if the vertical profile is elevated, and also what trains will be used for the project	Yes
75	Mariano Velazquez	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	Agrees there is a need for transit		X																				Build the project in a way that it provides benefit to the community	No
76	Marisol K. Shankar	5/1/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		Supports the TSM Alternative			X				X															No
77	Marisol Shankar, Neighborhood Watch Captain	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports the TSM Alternative	X			X			X								X						Signal synchronization could help	No
78	Martha Rabel	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Is interested to see different alternatives				X											X						Didn't get notification of the meetings	N/A
79	Michelle Vincent	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale			X		X												X							No
80	Nancy Marthens, Planning Commission	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	Does not believe this project will improve transit	Believes that there is no need for the Manhattan Beach/ Inglewood station and that the Alameda Corridor is a better option	X		X				X								X						Traffic at at-grade intersections such as Inglewood Ave. will cut off emergency service access; Impacts need to be studied	No
81	Paul Manthe	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale			X		X				X								X						Inglewood Ave., Grant Ave. and Artesia Blvd. are already congested	No
82	Paul Snyder	5/1/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	Lawndale			X						X								X							No
83	Paulo Antunes	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports improving the bus system	X		X				X								X						Grade crossings are a major concern	No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
								Environmental Concerns																					
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts	Other	Project Support
84	Robert Pullen-Miles, Mayor Pro Tem Lawndale	5/1/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A			X			X																	At-grade crossings (such as Inglewood/Manhattan Beach) would cause traffic congestion; Wants grade separated crossings and sidewalks on Condon Ave. south of 162nd	N/A
85	Rosa Velazquez	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Interested in a subway option	X						X	X													Would like to maintain green areas; Inglewood Ave. is already very congested	N/A
86	Scott Finnegan	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale		Supports the No Build or TSM Alternative	X		X				X								X							No
87	Walter Soule,	5/1/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	Lawndale	Need alternative transit options to the automobile; Streets are congested																							Yes
88	Anneliese Anderle, Associate Oil & Gas Engineer, Department of Conservation	5/4/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A											X							X					Two plugged abandoned oil wells are within or near Study Area: Cal Resources "Peck" 1 and Allied Petroleum Corp "Lincoln" 1 on Divison maps 121, W1-6 and in Division Records; Plot these on future project maps and review info packet "Construction Project Site Review and Well Abandonment Procedure" for procedural guidelines	N/A
89	Bob Boardman, City of Redondo Beach	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting			X																				Interested in ease of crossing tracks on bicycle; The shared use path along the ROW should be studied	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																								
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																					Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
90	Brian Manor, Caltrans	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting			X		X																		Is interested to learn about where the maintenance facilities will be located along the corridor; Interested to receive information on how wide the ROW is and what the potential impacts will be on the I-405.	N/A
91	Chad Sweet, City of Inglewood	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting																							Concerned about time demands on the City of Ingelwood for EIS/EIR participation; Interested to know what the ridership estimates are for the existing stations; Asked about the relative number of stations for each Build Alternative	N/A
92	Kelly Sonenshein	5/4/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Inquired about community support	N/A
93	Margie Drilling, Federal Aviation Administration	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting																							Notifying presenters that comments will be submitted via email	N/A
94	Nancy Twum, Los Angeles Housing Department	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting																							Interested in alignment near Los Angeles so city can build affordable housing in proximity to the line	N/A
95	Richard Verches, Los Angeles County Workforce Investment Bond	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting																							Interested in number of jobs created with each alternative	N/A
96	Stephen Clark, Department of Housing and Urban Development	5/4/2010	Oral/agency meeting	Agency	Agency Meeting																							Wanted to know where to find presentation slides; Wants to talk with cities to indentify potential impacts to bring back to Metro	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
								Environmental Concerns																					
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts	Other	Project Support
97	Arnold Sachs	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo			X																				Suggested that there is a need for grade separation at Manhattan Beach/Inglewood station	N/A
98	Bradly Tollison	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																						Yes
99	Daniel Walker, co-founder Friends of Green Line, Los Angeles	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative but would like it extended to LAUS or Burbank							X														Make sure that there are not too many stations so that runtimes are longer	Yes
100	Danielle Siebenkaess	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Does not believe the train will be used	Supports the TSM Alternative	X			X			X								X							No
101	David Singleton, Program Analyst, Native American Heritage Commission	5/5/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A														X									Identified the nearest tribes and interested Native American individuals and recommended consultation with organizations that are familiar with the religious and cultural significance of the historic properties in the Study Area	N/A
102	Dee	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Does not believe the train will be used	Supports the TSM Alternative							X								X							No
103	Denny Schneider	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative	X						X														Believes that there is need for grade seperation; Interested to know if there will be adequare parking available for the stations.	Yes
104	Edgar Saenz, Candidate for State Assembly	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																					Supports efforts to accelerate project timeline	Yes
105	Frank Radcliffe	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Buses are empty so who will ride the train?	Supports the TSM Alternative	X		X				X						X		X						Grade separation required at Manahattan Beach/Inglewood	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
106	Gregory Sandoval	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative; Look at an underground option							X															Yes
107	James Washington	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project - we need alternatives to gasoline.	Believes CRT is the only viable option																						Yes
108	Jay Gould	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo		Supports the No Build Alternative; Line should be along Hawthorne Blvd.			X				X								X							No
109	John Jay Ulloth	5/5/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	El Segundo	There is a need for more transit service	The Light Rail Alernative will prevent future double-tracked full-build out of Metrolink-type service on Harbor Subdivision ROW; Use ROW to connect South Bay with San Fernando Valley																					Park and ride lots and grade separations are a waste of resources	Yes
110	John Jay Ulloth	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo		The Light Rail Alernative will prevent future double-tracked full-build out of Metrolink-type service on the Harbor Subdivision ROW; Use ROW to connect South Bay with the San Fernando Valley																					Would like to see CRT installed first	N/A
111	John Parsons, S. Bay Association of Champers of Commerce (SBACC)	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project - not enough connections	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative																						Yes
112	Jun Imai	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo									X															N/A
113	Kenny Stein	5/5/2010	Letter	Public	N/A			X			X		X																No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
114	Kevin Shibata	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo		Does not think the stations are properly located; There are few destinations around Hawthorne/190th				X																	Supports public transit but does not believe it should go through residential neighborhoods	N/A
115	Kyle Hsano	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alternative	X		X	X											X							Yes
116	Lily Craig, Chevron	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo		Opposes the Freight Track Alternative because it would inhibit Chevron operations																						N/A
117	Lisa Scranton	5/5/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	El Segundo		Synchronize traffic lights instead; Use buses; Put route on Hawthorne Blvd.																						No
118	Michelle Vincent	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo			X		X		X	X									X					X	Concerned about emergency vehicle access	No
119	Monroe Jones, Member of N.L.A.C.R.C.	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo																							Focus on mitigation; Supports 30/10 Intitiative	N/A
120	Mr. & Mrs. Gregory Jones	5/5/2010	Comment Sheet	Public	El Segundo		Utilize existing freight tracks						X	X															Yes
121	Paulo Antunes	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo		Supports the TSM Alternative	X		X				X								X						Suggested that there is a need for grade separation at Manhattan Beach/Inglewood station	No
122	Soumil Mehta	5/5/2010	Oral/public meeting	Public	El Segundo	Agrees there is a need for the project	Prefers the Light Rail Alernative but suggests the study of a trench or an elevated guideway	X						X														Should have bike lanes adjacent to corridor	Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
123	Delaine W. Shane, Manager, Environmental Planning Team, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	5/6/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A											X							X					A 45' pipe runs along Manhattan Beach Blvd. and a 60' pipe runs along El Segundo Blvd. in the Study Area; MWD must be able to access their infrastructure at all times and consruction must not interfere with MWD's day-to-day operations; Designs that impact such infrastructure must be approved by MWD	N/A
124	Rosa Munoz, Utilities Engineer, Public Utilities Commission	5/7/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A																	X						Comply with CPUC rules; The Light Rail Alternative passes through high-density commercial, residential, and industrial areas and will likely result in trespassing issues and pedestrian conflicts; Mitigate by elevating or lowering the tracks and fencing any remaining at-grade portions of the rail alignment	N/A
125	Warren Webb	5/8/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Continue line to Long Beach now																						N/A
126	Ana Magdesian	5/10/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Suggests moving tracks to Hawthorne Blvd.	X						X								X							No
127	Cindy Ledermann	5/10/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X		X				X								X							No
128	Doug Eakins	5/10/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X		X				X								X							No
129	Jon Umeda	5/10/2010	Email	Public	N/A																							General question regarding the selection of the corridor in the AA Study	N/A
130	Kenny Stein	5/10/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Believes there is no need for this project		X		X			X															At-grade crossing will cause congestion	No
131	Margie Alexander	5/11/2010	Letter	Public	N/A			X		X			X	X								X							No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
								Environmental Concerns																					
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts	Other	Project Support
132	Matt and Lori Smalling	5/11/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Prefers TSM Alternative; Consider alignments through industrial areas	X		X				X								X					Same letter sent again on 5/16	No
133	Bryant	5/12/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Hawthorne/190th is a great Freight Track Alternative station																						N/A
134	Jeffrey Williams	5/12/2010	Email	Public	N/A	There is a need to provide an alternative to the I-405	Continue line to Long Beach																						N/A
135	Scott Houston	5/12/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Need more public transit options																							Yes
136	Adrianna Raza, Customer Service Specialist, County Sanitation Districts	5/13/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A											X											Approval to construct improvements within the Districts' sewer easement and/or over or near the Districts' sewer is required before construction may begin	N/A
137	Andrei Lobato	5/13/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																						Lawsuits will be filed if approved	No
138	Cecil Carpio	5/13/2010	Email	Public	N/A																						Wants a copy of the Draft EIS/EIR mailed to her	N/A
139	Joe Brown	5/14/2010	Email	Public	N/A																						Wants to know when project will be completed	N/A
140	Danny Patel	5/15/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Is it too late to consider Hawthorne Blvd.?																					N/A
141	Phillip Fote	5/15/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A	Torrance is underserved by regional transit																						Yes
142	Thomas Wei	5/15/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Provide Southbay residents great benefits to access LAX, downtown LA, and Crenshaw/ Wilshire Corridor	Supports the Light Rail Alternative																					Yes
143	Andrew	5/16/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							N/A
144	Danny Patel	5/17/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A		Is Hawthorne Blvd. alignment still an option?																					N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
145	Doug Eakins	5/17/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Interested in scheduling a presentation for HOA	N/A
146	Judy Gerard	5/17/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Requested meeting information	N/A
147	Bob Boardman, City of Redondo Beach	5/18/2010	Email	Agency	N/A			X																				Agreed with proposed count locations; Added 5 intersections to be studied: Inglewood at Ralson, Ripley and Robinson; Artesia at Kingsdale, and Manhattan Beach Blvd at Dow Ave	N/A
148	Diane Perrine	5/18/2010	Email	Public	N/A	I-405 is terribly congested	The Light Rail Alternative is the first choice and the Freight Track Alternative is the second choice; While waiting to be built, wants a continuous bus transit line along I-405																						Yes
149	Mark D. Cohen, Deputy Chief, Regulatory Division, US Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District	5/20/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A											X	X											Project may entail discharge of dredge or fill material into US waters which would require a permit; Take necessary steps to avoid and then minimize adverse impacts to US waters	N/A
150	Amy Artino	5/21/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Beach Reporter is writing an article	N/A
151	LS Garcia	5/21/2010	Email	Public	N/A																							Requested details on project	N/A
152	Nancy Marthens, Planning Commission	5/21/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Examine the Alameda Corridor as an option	X		X				X															No
153	Yumiko Omatsu	5/21/2010	Email	Public	N/A									X															No
154	Cliff Numark, City of Torrance Councilman	5/22/2010	Email	Public	N/A																								Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
								Environmental Concerns																					
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts	Other	Project Support
155	Donna Witte	5/22/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Project is a waste of time and money; Buses are not currently heavily used								X															No
156	Janice Tanabe	5/22/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Consider other alternatives				X																		No
157	Scott Finnegan	5/22/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X		X			X	X								X							No
158	Steven Diels, Councilmember District 4 Redondo Beach	5/22/2010	Email (& Letter)	Public	N/A			X						X								X						Choose design features that lend themselves to cleanliness; Concerned about freight operations at night and at-grade crossings at major intersections; Parking provided must be adequate so there is not spillover into adjacent residential neighborhoods	N/A
159	Richard Chapin	5/23/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like to be added to mailing list	Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																								
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																					Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
160	Jacki Bacharach, South Bay Cities Council of Governments	5/24/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A		Study light rail technology for Freight Track Alternative alignment; technology should be compatible with the existing Green Line; Night time freight operations should be avoided	X		X				X								X						Grade crossings such as at Manhattan Beach would cause serious safety and traffic concerns; Parking should be sufficient so there is little spillover to residential neighborhoods; Money should be allocated for maintenance of system, cleaning and graffiti removal; Plan with Crenshaw line in mind and minimize passenger transfers; Factor proposal by the County of Los Angeles to widen Aviation Blvd from Imperial Highway to Hawaii St. in a cost/benefit analysis	N/A
161	Richard Chapin	5/24/2010	Email	Public	N/A																								Requested details on project	N/A
162	Sandra Rome	5/24/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Supports public transit but does not want it in her backyard		X		X	X			X								X							Concerned about freight operations at night	No
163	Jennifer Dodge	5/25/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X		X	X			X								X							Area is no longer commercial and residents bought homes thinking that train traffic would decrease with the completion of the Alameda Corridor	No
164	Pualo Antunes	5/25/2010	Email	Public	N/A																								Actively involve and send updates to community on project	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
165	Susan Sturges, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX	5/25/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A	P&N should remove solutions from purpose statement and any references to the project name	Provide summary of screening methodology that was used to determine the range of alternatives included in the Draft EIS/EIR and a description of alternatives that were considered but withdrawn and why						X				X								X			Interested in integration with existing facilities and green design and construction	N/A
166	Jennifer (Assembly member Ted Lieu's Office)	5/26/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like to know deadline for submitting scoping comments	N/A
167	William J D'Camp	5/26/2010	Email	Public	N/A	Bus ridership does not justify project	Only supports the No Build Alternative				X																		No
168	Bruce Szeles	5/27/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Would prefer if the alignment ran along Hawthorne Blvd.																						No
169	Bruze Czelis	5/27/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like to speak to Randy Lamm	N/A
170	Camila Boscato	5/27/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A		Supports the TSM Alternative	X		X				X								X							No
171	Camila Boscato	5/27/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X		X				X								X							No
172	Charles Rausen, Senior City Planner Coastal/Harbor Division, City of Los Angeles, Department of Planning	5/27/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A		Consider a shuttle service from the Green Line/Crenshaw/ LAX Corridor Manchester Station to serve destinations in Westchester; Extend to Wilmington and San Pedro communities																						N/A
173	Doug Eakins, Ruxton Ridge HOA President	5/27/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Extend line through commercial areas - not residential ones			X	X	X		X															No
174	Elson Trinidad	5/27/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																							Transit increases property values	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
175	Greg Carpenter, City of El Segundo	5/27/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A																							Coordination should occur through the Planning and Building Safety Department	N/A
176	Harold Hoffman, Mayor of Lawndale	5/27/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A		TSM Alternative seems more cost effective; Traffic impacts at Manhattan Beach/ Inglewood should be enough to eliminate the Freight Track Alternative; the Light Rail Alternative should be elevated with no at-grade crossings with a sound barrier; Need to study additional alternatives including an underground option	X		X				X								X						Concerns about at-grade crossings and closures which would cut off access; Incorporate transfer station in a larger TOD	No
177	James F. Hart, Manager of External Affairs, Northrop Grumman	5/27/2010	Letter	Public	N/A		The Light Rail Alternative is preferred as it would have minimal impact on the Northrop Grumman Redondo Beach site operations during construction; The Freight Track Alternative would have impacts to El Segundo site operations	X														X							N/A
178	John Barsky	5/27/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Look at alternative routes that have fewer impacts on residencies			X				X															No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
179	Kimberly Christensen, City of El Segundo	5/27/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A		Supports the Light Rail Alternative over the Freight Track Alternative because the Light Rail Alternative would better serve El Segundo employment centers and the Freight Track Alternative would require transfers; Extension should also go to LAX	X		X												X	X					Study should include information about pedestrian amenities, park-and-rides and maintenance facilities	N/A
180	Ted Lieu, Assembly member, 53rd District, State Capitol	5/27/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A	Project has potential to improve regional mobility by providing an alternative for commuters that use the I-405 Corridor, while reducing air pollution and green house gas emissions																						Agrees with comments submitted by SCCOG	Yes
181	Yumiko Omatsu	5/27/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Run the line underground in the residential neighborhoods																						N/A
182	Adelaide Wallace	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A																								Yes
183	Andrei Lobato	5/28/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A			X		X				X								X						Decreased access for emergency personnel	No
184	Bob Cutler	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Upgrade bus system if improvements are necessary	X						X															No
185	Christine Essel, Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles	5/28/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A				X																			Plan project to optimize potentials for future extensions that could connect to the harbor communities; Interested in future planning studies	N/A

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
186	Daniel and Mary Ann Wong	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Run the line through an industrial area			X				X															No
187	Daniel Walker, co-founder of Friends of Green Line	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Study further extension and potential rail stations at Sepulveda/ Western and Vermont/110																						Yes
188	Delia and Jorge Castro	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A					X		X		X															No
189	Donald H.Camph, Executive Director, El Segundo Employers Association	5/28/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A		Prefers light rail technology and the Freight Track Alternative alignment	X																				Freight Track alignment should be grade separated; Possible ROW conflicts with the widening of Aviation from Imperial Hwy to Hawaii St.	N/A
190	Greg Facktor	5/28/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																								Yes
191	Greg Laushine	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A			X														X							Yes
192	Hal Rasmussen	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Extend to LAX instead	X		X				X														Manhattan Beach/Inglewood is congested already	No
193	Jason Brown	5/28/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																								N/A
194	Joel Covarrubias	5/28/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A																							Transit is an asset	Yes
195	Justin Schnuelle	5/28/2010	Facebook	Public	N/A	Area is underserved by transit																							Yes
196	Kenneth Alpern	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Plan and construct the segment to Redondo Beach RTC first and then consider extensions to the Blue Line or down Hawthorne Blvd. or a Metrolink-type option																					Make an expeditious effort to plan/construct the extension while the Crenshaw line is underway	Yes

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
197	Leilani Simmons	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A	No one uses the bus system; Why are we not looking into transportation options between Santa Monica and the South Bay?	Supports the TSM Alternative						X	X								X							No
198	M.J. Kutkus	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Wants the Hawthorne/190th Station instead of Redondo Beach RTC	X		X	X																		N/A
199	Marie Piombino	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Look at an alternative route through a commercial zone			X				X															No
200	Michelle Nault	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Examine other alternatives	X		X		X		X								X						Congestion is already a problem on Artesia Blvd., 190th St. and Inglewood Ave.	No
201	Nick Wolf	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Should connect to LAX terminals																					Terminus at the Galleria or Torrance RTC has more use than Marine Ave. Tomboys	Yes
202	Residents of Breakwater Village, (120 signatures)	5/28/2010	Letter	Public	N/A		Supports the TSM Alternative	X		X			X	X								X							No
203	Sarah Straton	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A																							Asked questions about the project's progress, allocated funding, construction timeframes, etc.	N/A
204	Sarah Stratten	5/28/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like information on start date and plans for extension of line.	N/A
205	Sarah Stratten	5/28/2010	Phone Call	Public	N/A																							Would like information on start date and plans for extension of line.	N/A
206	Susan Soh	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Investigate other options							X															No
207	Vic Mann	5/28/2010	Email	Public	N/A		Find another way to provide service							X															No

ID	Name/ Info.	Date	Method of Sub-mission	Type of Com-menter	Meeting Location	Comments																							
						Purpose & Need	Alternatives	Environmental Concerns																				Other	Project Support
								Transportation	Land Use & Development	Real Estate & Acquisitions	Communities & Neighborhoods	Visual & Aesthetics	Air Quality	Noise & Vibration	Ecosystems & Biological Resources	Geotechnical/ Subsurface/ Seismic/	Water Resources	Energy	Historical/ Archeological/ Paleontological	Parklands & Community Facilities	Economic & Fiscal Impacts	Safety & Security	Construction Impacts	Growth Inducing Impacts	Environmental Justice	Climate Change	Cumulative Impacts		
208	Melissa Jones, Executive Director, California Energy Commission	6/1/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A																							The Energy Commission's Energy Aware Planning Guide is available as a tool to assist in land use planning and other future projects	N/A
209	Mark Stuart, Chief, Southern Region Watermaster, California Department of Water Resources, Southern Region Office	6/3/2010	Letter	Agency	N/A																							The project team does not have the right to extract groundwater from the Basin; The project team cannot drill wells to pump water in order to de-water a portion of the project unless they obtain the rights to do so	N/A
								63	2	43	22	4	10	68	2	4	2	0	2	1	0	55	4	0	1	0	1		