

Appendix D2. Agency Scoping Meeting Transcript

0001

1 LOS ANGELES COUNTY
2 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
3 RANDY LAMM, PROJECT MANAGER
4
5
6

7 In the Matter of the)
South Bay Metro Green Line)
8 Extension Project)
_____)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16 Los Angeles, California
17 Tuesday, May 4, 2010
18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16 One Gateway Plaza, Gateway Plaza Conference Room,
17 3rd Floor, Los Angeles, California, commencing at
18 10:26 a.m., on Tuesday, May 4, 2010, reported by
19 KIMBERLY ANTON, CSR No. 12881, a Certified
20 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of

21 California.

22
23
24
25

0003

1 APPEARANCES:

- 2 Lena Kent, BNSF
- 3 Jill Crump, Torrance
- 4 Ted Semaan, Torrance
- 5 John Kulluk, Torrance
- 6 David Dumais, Torrance
- 7 Margie Drilling, FAA
- 8 Brian Manor, CalTrans
- 9 Lisa Chen, County of Los Angeles Public Works
- 10 Lynette Overcamp, EPIC
- 11 Allen Wang, City of Los Angeles, BOE
- 12 Don Camph, SBCCOG
- 13 Howard Huie, CPUC
- 14 Robert Burlingham, LAWA
- 15 Robert Boardman, City of Redondo Beach
- 16 Tod Short, City of Inglewood
- 17 Michael Thomas, LAFD/MTA
- 18 Nancy Twum, Los Angeles Housing Department
- 19 Stephen Clark, HUD
- 20 Matt Gleason, SCAG
- 21 Claudia Lam, CPUC
- 22 Betty Dong, City of Los Angeles, Sanitation
- 23 Richard Verches, L.A. County Workforce Investment Board
- 24 Chad Sweet, City of Inglewood
- 25 Dawn Kukla, CalTrans

0004

1 I N D E X

| 2 | | |
|----|-----------------|------|
| 3 | SPEAKERS: | PAGE |
| 4 | Bob Boardman | 5 |
| 5 | | 8 |
| 6 | Chad Sweet | 5 |
| 7 | Brian Manor | 6 |
| 8 | | 7 |
| 9 | Stephen Clark | 6 |
| 10 | Margie Drilling | 7 |
| 11 | Nancy Twum | 7 |
| 12 | Richard Verches | 8 |

13
14
15

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0005

1 Los Angeles, California, Tuesday, May 4, 2010
2 10:26 a.m.

3
4

5 MR. LAMM: With that, I'm going to turn it over to
6 Arcelia -- or you to ask some questions, and we'll have --
7 we'll have microphones going around. So we'll just start.

8 Do we have any -- anyone who would like to say
9 something now?

10 MR. BOARDMAN: Good morning. Bob Boardman, City of
11 Redondo Beach. We just want to make sure that consideration
12 is given for a parallel, shared-use path along the rail
13 right-of-way to incorporate bicycle usage.

14 MR. LAMM: Anyone else? Open forum, so just raise
15 your hand, and we'll pass --

16 MR. SWEET: Hi, my name is Chad Sweet with the City of
17 Inglewood, and the first question is, the -- the program is,
18 cities that are interested in participating; is that right?
19 What level of involvement would you need? I'm from the City
20 of Inglewood, and what level of involvement if we were
21 interested in participating in this EIR?

22 MR. LAMM: Well --

23 MR. SWEET: I guess, what are the time commitments
24 and --

25 MR. LAMM: We will be having a series of TAC meetings,
0006

1 and you're welcome to attend and give us any feedback you
2 feel --

3 MR. SWEET: But there's not a certain level?

4 MR. LAMM: No.

5 MR. MANOR: Brian Manor, CalTrans, just wanted to find
6 out if you had an opportunity to study some of the
7 maintenance facilities along this corridor, if you had
8 identified where those locations would be, that would also
9 be kind of useful. Have you studied any of this information
10 yet at the locations?

11 MR. LAMM: Really, the purpose of this is to just
12 collect comments now. We're at the beginning of our
13 process. We will be looking at that, but we don't have any
14 results yet, but that's something we will look at.

15 MR. MANOR: And I also would like to make a comment
16 about the dimensions of the corridor, how much right-of-way
17 space is going to be utilized, especially when it comes

18 close to the 405, because we have projects that are designed
19 to widen the freeway and just to call those out would be
20 helpful.

21 MR. CLARK: Hi, Stephen Clark from the Department of
22 Housing and Urban Development. By any chance that I can
23 (sic) get two of those slides? One was the -- the
24 evaluation criteria slide and the founding slide, it was
25 running so fast.

0007

1 And as you probably know, HUD gives lots of funding
2 to some of the impacted cities, and before we are even able
3 to provide any type of a response back to you, and we'd like
4 to be able to go back and talk to some of the project
5 managers that deal with those respective cities and try to
6 find some types of impacts that we feel need to be submitted
7 back to you.

8 MR. LAMM: We have our presentation on our Website.

9 MR. CLARK: Okay. Fine. Thank you.

10 MS. DRILLING: Margie Drilling with the Federal Aviation
11 Administration, Airports Division. Just officially for the
12 record, we will be responding to your letter in writing, but
13 we are going to be a cooperating agency in this
14 environmental effort.

15 The person who will be our point of contact was the
16 letter that you did send from your Executive Director, his
17 name is Victor Globa, and he'll be providing that response
18 in writing as indicated. Thank you.

19 MS. TWUM: Hello, Nancy Twum with the Los Angeles
20 Housing Department. Well, our interest is specifically City
21 of L.A., so we would, of course, be interested in an
22 alternative that includes the City of L.A. This would allow
23 for us to preserve and develop affordable housing near
24 whichever line extension is chosen.

25 MR. SWEET: I also just want to ask, how will the

0008

1 ridership be affected at existing locations with the
2 proposed alternatives? If that's being looked into or
3 has --

4 MR. LAMM: That will be part of our study.

5 MR. SWEET: Okay. And are there less stations on the
6 freight line in comparison to the light rail alternative,
7 freight track alternative?

8 MR. LAMM: We're looking at four stations.

9 MR. SWEET: Four for both. Okay. Just different ones.

10 MR. MANOR: Will all four stations have parking?

11 MR. LAMM: We don't know yet.

12 MR. VERCHES: Richard Verches with the Los Angeles
13 County Workforce Investment Board. Regarding the benefits
14 and impacts, have you assessed the number of new jobs that
15 would be created with each of the alternatives?

16 MR. LAMM: We'll take that as a comment.

17 Well, if no one has any more questions, we'll be
18 here until noon, and we can answer questions at the --

19 MR. BOARDMAN: Just go for one more. Again,

20 Bob Boardman, City of Redondo Beach. Just want to ensure
21 that pedestrian and bicycling alternatives are considered to
22 get our residents that would be located on the Westside of
23 the station, and -- yes, in the areas that are to the west
24 of the station over to the station across the track.

25 MR. LAMM: Okay. Well, if there are no other questions,
0009

1 we'll be here until noon, and we'll be able to gather around
2 the poster boards and have a discussion or answer questions
3 you may have. If you think of anything else, please submit
4 them by one of those methods.

5 We do have this presentation on the Website, so you
6 can refer back if it jogs something later, and please sign
7 the sign-in sheet, also, to make sure that we've documented
8 who showed up.

9 (Hearing concluded at 10:55 a.m.)

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24