Section 4.4.3 removed to protect confidential locations of archaeological resources.
5.0 IMPACTS

The majority of the direct APE (see Figures E-1 through E-9, Appendix E) should be considered highly sensitive for the presence of historical resources, including both prehistoric and historic archaeological sites. Five previously recorded archaeological sites, all of historic age, are located within the Direct APE.

Sanborn maps indicate that most parcels within the direct APE were occupied by commercial or residential buildings by 1888. Additional historic maps indicate that the routes of seven zanjas (Zanja Madre and Zanjas 8-R, 8, 5, 4, 3, and 6-1) cross parts of the direct APE. Archaeological studies that have been undertaken in the project vicinity support the map data: subsurface archaeological deposits, including zanja segments, are commonly encountered during construction projects in downtown Los Angeles.

For the proposed project’s cumulative impact analysis, the potentially affected cultural resources under consideration include those located within the indirect APE, which is generally bounded by US 101 to the north, Center Street to the east, 3rd Street to the south, and Figueroa Street to the west. The indirect APE, as well as adjacent areas in and around downtown Los Angeles, includes some of the oldest sections of the City of Los Angeles. In these areas there are numerous examples of historic properties and historical resources (including archaeological resources) that are significant on local, state, and/or national levels.

In support of this analysis, lists have been compiled of major projects that are anticipated to be completed within the general project area prior to the start of construction (2009 to 2014) and during the projected construction period (2014 to 2018). These include 20 major renovation projects, 66 new construction projects, and 14 large transportation projects. No major utility projects were identified.

Direct cumulative impacts to archaeological resources generally occur when the destruction or substantial modification of resources or their contexts result in the degradation of resource significance. Indirect cumulative impacts generally occur when the context of a resource is destroyed or modified. Projects that do not include substantial ground disturbance are unlikely to cause direct cumulative effects to archaeological resources. The primary cumulative impact concern in this evaluation is the systematic demolition or alteration of archaeological resources.

5.1 No Build Alternative

5.1.1 Construction Impacts

The No Build Alternative, in which the project site would remain in its existing condition, would not result in construction impacts to archaeological resources because no ground disturbance would occur.
5.1.2 Operational Impacts
The No Build Alternative would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.1.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts
The No Build Alternative would not result in impacts to archaeological resources. Therefore, the No Build Alternative would not contribute to a cumulative impact on these resources.

5.2 Transportation System Management (TSM) Alternative
5.2.1 Construction Impacts
The TSM Alternative has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE during ground disturbance related to construction of bus stops and shelters. These facilities will be constructed every two to three blocks, but their precise locations have not yet been established.

The TSM Alternative crosses the Los Angeles zanja system, including the Zanja Madre (CA-LAN-887H) and the approximate alignments of Zanjas 3, 4, 5, 8, and 8-R. These alignments have not been confirmed archaeologically within the direct APE, but zanja segments have been observed in the project vicinity as shallow as 0.45 m (1.5 feet) below current grade (Zanja 6-1; P-19-003352). The potential to affect previously unrecorded archaeological resources elsewhere in the direct APE is high. Such damage to archaeological resources would represent a significant effect that could be mitigated. Implementation of Mitigation Measures (MM) A-1 and MM-A-2 would reduce this potential effect to less-than-significant level.

5.2.2 Operational Impacts
The TSM Alternative would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.2.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts
Construction of the TSM Alternative, as stated above, has the potential to directly affect archaeological resources within the APE, including previously unidentified archaeological resources and the Los Angeles zanja system. Implementation of MM-A-1 (see Section 6.1) would reduce direct impacts to previously unidentified archaeological resources to less-than-significant level. Therefore, the TSM Alternative would not contribute to a cumulative impact on these resources.

The zanja system is a large, linear resource that winds throughout downtown Los Angeles and may be found immediately below current street grade. It has been affected by at least five previous construction projects and is likely to be affected by numerous future projects.
The system as a whole has not been documented by historians, archaeologists, or architectural historians in the modern era because it has been obscured by pavement and buildings for more than a century. Consequently, the zanja system is particularly vulnerable to cumulative impacts that would not be adequately addressed with standard archaeological mitigation measures. By providing documentation and interpretation of the zanja system on a system-wide scale, implementing MM-A-2 (see Section 6.1) would reduce both direct and cumulative impacts to this resource to less-than-significant level.

5.3 At-grade Emphasis Light Rail Transit (LRT) Alternative

5.3.1 Construction Impacts
The At-Grade Emphasis LRT Alternative has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE. Site RC-1, a historic brick alignment (see Section 4.4.2), may be affected during ground disturbance from construction of a proposed pedestrian bridge at the intersection of Temple and Alameda Streets.

Site RC-1 appears to be not eligible for National Register or California Register listing. However, previously unrecorded parts of the site that retain substantial integrity may be present. This alternative also has the potential to affect previously unrecorded archaeological resources during ground disturbance from constructing new underground tunnel segments on Flower Street between 7th Street and Hope Street; new stations proposed at Main/1st Streets, Los Angeles/1st Streets, 2nd/Hope Streets, and Flower/6th/5th Streets; and an automobile underpass and pedestrian overpass on Alameda Street at Temple Street. Such damage to archaeological resources would represent a significant effect that could be mitigated. Implementing MM-A-1 (see Section 6.1) would reduce this effect to a less-than-significant level.

5.3.2 Operational Impacts
The At-Grade Emphasis LRT Alternative would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.3.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts
Construction of the At-Grade Emphasis LRT Alternative has the potential to directly affect archaeological resources within the APE, including previously unidentified archaeological resources and previously undiscovered portions of site RC-1. However, implementing MM-A-1 would reduce this effect/impact to a less-than-significant level. Therefore, this alternative would not contribute to a cumulative impact on these resources.
5.4 Underground Emphasis LRT Alternative

5.4.1 Construction Impacts

The Underground Emphasis LRT Alternative involves substantial ground disturbance, and therefore has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE. It has the potential to affect archaeological resources during ground disturbance from constructing a new underground tunnel along its entire route; underground stations on 2nd Street (either at Broadway or at Los Angeles Street), 2nd/Hope Street, and Flower/5th/4th Streets; an automobile underpass on Alameda Street between 2nd and Temple Streets; and a potential pedestrian bridge at the intersection of Alameda and 1st Streets.

Potentially affected resources include site CA-LAN-3588 and the Los Angeles zanja system (specifically Zanjas 3, 4, 5, and 8; see Figure 4-1). Although the precise location and local integrity of the zanjjas have not been established, the project’s 2nd Street alignment likely crosses the system multiple times.

Archaeological remains associated with these sites may extend into the project area and be subject to direct alteration. This would result in a significant effect that could be mitigated. Construction of new stations would almost certainly affect any extant archaeological resources within their footprints. Construction of new tunnel segments through deep tunneling, as opposed to cut-and-cover techniques, could avoid effects to shallow archaeological resources, although the maximum depth of these resources and minimum depth of construction would both need to be established prior to reaching this conclusion. Implementing MM-A-1 and MM-A-2 (see Section 6.1) would reduce this effect to a less-than-significant level.

5.4.2 Operational Impacts

The Underground Emphasis LRT Alternative would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.4.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts

Construction of the Underground Emphasis LRT Alternative has the potential to directly affect archaeological resources within the APE, including previously unidentified archaeological resources, the Los Angeles zanja system, and site CA-LAN-3588. Implementing MM-A-1 would reduce potential direct impacts to previously unidentified archaeological resources to a less-than-significant level. Therefore, the Underground Emphasis LRT Alternative would not contribute to a cumulative impact on unidentified archaeological resources. Implementing MM-A-2 would reduce both direct and cumulative potential impacts to the Los Angeles zanja system to a less-than-significant level.
5.5 Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 1

5.5.1 Construction Impacts

The Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 1 involves substantial ground disturbance, and therefore has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE. It has the potential to affect archaeological resources during ground disturbance from constructing a new underground tunnel along its entire route; underground stations at 2nd Street/Broadway, 2nd/Hope Street, Flower/5th/4th Streets, and 2nd/Central Avenue; and portals at 1st/Hewitt Streets and Alameda/Temple Streets.

Potentially affected resources include sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339 and the Los Angeles zanja system (specifically Zanjas 3, 4, 5, and 8; see Figure 4-1). Although the precise location and local integrity of the zanjas have also not been established, the project’s 2nd Street alignment likely crosses the system multiple times. Archaeological remains associated with these sites may extend into the project area and be subject to direct alteration. This would result in a significant effect that could be mitigated.

Construction of new stations would almost certainly affect any extant archaeological resources within their footprints. Construction of new tunnel segments through deep tunneling, as opposed to cut-and-cover techniques, could avoid effects on shallow archaeological resources, although the maximum depth of these resources and minimum depth of construction would both need to be established prior to reaching this conclusion. Implementing MM-A-1 and MM-A-2 (see Section 6.1) would reduce this effect to a less-than-significant level.

5.5.2 Operational Impacts

The Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 1 would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.5.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts

Construction of the Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 1 has the potential to directly affect archaeological resources within the APE, including previously unidentified archaeological resources, the Los Angeles zanja system, and sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339. Implementing MM-A-1 would reduce potential direct impacts to previously unidentified archaeological resources to a less-than-significant level. Therefore, the Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 1 would not contribute to a cumulative impact on unidentified archaeological resources. Implementing MM-A-2 would reduce both direct and cumulative potential impacts to the Los Angeles zanja system to a less-than-significant level.
5.6 Fully Underground LRT Alternative - Little Tokyo Variation 2

5.6.1 Construction Impacts

The Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 2 involves substantial ground disturbance, and therefore has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE. It has the potential to affect archaeological resources during ground disturbance from constructing a new underground tunnel along its entire route; underground stations at 2nd Street/Broadway, 2nd/Hope Street, Flower/5th/4th Streets, and 2nd/Central Avenue; and portals at 1st/Hewitt Streets, 1st/Garey Streets, and Alameda/Temple Streets.

Potentially affected resources include sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339 and the Los Angeles zanja system (specifically Zanjias 3, 4, 5, 8, and 6-1; see Figure 4-1). Although the precise location and local integrity of the zanjias have not been established, the project’s 2nd Street alignment likely crosses the system multiple times.

Archaeological remains associated with these sites may extend into the project area and be subject to direct alteration. This would result in a significant effect that could be mitigated. Construction of new stations would almost certainly affect any extant archaeological resources within their footprints.

Construction of new tunnel segments through deep tunneling, as opposed to cut-and-cover techniques, could avoid effects on shallow archaeological resources, although the maximum depth of these resources and minimum depth of construction would both need to be established prior to reaching this conclusion. Implementing MM-A-1 and MM-A-2 (see Section 6.1) would reduce this effect to a less-than-significant level.

5.6.2 Operational Impacts

The Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 2 would not result in operational impacts to archaeological resources.

5.6.3 Cumulative and Indirect Impacts

Construction of the Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 2 has the potential to directly affect archaeological resources within the APE, including previously unidentified archaeological resources, the Los Angeles zanja system, and sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339. Implementing MM-A-1 would reduce potential direct impacts to previously unidentified archaeological resources to a less-than-significant level. Therefore, the Fully Underground LRT Alternative-Little Tokyo Variation 2 would not contribute to a cumulative impact on unidentified archaeological resources. Implementing MM-A-2 would reduce both direct and cumulative potential impacts to the Los Angeles zanja system to a less-than-significant level.
6.0 POTENTIAL MITIGATION MEASURES

Direct impacts to archaeological resources are typically mitigated on a project-specific basis by construction monitoring, testing, and data recovery. This approach to mitigation is adequate for small archaeological sites, including many prehistoric sites and the historic sites that are commonly encountered within urban settings, such as building foundations, privies, and artifact deposits. However, it may not be adequate for large, linear resources such as water conveyance systems because most projects in established urban areas encounter and mitigate impacts only on small segments of these resources.

Even the most comprehensive investigation of a small segment of a large, linear resource may fail to provide adequate documentation and contextual information for the system as a whole. These linear resources are repeatedly affected by construction projects because of their large spatial extent, resulting in the loss of contributing segments and, ultimately, system integrity.

The successive destruction of multiple linear resource segments without adequate documentation of the broader system constitutes a significant and adverse impact to the system that could be cumulatively considerable. The Los Angeles zanja system is a large, linear resource that is vulnerable to such cumulative impacts because it is almost entirely buried beneath the City’s streets. These potential impacts and corresponding mitigation measures are discussed below.

6.1 Construction Impact Mitigation Measures

Portions of Section 6.1 removed to protect confidential locations of archeological resources.
Mitigation Measure 1 (MM-A-1): Treatment of Undiscovered Archaeological Resources

A detailed Cultural Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CRMMP) would be prepared prior to implementing this project, similar in scope to the CRMMP that was prepared for Metro’s Eastside Gold Line Transit Corridor (Glenn and Gust 2004). Implementing a CRMMP during ground disturbance in highly sensitive archaeological areas would ensure that cultural resources are identified and adequately protected.

If cultural resources are discovered or if previously identified resources are affected in an unexpected manner, the CRMMP would ensure that such resources receive mitigation to reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level. This plan would include, but not be limited to, the following elements:

- Worker training;
- Archaeological monitoring;
- The scientific evaluation and mitigation of archaeological discoveries;
- Native American participation, as needed;
- Appropriate treatment of human remains, if applicable; or
- Reporting of monitoring and mitigation results.

Worker Training

Prior to initiating ground-disturbing activities, a qualified archaeologist would conduct a short awareness training session for all construction workers and supervisory personnel. The session would explain the importance of and legal basis for protecting significant archaeological resources.

Each worker would also learn the proper procedures to follow in the event cultural resources or human remains are uncovered during ground-disturbing activities. These procedures include work curtailment or redirection and the immediate contact of their supervisor and the archaeological monitor.

This worker education session could include visual representations of artifacts (prehistoric and historic) that might be found in the project vicinity, and it could take place on-site immediately prior to the start of ground disturbance. Supervisory personnel may benefit from longer training sessions, while a brief training would suffice for non-supervisory workers.
A brief (approximate 30- to 45-minute) training session may be conducted on-site by video, PowerPoint presentation, or similar media.

**Archaeological Monitoring, Evaluation, and Mitigation**

Due to poor surface visibility and high archaeological sensitivity of the direct APE an archaeological monitor would be present during ground-disturbing activities in archaeologically sensitive areas. This would reduce the potential level of impact to buried archaeological resources to a less-than-significant level. This work would be completed under the direction of an archaeologist (Principal Investigator) who meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for archaeologists. An adequate number of monitors would be present to ensure that all earth-moving activities are observed and would be on-site during all grading activities for areas to be monitored.

During the original excavation of previously undisturbed soils, the archaeological monitor(s) would be on-site at a frequency determined by the Principal Investigator. Inspection frequency may vary based on the rate of excavation, the materials excavated, and the presence and abundance of artifacts and features. Full-time monitoring is warranted within one-half block of potentially significant archaeological resources that are known or suspected to be present within the direct APE.

If potentially significant archaeological resources are exposed during ground-disturbing activities, the project manager would be notified immediately. Archaeological monitor(s) would have the authority to divert or temporarily halt ground-disturbing operations in the area of discovery to allow the resources to be evaluated. Construction activities may continue in other areas.

Evaluation of such resources is typically accomplished by a test-level excavation designed to determine the horizontal and vertical extent of the resource, and to characterize its contents. If the discovery proves to be potentially eligible for listing on the National Register or California Register, and project plans cannot be altered to avoid affecting the site, then an adverse effect would result. This adverse effect may be resolved by implementing a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between Metro and the State Historic Preservation Officer.

Before construction activities are allowed to resume in an affected area, artifacts would be recovered and features recorded using professional archaeological methods. The Principal Investigator operating under the direction of the MOA would determine the amount of material to be recovered for an adequate artifact sample for analysis.

All cultural material collected during the construction monitoring program would be processed using professional archaeological methods. An appropriate sample of recovered materials, selected by the Principal Investigator, would be curated at a curation facility that
meets federal standards per 36 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Part 79 and made available to other archaeologists and researchers for further study.

**Native American Participation**

If Native American cultural resources (i.e., prehistoric or ethnohistoric-period artifacts, food remains, or features associated with Native Americans) are exposed during project-related ground disturbance, Metro would contact the Gabrielino/Tongva San Gabriel Band of Mission Indians and the Tongva Ancestral Territorial Tribal Nation. Both groups have expressed interest in the project. One or both of these groups would be asked to provide the services of a trained Native American consultant to monitor ground-disturbing work in the area containing the Native American cultural resources. This monitoring would occur on an as-needed basis, and would be intended to ensure that Native American concerns are taken into account during the construction process.

**Human Remains**

The discovery of human remains is always a possibility during ground disturbance. An unmarked early Spanish period Native American cemetery was recently discovered less than 0.15 mile from the direct APE (Applied Earthworks 1999). Other historic period remains are known less than 0.3 mile from the direct APE as well.

The State of California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 addresses these findings. This code section states that when human remains are encountered, no further disturbance would occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98. The County Coroner would be notified of the find immediately.

If the human remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner would notify the Native American Heritage Commission, which would determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). The MLD shall complete inspection of the site within 48 hours of notification and may recommend scientific removal and nondestructive analysis of human remains and items associated with Native American burials. Impacts to human remains may remain significant even after mitigation.

**Reporting**

If no cultural resources are discovered in the course of construction monitoring, a brief letter to that effect would be prepared by the consulting archaeologist, indicating that the monitoring activities have been satisfied. If previously unidentified cultural resources are discovered in the course of construction monitoring, a report would be prepared following Archaeological Resource Management Report (OHP 1990) guidelines that documents field and analysis results and interprets the data within an appropriate research context.
Mitigation Measure 2 (MM-A-2): Treatment of Known Archaeological Resources

Destruction of a resource that is eligible for listing in the National Register or California Register would be a significant adverse effect. This effect may be resolved through by implementing an MOA between FTA, Metro, and the SHPO, as well as other interested parties.

Four archaeological sites that are either within or immediately adjacent to the direct APE are presumed eligible for listing on both the National Register and the California Register. These include the Los Angeles zanja system (the Zanja Madre, CA-LAN-887H, and numerous unrecorded numbered zanjas) and sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339.

Effects to the data potential of archaeological sites can be mitigated to a less than significant level by preparing and implementing a data recovery plan under Section 106 and CEQA. The actual measures agreed upon in the MOA may vary in substance and degree, but the MOA would include a process to resolve any adverse effects upon archaeological resources within the direct APE that are eligible for listing in the National Register or California Register. The treatment of sites CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339 may include systematic and scientific exposure, evaluation, and if necessary, archaeological data recovery.

Los Angeles Zanja System

The Los Angeles zanja system was an extensive and integrated water conveyance network that served large areas of the City for multiple generations. Generally speaking, previous construction projects in downtown Los Angeles have unexpectedly encountered and documented limited exposures of a single zanja segment, often after the segment has been damaged by construction equipment. This incomplete approach does not permit the overall zanja system to be evaluated, given the requirements that the OHP clarified in its recent letter (Toffelmier 2009).

It is likely that other projects (such as emergency utility repair) have damaged segments of the zanja system without documentation. This repeated damage (both monitored and unmonitored construction impacts) constitutes a cumulative effect that should be mitigated. Construction monitoring alone is insufficient mitigation to address this effect, particularly given the likelihood of damaging the zanjas prior to discovery during project construction process.

Inadvertent project-related damage to the zanjas may constitute an adverse effect under the Criteria of Adverse Effect, “physical destruction or damage” (36 CFR Part 800.5(a) (2) and material impairment as defined in CEQA. This action would contribute to, rather than mitigate, these cumulative effects.

Both Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (as amended) and the California Environmental Quality Act require identification, documentation, and evaluation of historic...
properties/historic resources in a project area (or direct APE). For a poorly mapped and buried linear resource like the zanja system, identification alone is challenging.

Rather than a costly archaeological excavation program or a remote sensing (ground-penetrating radar, etc.) survey that is unlikely to produce clear-cut results, SWCA recommends a proactive identification and documentation program that would facilitate preservation or mitigation in a cost-effective manner. This would include using additional documentary research to identify, as accurately as possible, the precise alignments of the zanjas within the APE. Where these alignments are expected to be affected by the proposed project, particularly where cut-and-cover or other near-surface construction techniques (as opposed to tunneling 20 or more feet below the ground surface) are planned in the vicinity of mapped zanja segments, full-time archaeological monitoring would be instituted to ensure documentation. The archaeological monitors would work closely with equipment operators to ensure that every effort is made to avoid damaging zanja segments prior to their adequate documentation.

Documenting and evaluating the Los Angeles zanja system would be best accomplished with a system-wide approach that incorporates historical, archaeological, and engineering research and documentation. This systemic approach to documentation and evaluation is a particularly appropriate mitigation measure for the Regional Connector Transit Corridor project, which has the potential to impact multiple zanja segments. Documentation of the zanja segments’ alignments and slopes would have the added benefit of enabling future projects to more accurately predict the location of zanja segments outside of the project area.

To mitigate potential impacts to the Los Angeles zanja system, the project MOA would provide that the system be adequately documented under the direction of an experienced archaeologist and an experienced historical architect, architectural historian, or historian, both meeting the Secretary of the Interior’s qualification standards. This documentation would include a combination of historical research, archaeological testing, and architectural documentation, and would be followed by a formal evaluation of National Register and California Register eligibility.

It should be noted that substantial documentation already exists for the zanja system in the form of maps and engineering records, published books and articles, unpublished technical reports, and site records. The collation of available data for the system as a whole would accomplish much of the documentation effort that is proposed here, while intensive, original research would be restricted to the zanja segments that cross the direct APE.

Such research and documentation may include such specific measures as:

- Historical research using historical maps, photographs, and other written sources to document creation, maintenance, modification, and abandonment of the system.
Archaeological research to establish the physical condition, presence of associated features and artifacts, and precise location of each zanja segment within the project’s direct APE by using physical exposure through controlled excavation following its discovery during construction monitoring. Resources would be documented using DPR series 523 primary and detail forms, maps, and photographs. The results would be presented in a detailed technical report following Archaeological Resource Management Report (OHP 1990) guidelines. The report would address research questions and assess the National Register and California Register eligibility of the system.

Architectural documentation of exposed zanja segments by producing narrative records, measured drawings, and photographs in conformance with Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) standards prior to any alteration or demolition activity.

Preserving the results of the historical, archaeological, and historic architectural studies in repositories such as the local main library branch, the lead agency headquarters library, and with identified non-profit historic groups interested in the subject matter.

Interpretation of the Los Angeles zanja system for the public through signage along the project alignment, visual representations of zanja alignments using colored pavement, or other appropriate means such as a dedicated internet website.

6.2 Operational Impacts Mitigation Measures

Because operational impacts to archaeological resources, including both previously recorded and as-yet-undiscovered resources, are not expected for any of the project alternatives, no mitigation is required.
7.0 CONCLUSIONS

This evaluation included a review of relevant historic maps and archaeological records, a Native American coordination program, and an intensive archaeological survey of the project direct APE. The background study indicates that subsurface archaeological deposits are commonly encountered during construction projects in downtown Los Angeles.

The direct APE was found to contain five previously recorded (CA-LAN-887H, CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003097, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339) and one newly recorded (site RC-1) archaeological resources. Of these, P-19-003097 has been destroyed, RC-1 is recommended not eligible for listing in the National Register and California Register, and CA-LAN-887H, CA-LAN-3588, P-19-003338, and P-19-003339 are presumed eligible for listing in the National Register and California Register.

None of the alternatives will have operational impacts to archaeological resources, and the No Build Alternative will have no construction or cumulative impacts on archaeological resources. Construction of each of the other alternatives has the potential to alter, remove, or destroy archaeological resources within the APE, including both known and previously undiscovered archaeological resources.

Physical destruction of an archaeological resource eligible for listing in the National Register and California Register would result in an adverse effect under Section 106 regulations and a significant impact under CEQA. Potential destruction of portions of the Los Angeles zanja system could also contribute to a cumulative impact to this resource.

To address potential impacts to previously undiscovered archaeological resources, the project would include producing and implementing a detailed Cultural Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CRMMP) (MM-A-1). To address potential impacts to known archaeological resources that are eligible for listing in the National Register and California Register, the project would be implemented by treating these known resources under an MOA (MM-A-2). After mitigation, potential construction and cumulative impacts would not be significant under NEPA or CEQA.
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RECORDS SEARCH RESULTS
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA4742   DATE: 1999   PAGES 14
AUTHOR Lapin, Philippe
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TITLE: Cultural Resource Assessment for Pacific Bell Mobile Services Facility LA 263-01,
       County of Los Angeles, California
AREA: < 1 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

---
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   AREA: <5 ac
   SITES: none
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA5282          DATE: 2001          PAGES 6
AUTHOR Schmidt, James J.
   FIRM: Compass Rose Archaeological, Inc.
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TITLE: Report of Archaeological Reconnaissance Survey of: ESA Project 7217B, City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, Ca
AREA: 8 ac
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA2486         DATE: 1991         PAGES 17
AUTHOR: Kaptain, Neal
FIRM: Greenwood and Associates
TITLE: Monitoring and Mapping: Union Station Utility Upgrade, CA-LAN-1575H
AREA:
SITES: 19-001575

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA292         DATE: 1978         PAGES 8
AUTHOR: D'Alroy, Terence N.
FIRM: University of California Los Angeles Archaeological Survey
TITLE: Environmental Impact Statement: Assessment of the Impact on Archaeological Resources of Proposed Construction of School Facilities and Parking Facilities at the Intersection of Sunset Boulevard and North Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California
AREA:
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
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LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3377  DATE: 1996  PAGES: 3
AUTHOR: Foster, John
FIRM: Greenwood and Associates
TITLE:
AREA: 1 ac
SITES: CA-LAN-1103

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3501  DATE: 1990  PAGES: 67
AUTHOR: Dillon, Brian D.
FIRM:
TITLE: Archaeological Record Search and Impact Evaluation for the Los Angeles Wastewater Program Management (NOS-NCOS) Project Los Angeles, California
AREA: 57,600 ac
QUADNAME: Los Angeles, Pasadena, Venice, Beverly Hills, Burbank, Hollywood, Inglewood
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3515  DATE: 1996  PAGES: 8
AUTHOR: Maki, Mary K.
FIRM: Fugro West, Inc.
TITLE: Negative Phase 1 Archaeological Survey of 0.84 Acre at 14751 and 14755 Garfield Ave and 7339 Petrol Street, City of Paramount, Los Angeles County, California
AREA: 0.84 ac
SITES: None
QUADNAME: South Gate
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3587  DATE: 1994  PAGES 415
AUTHOR: King, Chester
FIRM: Topanga Anthropological Consultants
TITLE: Prehistoric Native American Cultural Sites in the Santa Monica Mountains
AREA: 13,337 ac
QUADNAME: Point Dume, Triunfo Pass, Malibu Beach, Topanga, Beverly Hills, Hollywood, Burbank, Van
MEMO: Same as YNI:462. Space did not permit the entry of all sites referenced within this

IC ID#: LA3645  DATE: 1995  PAGES 73
AUTHOR: Whitely, David S.
FIRM: W & S Consultants
TITLE: Phase I Archaeological Survey and Cultural Resource Assessment of the Metropolitan Water District Headquarters Study Area, Los Angeles, California
AREA: 4 ac
SITES: 19-001575H
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3668    DATE: 1997    PAGES 182
AUTHOR Dillon, Brian D.
FIRM:   
TITLE: St. Vibiana's Cathedral Los Angeles, California
AREA: 2.5 ac
SITES: 19-150330

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO: Missing Report Updated: 11/6/02

IC ID#: LA3783    DATE: 1993    PAGES 19
AUTHOR White, Robert S. and White, Laurie E.
FIRM: Archaeological Associates
TITLE: Archaeological Element of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Headquarters Facility Site Study Analysis
AREA: 101 ac
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
San Dimas
MEMO: 92 ac. Area on the San Dimas Quad was surveyed. Areas on Los Angeles Quad were not

IC ID#: LA3786    DATE: 1997    PAGES 173
AUTHOR Dillon, Brian D.
FIRM:   
TITLE: Cathedral of Our Lady of the Angels Los Angeles, California Cultural Resources Evaluation
AREA: Unknown
SITES: 19-000007, 19-000887, 19-00112, 19-001576, 19-120012

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3812        DATE: 1982        PAGES 20
AUTHOR Chace, Paul G.
FIRM: Paul G. Chace & Associates
TITLE: Archaeological Monitoring of the W-7 Ramp Project, Olvera St, El Pueblo de Los Angeles State Historic Park
AREA:
SITES: Palomares-Jones Property

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3813        DATE: 1992        PAGES 47
AUTHOR Anonymous
FIRM: Peak & Associates, Inc.
TITLE: An Archival Study of a Segment of the Proposed Pacific Pipeline, City of Los Angeles, California
AREA: 6.5 mi
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3814        DATE: 1981        PAGES 45
AUTHOR Singer, Clay A.
FIRM: Northridge Archaeological Research Center, Ca. St. University
TITLE: Preliminary Historic Archaeological Investigations at the Los Angeles Plaza Church
AREA:
SITES: The Plaza Church

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3906          DATE:          PAGES 239
AUTHOR Costello, Julia G., Wilcoxon, Larry R.
FIRM: Julia G. Costello, Larry R. Wilcoxon
TITLE: An Archaeological Assessment of Cultural Resources in Urban Los Angeles, California La Placita de Dolores -- Lan-887
AREA: 8 ac
SITES: 19-000887H

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3910          DATE: 1983        PAGES 62
AUTHOR Frieman, Jay D.
FIRM: Jay D. Frieman, Consulting Archaeologist
TITLE: Monitoring the Restoration and Rehabilitation of the Sepulveda Block 622-624 North Main Street El Pueblo de Los Angeles State Historic Park
AREA: 8 ac
SITES: 19-000887H

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA3946          DATE: 1998        PAGES 26
AUTHOR McLean Deborah
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: Archaeological Assessment for Pacific Bell Mobile Services Telecommunications Facility LA 057-03, 433 East Temple Street, City and County of Los Angeles, California
AREA: less than 1 ac
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA3986          DATE: 1981          PAGES 38
AUTHOR Chace, Paul G.
FIRM: Paul G. Chace & Associates
TITLE: A Cultural Resources Assessment of the Plaza El Pueblo de Los Angeles State Historic Park
AREA: None
SITES: 19-000007H

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA4080          DATE: 1996          PAGES 110
AUTHOR Goldberg, Susan K.
FIRM: Applied Earth Works, Inc.
TITLE: Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan: the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Headquarters Facility Project
AREA: 4.3 ac
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA4214          DATE: 1998          PAGES 3
AUTHOR Conkling, Steve
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring, L.A. Cellular Cell Site R106, near West Fourth Street and South Hill Street, City and County of Los Angeles
AREA: 1 ac
SITES: None

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA4215  DATE: 1998  PAGES 3
AUTHOR Conkling, Steve
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring, L.A. Cellular Cell Site R104, near West Third Street and South Grand Avenue, City and County of Los Angeles
AREA: 1 ac
SITES: None
QUADNAME: Los Angeles, Hollywood
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA4237  DATE: 1998  PAGES 9
AUTHOR Conkling, Steve
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring, L.A. Cellular Cell Site r105, at the Intersection of West Third Street and South Spring Street, City and County of Los Angeles
AREA: <1ac
SITES: 19-002741
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA4263  DATE: 1986  PAGES 203
AUTHOR Padon, Beth
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: General Services Administration Federal Center: Archaeological Assessment Report Phase
AREA: 4.8 ac
SITES: 19-000887
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA4448        DATE: 1994        PAGES 357
AUTHOR: Anonymous
FIRM: Myra L. Frank & Associates
TITLE: Section 106 Documentation for the Metro Rail Red Line East Extension in the City and
        County of Los Angeles, California
AREA: 20 li mi
SITES: 19-174979, 19-174978, 19-174977, 19-174976, 19-174975, 19-174974, 19-167081, 19-
        174973, 19-174972, 19-174971, 19-174970, 19-174968, 19-172755, 19-174964, 19-
        176624, 19-174941, 19-174940, 19-174235, 19-174955, 19-174954, 19-174951, 19-
        174957, 19-174943, 19-174958, 19-174956
        19-174952, 19-174953, 19-174950, 19-174949, 19-174948, 19-174947, 19-174946, 19-
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA4452        DATE: 1982        PAGES 141
AUTHOR: Hatheway, Roger G.
FIRM: Roger G. Hatheway & Associates
TITLE: Determination of Eligibility Report Chinatown
AREA: 200 ac
SITES: 19-173391, 19-173392, 19-170950, 19-173393, 19-170951, 19-173394, 19-170952, 19-
        173395, 19-170953, 19-173396, 19-170954, 19-173397, 19-170995, 19-173398, 19-
        170961, 19-170962, 19-170960, 19-173399, 19-170956, 19-173400, 19-170957, 19-
        170958, 19-170965, 19-170963, 19-173402
        19-170959
QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA5437    DATE: 1980    PAGES 20
AUTHOR Chace, Paul G.
FIRM: Paul G. Chace & Associates
TITLE: An Archaeological Review and tests for the Tree Planting Program, El Pueblo de Los Angeles State Historic Park
AREA: 10 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA5446    DATE: 2001    PAGES 3
AUTHOR Savastio, Scott
FIRM: Greenwood & Associates
TITLE: Report for Monitoring: Sewer pipe Repair at Alameda and Arcadia Streets, Los Angeles
AREA: 2 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA5447    DATE: 1999    PAGES 10
AUTHOR Schmidt, James J.
FIRM: Greenwood & Associates
TITLE: Archaeological monitoring Report: 911 Dispatch Center First and Los Angeles Streets
Los Angeles, California
AREA: 2 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector
LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA5448       DATE: 2000       PAGES 9
AUTHOR Duke, Curt
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: Cultural Resource Assessment for AT&T Wireless Services Facility Number R299.1,
County of Los Angeles, CA
AREA: <1 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA5451       DATE: ?       PAGES 84
AUTHOR Padon, Beth
FIRM: LSA Associates, Inc.
TITLE: the VA Outpatient Clinic Project
AREA: 1.85 ac
SITES: 19-000007

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA850       DATE: 1978       PAGES 215
AUTHOR Costello, Julia G., and Larry R. Wilcoxon
FIRM:
TITLE: An ARCHAEOLOGICAL Assessment of Cultural Resources in Urban
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA -- LA PLACITA DE DOLORS -- LAN-887
AREA:
SITES: 19-000887

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:
SCCIC Bibliography: Regional Connector LA Quadrangle

IC ID#: LA982    DATE: 1977    PAGES 5
AUTHOR Bove, Frederick J.
FIRM: UCLA Archaeological Survey
TITLE: Archaeological Resource Survey and Impact Assessment of A PROPOSED PARKing LOT, LOS ANGELES, CALIForNIA
AREA:
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO:

IC ID#: LA6359    DATE: 2001    PAGES 32
AUTHOR Hale, Alice
FIRM: Greenwood and Associates
TITLE: Archaeological Monitor Report The Los Angeles Gas Works 513 North Main Street
AREA: 1 ac
SITES: 19-002891

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO: NPS72000231

IC ID#: LA6085    DATE: 2003    PAGES 35
AUTHOR Wiodarski, Robert J.
FIRM: Historical Environmental Archaeological Research Team
TITLE: A Phase I Archaeological Study For The Proposed Eugene Obregon Congressional Medal of Honor Memorial Within Father Serra Park and El Pueblo de Los Angeles State Historic Park, City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California
AREA: .25 ac
SITES: none

QUADNAME: Los Angeles
MEMO: 19-000007