EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
NOVEMBER 21, 2013

SUBJECT: BIKE SHARE PROGRAM

ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE

RECOMMENDATION

Receive and file this update on the Bike Share Program in response to the October 2013 Board Motion 66 (Attachment A).

ISSUE

At the October meeting, the Board approved Motion 66, providing direction to:

A. Adopt as policy MTA’s support of bicycles as a formal transportation mode;

B. Convene a Bicycle Share Industry review in November 2013 in order to advise on procuring a regional bicycle share vendor for Los Angeles County;

C. Report back to the Board at the January 2014 meeting with the results of the industry review, including a business case analysis and recommendations on proceeding with a Request for Proposals (RFP) to implement a regional bicycle share program; and

D. Include in the analysis a phased approach for implementing this program based on area readiness, including, but not limited to, an examination of existing bicycle infrastructure, existing advertising policies, current ridership trends, and transit station locations.

This report provides the status of the Board directive.

DISCUSSION

Connected by the Metro transit system, bike share can help address first-last mile gaps around transit stations, increase the station catchment area and can introduce new users to bike transportation by removing barriers, such as bicycle ownership, maintenance, and security and can increase mobility while decreasing automobile use.
Most recently, Metro’s role has been to facilitate bike share implementation, including providing funding to local jurisdictions for bike share through the Call for Projects and coordinating regional compatibility efforts such as addressing technology and software issues.

**Status**
In response to the Motion, we initiated the first phase of the industry review. We have met with bike share industry stakeholders and municipal planners, convened as the Bike Share Working Group and Metro’s Bicycle Roundtable on November 4th and November 5th, respectively. The goal of the meetings were to gauge what role stakeholders and municipalities deemed appropriate for Metro to take and what opportunities as well as concerns existed by Metro taking on a larger role in a regional bike share effort. In anticipation of the next phase of the industry review which will be to conduct a market survey as well as developing the business case and next steps, we established a rudimentary understanding of the level of flexibility municipalities would need if Metro led a regional effort and highlighted areas that still need to be vetted further.

The following is a summary of the Bike Share Working Group and Bicycle Roundtable input received:
- One contractor, or multiple contractors with compatible technologies is key to achieving regional connectivity
- Metro, as a regional agency, should lead the effort and set the regional framework for cities to leverage at the local level
- A single system with local flexibility
- Bike Share must connect to a larger transit network
- Infrastructure, such as bike lanes and way finding, should support bike share implementation
- Phasing, especially pilot phase is key to success
- Local universities and colleges should be invited to participate
- Increase bike mode Call for Project funding to facilitate regional participation and infrastructure to support bike share

If we move forward with a greater role in establishing a regional bike share program, the following items surfaced during the two meetings as needing to be addressed:
- Revenue Split with Cities: Would Metro serve as a clearing-house or would cities receive their split directly from vendors
- Advertising/Sponsorship: How would differing advertising policies potentially affect proposed business plans
- Software: Develop a program that allows flexibility for evolving software and bike technology
- Payment: Can Transit Access Pass be adapted to allow for bike share payment
- Implementation: Pilot area and subsequent phasing and timing for roll out
- Inter-jurisdictional Operability: Bike redistribution and cost split, multi-jurisdictional membership cards
NEXT STEPS

We will return to the Board in January with the results of the market survey, business case and recommended next steps.

ATTACHMENT

A. October 2013 Motion 66

Prepared by: Laura Cornejo, Director, (213) 922-2885
Diego Cardoso, Executive Officer, (213) 922-3076
Martha Welborne, FAIA
Chief Planning Officer

Arthur T. Leahy
Chief Executive Officer
MTA needs to lead and supplement its regional public transportation system by supporting bicycles and bicycle infrastructure in completing the first and/or last leg of a trip (e.g., from a train station to the workplace).

Bicycle ridership will also help reduce dependency on automobiles, particularly for short trips, thereby reducing traffic congestion, vehicle emissions, and the demand for parking.

A bicycle share program will also promote sustainable and environmentally friendly initiatives.

Bicycle share is a program designed for point-to-point short trips using a for-rent fleet of bicycles strategically located at logical stations locations.

Beginning in 1993, a series of successful bicycle share programs were implemented in Europe.

Currently the US is home to a number of bicycle share programs in cities such as Chicago, Denver, Minneapolis, New York City, San Francisco, etc.

According to the Earth Policy Institute, the number of bicycles in the U.S. bicycle share fleet is set to double by the end of 2014.

The Los Angeles region has seen a variety of bicycle share efforts, but none have taken hold because of a lack of regional coordination.
Given its role as the countywide transportation agency, in July 2011 the MTA board passed a motion directing staff to develop a strategic plan for implementing bicycle share in Los Angeles County.

CONTINUED

WE THEREFORE MOVE that the MTA Board direct the CEO to:

A. Adopt as policy MTA’s support of bicycles as a formal transportation mode.

B. Convene a bicycle share industry review in November 2013 in order to advise on procuring a regional bicycle share vendor for Los Angeles County.

C. Report back to the Board at the January 2014 meeting with the results of the industry review, including a business case analysis and recommendations on proceeding with a Request for Proposals (RFP) to implement a regional bicycle share program.

D. Include in the analysis a phased approach for implementing this program based on area readiness, including, but not limited to, an examination of existing bicycle infrastructure, existing advertising policies, current ridership trends, and transit station locations.

###