Ad Hoc Sustainability Committee

November 14, 2012 Meeting
Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority
...not everyone agreed with everything we said, but at least we are talking!
Successful RTP/SCS
Our RTP/SCS

+500,000 JOBS

In all sectors generated each year on average with the Plan

... is a Big Fiscal Deal
Challenges Redevelopment...
Challenges Redevelopment...

... also took away useful tools.
Challenges
Challenges
Challenges

- Fear of Density
- Min LOS
- Lane Removal Challenged

are blocking sustainable projects too!

Rules...

- Min Parking Requirements for business
Stuck in the Past...
Stuck in the Past...

...is not a leading economic development strategy
Implementing our RTP/SCS

...IS a leading economic development strategy
Projected household growth

WITHOUT 2012-2035

Proposed Final RTP/SCS Plan.

Over TWICE as many households will live in high quality transit opportunity areas WITH Plan.
Implementing our RTP/SCS

Land Consumption
DECREASE by over 400 SQUARE MILES

Household Savings
annual SAVINGS of $3,400 per household in 2035

Health Outcome
REDUCE health incidences by 95,000 in 2035
Oh, by the way...

- **February-May 2013**
  Findings from Subcommittees presented to Policy Committees, RC, and General Assembly

- **October-December 2014**
  Policy input/direction from Policy Committees and RC on PEIR and RTP/SCS Strategies

- **April-June 2015**
  Conduct county-specific 2016 RTP/SCS Planning Workshops

- **September-October 2015**
  Policy Committees recommend Regional Council to release Draft 2016 RTP/SCS and PEIR; RC approved release for public review and comment

- **October 2015-March 2016**
  Conduct extensive outreach to cities, counties, stakeholders, and the public on the Draft 2016 RTP/SCS and PEIR

- **March-May 2016**
  Policy Committees recommend approval of Final 2016 RTP/SCS and PEIR, and conformity determination; RC certifies 2016 RTP/SCS

... all this while we work even harder on the next one!
Why Committee Recommendations Matter

“I know that this has been frustrating for some members to not have something to look at, but we committed to an open process, and this is what that looks like. We have to talk about these questions. If we had something to look at then the staff work would be done, and we wouldn’t have an open process.”

-Hon. Pam O’Connor,
2011