

**Motion Re Clarification of Committee Structure by Directors Knabe and O'Connor**

Over the years it has not been unusual for staff to bring to two or more committees the same issue; sometimes for "action" and sometimes as a "Receive and File" report. This has resulted largely due to the perceived overlapping of the jurisdictional authority of the respective MTA standing committees.

Beginning in February, 2009, in response to the passage of Measure R, the *Measure R Project Delivery Committee* was created as an ad hoc committee to provide oversight to Measure R projects with the intent to provide assurances to the public of timely implementation of its projects.

Since that time, there have been increasing instances of confusion as to what committee should be agendizing Measure R related issues and the problem of multiple committees forwarding (sometimes conflicting) recommendations to the full Board for consideration. As a result, staff has endeavored to incorporate into their own Agenda-planning efforts an informal staff-level policy of a "one committee" rule.

However, it doesn't address the increasingly problematic Board policy issues:

1. What is the role of the *Measure R Project Delivery Committee* (MRPDC), vis a vis the Planning and Programming and Construction Committees, respectively?
2. The MTA Board has not formally adopted a "one committee" rule as it pertains to "action" items and sending relevant "Receive and File" reports to multiple committees as a means of keeping the Board informed on important issues.

The MTA committee structure should be part of a framework that seeks to integrate MTA priorities, such as Measure R, with non-Measure R components to create usable transportation-related multi-modal facilities, with joint-venture activities in both the public and private sectors.

**We, therefore Move** that this Board instruct the CEO to return to the Board in the November-December cycle with his recommendations pertaining to:

1. Clarifying the respective functions and purview of the Planning and Programming and Construction Committees vis a vis their integrated roles with the ad hoc Measure R Project Delivery Committee; e.g. What should be the screening criterion for sending inter-related issues to committees?
2. Suggested language for the codification of a "one committee" Board policy pertaining to the issues described above.